

New Project DV Bonus Application	Organization: Reviewer:	Note: Point ranges are for guidance only. Reviewers can award any full number as long as it's within the point range. For example, if a question is worth up to 4 points, even if the criteria outline 0 points, 2 points, and 4 points, a reviewer can award 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 points. The ranges are just guides.		
Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Point Explanation	Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
Threshold				
HMIS Participation	Threshold for new projects, no points (checked or not); all boxes must be checked in order to proceed	y or n		
Coordinated Entry		y or n		
Match		y or n		
New Project		y or n		
Housing First		y or n		
CoC and HUD Compliance		y or n		
Scored Criteria				
Organization Experience Utilizing Federal Funds (Q1 - Q3)	0 points - No response or response does not show capacity or experience to implement CoC/HUD project 2 points - Has capacity and some experience but leaves some questions or concerns or has unresolved finding without adequate explanation 4 points - Responses are complete and illustrate no concerns about organization's ability to implement and run a CoC/HUD project	4		
Project Design (Q4)	0 points - No response or response does not give picture of design of project 3 points - Response adequately gives picture of the project design but leaves unanswered questions 5 points - Response is complete and fully describes the project and leaves no unanswered elements of the question	5		
Project Outcomes (Q4)	0 points - No response or the response does not specifically address project outcomes 1 point - Response lists outputs or numbers but not outcomes or the outcomes are not consistent, realistic, or adequately explained (if necessary) 3 points - Response is complete and outcomes are clear, realistic, and align with the project and stated focused outcomes in application question	3		
Project Implementation Plan (Q5)	0 points - No response or the response isn't at all realistic 1 point - Response is mostly realistic but might be difficult to achieve 2 points - Response is realistic and well-thought out	2		
Budget (Q6 -Q8)	0 points - No response, response is incomplete, budget document is incomplete; match is missing. 3 points - Budget document is filled out but there are gaps or unanswered questions. Match is incomplete. 5 points - Budget document is completely filled out and expenses are realistic and allowable; match is complete and documented. No unanswered questions.	5		
Housing First Experience (Q11 - Q12)	0 points - No response, response is incomplete or does not answer the question but just repeats the definition of Housing First. 3 points - Response addresses the question but does not provide two examples or leaves questions. Does not include information about working with landlords. 5 points - Response is complete and examples are specific and recent. Response includes working with landlords. No unanswered questions.	5		

Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Point Explanation	Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
Obtain and Sustain PH (Q13)	0 points - No response or the response does not specifically address the question 2 points - Response is general and leaves unanswered questions. Does not answer all elements of the questions 4 points - Response is complete and thorough. All elements of the question are answered and no questions are unanswered.	4		
Mainstream Benefits & Other Support Services (Q14 - Q19)	0 points - No response or the response does not specifically address the question 1 point - Q14 response is general and leaves unanswered questions. Does not answer all elements of the questions. For Q15, the responses are mostly realistic both in who and frequency. 3 points - Q14 response is complete and thorough. All elements of the question are answered and no questions are unanswered. Q15 responses are realistic in who and frequency. The group of responses show that the project will have a solid core of services offered and available.	3		
<u>Commitment to Equity (Q20)</u>	0 points - No response or response provides no concrete steps to identify barriers or steps taken to eliminate barriers 1 point - Response is adequate and identifies a barrier or a step to eliminate a barrier, but is not clear or thorough 2 points - Response is complete and thorough and agency describes at least one concrete step to identify barriers to participation AND discusses at least one step that they have taken, or will take, to eliminate the barrier(s).	2		
Anti-Discrimination Policy (Q21)	0 points - Policy is not uploaded or what is uploaded does not illustrate the steps the agency is taking to ensure those seeking and receiving assistance are not discriminated against. Policy should not be the EEOC policy. 1 point - Policy is uploaded.	1		
Lived Experience Involvement - Domestic Violence (Q22)	0 points - No response or response provides no meaningful incorporation of input of people with lived experience 1 point - Response is adequate and demonstrates some incorporation of input. Two examples are not provided or are not applicable. 2 points - Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates incorporation of knowledge and input of people with lived experience in meaningful way, using best practices in their approach. Two examples are applicable, recent, and on-point.	2		
Lived Experience Involvement (Q23)	0 points - Documentation does not meet requirement 2 points - Documentation meets requirement, demonstrating participation of not less than one homeless individual or formerly homeless individual on the board of directors or other equivalent policymaking entity to the extent that such entity considers and makes policies and decisions regarding any project, supportive services, or assistance provided in the CoC project. Documentation may be a list of board members or other policy making entity with a notation regarding which member(s) meet this requirement.	2		
Sustainability Plan (Q24)	0 points - No response or response provides a plan that is not feasible 1 point - Response demonstrates an adequate plan to sustain the project 2 points - Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates a feasible plan to sustain the project or a manageable plan to wind down the project	2		

Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Point Explanation	Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
Housing and Healthcare Leverage (Q25 - Q26) **based on voted on project priorities**	0 points - The project will not have any housing or healthcare partnerships to leverage 2 points - The project will have housing or healthcare partnerships to leverage but the value is less than 25% of the total project budget <u>and</u> the narrative explaining the leveraged partnership is weak and leaves questions to be answered. 4 points - The project will have housing or healthcare partnerships to leverage but the value is less than 25% of the total project budget <u>or</u> the narrative explaining the leveraged partnership is weak and leaves questions to be answered. 6 points - The project will have housing or healthcare leverage valued at least 25% of the total project budget <u>and</u> the narrative explaining the leveraged partnership is weak and leaves questions to be answered.	6		
Project Type (unnumbered Q - beginning of SM) **based on voted on project priorities**	0 points - TH-RRH (Substance Abuse Subpopulation) 3 points - PH- Rapid Rehousing or PH-Permanent Supportive Housing	3		
Overall Application Quality	0 points - Application is poorly structured. Responses appear to be cut and pasted from other applications. Responses do not address questions. Errors and typos in application. 1 - Responses reflect that project was carefully thought out and application was developed for this opportunity.	1		
	TOTAL	50	0	
DV Bonus Specific Criteria				
Organization Experience (Q27)	0 points - No response or response does not provide any picture of the experience of the applicant related to working with survivors of domestic violence 3 points - Response gives adequate picture of organization's experience working with survivors of DV but leaves some questions unanswered 5 points - Response is complete and fully describes the project and leaves no unanswered elements of the question	5		
Prioritization and Connection (Q28)	0 points - No response or response does not address the elements of the question 3 points - Response gives adequate explanation but leaves some questions unanswered 5 points - Response is complete, addressing all elements of the questions, and leaves no unanswered elements of the question	5		
Safety of Survivors (Q29)	0 points - No response or response does not address the elements of the question 3 points - Response gives adequate explanation but leaves some questions unanswered, or does not address the various elements of the questions. Does not respond how the organization uses a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach. 5 points - Response is complete, addressing all elements of the question, and leaves no unanswered elements of the question. Organization has experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approach.	5		
	TOTAL	15	0	

Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Point Explanation	Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
BONUS CRITERIA				
CoC Meeting Attendance (Q27)	.5 point bonus (up to 3 points) - Attendance at bi-monthly meetings 09/12/23; 11/14/23; 01/09/24; 03/12/24; 05/14/24; 05/09/24	3 (bonus)		
CoC Point-in-Time Participation (Q27)	.5 point bonus for each person (up to 2 points) - Participation in PIT Count (planning, night count, and/or day shift)	2 (bonus)		
Coordinated Entry Participation (Q27)	1 point (total) - Refers or accepts clients	1 (bonus)		
CoC Committee and/or Workgroup Participation (Q27)	1 point bonus - At least one agency staff member is an active participant on a CoC	1 (bonus)		
Atlanta CoC Participation Other	No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
New Applicant Webinar Attendance (Q28)	1 point (1 point only)- attendance at webinar - August 16 or August 20	1 (bonus)		
	TOTAL BONUS	8	0	
	TOTAL POINTS	73	0	

<p>Do you recommend this project to be considered for funding? Yes or no?</p>	
--	--

<p>Do you have any suggestions for adjustments or changes for the project (budget? size? model?)</p>	
---	--

<p>Overall comments for the applicant, whether recommended for funding or not. This helps applicants for future applications, so please be as specific as possible.</p>	
--	--