

Agency Name:

Reviewer Name:

| Category | Possible Points | Score |
|--|--|-------|
| Project Summary and Overall Quality of Application | Q12 and overall explanations (no point value; for info only) | |
| | Comments (no point value; for info only): | |

| Category | Possible Points | Score |
|--|---|-------|
| Program Policies & Procedures -- Program Entry and Continued Program Participation | Q16 - Agencies were asked to indicate which of the following would prevent someone from being accepted into the program: too little income; substance abuse; criminal record; victimization; none of the above | |
| | 2 points if "None of the Above" was selected 0 points if any other options were selected (2 possible points) | |
| | Q17 Comments (no point value; for info only): | |
| | Q18 - Agencies were asked to indicate which of the following would a participant <u>not</u> be evicted for: failure to participate in support services; failure to progress with a service plan; loss of income; any other activity not in a typical lease; none of the above | |
| | 2 points if "None of the Above" was selected 0 points if any other options were selected (2 possible points) | |
| | Q19 Comments (no point value; for info only): | |

| Qs20 and 22 - Bonus (see end of score sheet) | |
|---|--------------|
| Qs 21, 23, and 24 Comments (no point value; for info only): | |
| Q25 - Agencies were asked to indicate which of the following were required for a client to move into the program: employment or other income; state ID; no symptoms of mental illness; a specific disabling condition (for example, only mental illness is okay, but only a physical disability is not*); compliance with medication; order of protection; any other requirement; none of the above | Score |
| 2 points if "None" was selected 0 points if any other options were selected <p style="text-align: right;">(2 possible points)</p> | |
| Q26 Comments and program materials (no point value; for info only): | |
| TOTAL (6 total possible points) | |
| 0 | |

| Category | Possible Points | Score |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Populations and Sub-Populations | Q30 (Confirm with Data Doc) - Agencies were required to report the actual percentages of various sub-populations that were served over the course of one year. | Score |
| | If 7–10 sub-populations are 40% or more = 3 points If 4–6 sub-populations are 40% or more = 2 points If 2 or 3 sub-populations are 40% or more = 1 point <p style="text-align: right;">(3 possible points)</p> | |

| Category | Possible Points | Score |
|-------------------|---|--------------|
| Housing Stability | Q34b (APR July 1, 2016 – June, 30, 2017) (Confirm with Data Doc) - Of the persons who exited, percent who exited to permanent housing 100% (or no exits) = 6 points 92% - 99% = 3 points 86 - 91% = 1 point Below 85% = 0 points <p style="text-align: right;">(6 possible points)</p> | Score |
| | Q34 (Confirm with Data Doc) - Increase in percent of exits to permanent housing 2016-2017 (34b) is higher than 2015-2016 (34a), or both are 100% (or no exits) = 2 points 2015-2016 (34a) is higher or equal than 2016-2017 (34b), unless both are 100% (or no exits) = 0 points <p style="text-align: right;">(2 possible points)</p> | Score |

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Q35b (APR July 1, 2016 – June, 30, 2017) (Confirm with Data Doc) - Percent who maintained or obtained other permanent housing | Score |
| 100% = 6 points 92% - 99% = 3 points 86 - 91% = 1 point Below 85% = 0 points (6 possible points) | |
| Q35 (Confirm with Data Doc) Increase in housing stability | Score |
| 2016-2017 (35b) is higher than 2015-2016 (35a), or both are 100% = 2 points 2015-2016 (34a) is higher or equal than 2016-2017 (34b), unless both are 100% = 0 points (2 possible points) | |
| Q36 Comments (no point value; for info only): | |
| | |
| TOTAL (16 total possible points) | 0 |

| Category | Possible Points | Score |
|--|---|--------------|
| Project Participant Income | Q37b (APR July 1, 2016 – June, 30, 2017) (Confirm with Data Doc) - Gain or Increase in Earned Income | Score |
| | 20% or above = 3 points 15 - 19%% = 1 point Below 15% = 0 points (3 possible points) | |
| | Q38b (APR July 1, 2016 – June, 30, 2017) (Confirm with Data Doc) - Gain or Increase in Other Income | Score |
| | 20% or above = 3 points 15 - 19%% = 1 point Below 15% = 0 points (3 possible points) | |
| | Q39b (APR July 1, 2016 – June, 30, 2017) (Confirm with Data Doc) - Gain or Increase in Any Income | Score |
| | 20% or above = 3 points 15 - 19%% = 1 point Below 15% = 0 points (3 possible points) | |
| | Q39 (Confirm with Data Doc) - Gain or Increase in Any Income | Score |
| | 2016-2017 (39b) is higher than 2015-2016 (39a) (or both are 100%) = 2 points 2015-2016 (39a) is higher or equal than 2016-2017 (39b), unless both are 100% = 0 points (2 possible points) | |
| | Q40 Comments (no point value; for info only): | |
| | | |
| TOTAL (11 total possible points) | | 0 |

| Other Factors | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| Other Factors (Partners for Home staff will provide these scores, based on HUD financial information, HMIS reports, and attendance) | Spend Down and Cost Efficiency | Score |
| | Factors: 1. Spendown 2. Quarterly Drawdowns 3. Cost Effectiveness (3 possible points) (6 possible points) | |
| | HMIS Accuracy and Responsiveness | Score |
| | Factors: 1. Data completeness and accuracy (pulled from ClientTrack) 2. Submission of accurate and timely data for HIC and other requests(including but not limited to System Performance Measure requests and NOFA-prep requests) 3. User group participation (8 possible points) | |
| | CoC Participation | Score |
| | Factors: 1. Attendance at CoC meetings 2. Attendance at mandatory trainings 3. Participation in PIT Week (3 possible points) | |
| | Participation in Coordinated Entry | Score |
| | Factors: 1. Attendance at meetings 2. Responsiveness to emails (vacancies/referrals) 3. Number of vacancies filled through CE 4. Number of referrals offered through CE (8 possible points) | |
| TOTAL (22 possible points) (25 possible points) | | 0 |

| Category | Total Possible Points | Score |
|---------------------------------|---|----------|
| Program Policies and Procedures | 6 possible points | 0 |
| Populations and Sub-Populations | 3 possible points | 0 |
| Housing Stability | 16 possible points | 0 |
| Project Participant Income | 11 possible points | 0 |
| Mainstream (Non-Cash) Benefits | 5 possible points | 0 |
| Utilization Rate | 3 possible points | 0 |
| Bonus Points | 3 possible points | 0 |
| Other Factors | 22 possible points 25 possible points | 0 |
| TOTAL | 69 possible points 72 possible points | 0 |