
July 17, 2024 Presented by Amy Zaremba For Partners for HOME



Purpose of Meeting (and not really the Purpose)

- Purpose
 - General updates
 - Review local renewal application, in general
 - Next steps, in general
- Not really the purpose
 - Basic HUD/NOFO info
 - But, if this is your first time here, there will be a more detailed meeting for new projects that will have that detail and CoC orientation also covers much of that
 - Specific questions about application ("insider tips")



HUD has not released the NOFO so why are we here?

- No rules say you have to wait until the HUD NOFO is released to begin local process
 - Many CoCs start early; some evaluate throughout the year
 - Not sure of long term goal of Partners for HOME
 - Except to continue to move a little earlier next cycle (more on that next slide) including earlier date for performance data
- Starting early will *hopefully* make things less chaotic and stressful for everyone once the HUD NOFO is released
- May result in an addendum if HUD has new material or asks new questions
 - Also, cost effectiveness

What is maybe new from HUD?

- 2 year NOFO Cycle?
 - Congress approved HUD to move to this but
 - Not sure yet what this will mean
 - Not sure if new projects will only be allowed every two years
 - Not sure if renewals will need to do some type of update in esnaps in off-year
 - Not sure when this will start
 - HUD teased a session on it at NAEH but haven't heard anything from it so stay tuned!



Atlanta CoC Changes from Last Year ... WWWWHHHYYYY???

- It may seem like the local application process for the Atlanta CoC changes every year, or every couple of years
 - And it may sorry!
- Met with DMA, reviewed FY23 NOFO, reviewed old local apps, read feedback from agencies, reviewed apps from high performing CoCs
 - Updated Atlanta's app
 - In line with HUD regs
 - Still data driven, but more
 - Removed several attachments

App Updates in More Detail

- Tried to mirror HUD esnaps when possible
 - Severity of Need
 - SPM measures
 - Increase objective criteria (89% of points)
- Performance data needs to be checked and confirmed anyway so calculations done ahead of time
 - Data Documents will be provided
 - Enter figures from document
 - Encouraged to confirm figures, of course!
 - Less time consuming (hopefully!)
- Each section has opportunity for comments

Powerpoints Can Be Boring





SurveyMonkey Example

* 15. Participants with Any Income

% of adults with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers) (Data Document)



Data Calculation Explanations for Objective Scoring Criteria

	reierrais annuariy	I					
PROJECT PERFORMANCE							
	Participants with Any Income	APR from HMIS					
	% of adult with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual	APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database					
	assessment (for leavers and stayers)	(compiled and detailed on Data Document)					
15		Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-1 or more source of income) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-1 or more source of income) / (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information) – (Q18 Adult at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information)					

Data Document

15. PARTICIPANTS WITH ANY INCOME										
NUMERATOR			DENOMINATOR							
Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-1 or more source of income)	Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-1		Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)- Total Adults	Exit	(Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet		Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information	Calculated Denominator (P+Q-R-S-T)	Calculation O/U Q15 Response	
13	6	19	32	5	1	0	1	35	54.3%	

* 15. Participants with Any Income

% of adults with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers) (Data Document)

<mark>54.3%</mark>



Project Evaluation and Scoring Standards for Renewal Projects

	А	В	C	D	E	F	G
	P	ROJECT EVALUATION AND SCORING					
1	S	TANDARDS FOR RENEWAL PROJECTS					
>	Question	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Data Source	Point Explanation		Objective/Subjective	System Performance?
-							Performance
		Participants with Any Income		All Housing Programs:			
		% of adult with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database				
	15		· ·	45 - 54% = 3 points		objective	
			Document)	35 - 44% = 1 point		,	
-	-						
5		· · - · · · · ·					

Performance Data Benchmarks (Point Explanations)

- Based on
 - Prior years
 - Benchmarks goals of CoC
- If necessary, will bring mean and median to Rank and Review
- Utilize comment opportunity
- Not meant to be perfect

Why Score and Rank?

- HUD makes us!
- Still meeting need within the CoC
- Effectively serving participants
- Staff participation in CoC

Don't Forget

- Not meant to have perfect score
- Get and stay involved!
- May need additional info once HUD NOFO is released

A Bit of a Holding Pattern so ... Now What?

- Look for email officially opening local competition with due date for this renewal application and personalized data document
- SAM Registration
- eSnaps Access
- Match Confirmation 25%

Other Info

- SurveyMonkey
 - In one sitting, but all one page
 - Email for personalized link, with caveat!
- New Project Interest Overview
 - Not for you guys, just fyi!
 - There will be a new project application meeting, once that is set

Gotta Be Fair...





Questions?

azaremba@partnersforhome.org

Updates will be posted regularly on the **PFH HUD CoC Program webpage**

