

## Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

### Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

### Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

**1A-1. CoC Name and Number:** GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

**1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:** Partners for HOME, Inc.

**1A-3. CoC Designation:** CA

**1A-4. HMIS Lead:** Georgia Department of Community Affairs

## 1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	No
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	Yes	Yes	No
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	No
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Local Businesses	Yes	Yes	No
35.	Homeless Service Funders	Yes	Yes	No

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)



A. Our CoC collaborated with underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, by incorporating their perspectives into program development in various ways.

1. Our Client Advisory Council (CAC) comprised of PWLE, primarily Black and Brown, played a crucial role in providing direct input into our programs, ensuring they were designed to equitably benefit underserved populations. Earlier this year, it was decided that all COC policies would be brought to the CAC for review and discussion and approval prior to being brought to the Policy Committee for official vote and approval,

2. Additionally, our strategic plan includes a strong focus on advancing racial equity across the CoC. One of our culture goals is to develop and implement JEDI (Justice, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion) community standards, which we believe will drive meaningful progress toward racial equity. These standards are designed to be adopted and followed by the entire CoC and focus on four key areas: administration, service provision, communication, and training. Through this initiative, we aim to create a consistent framework that promotes racial equity and enhances our CoC's ability to serve all communities effectively. Philanthropic funding has been secured to roll the standards out across the CoC and provide technical support and training for providers to adhere to the standards. Providers will be evaluated for future funding based on adherence to the JEDI standards.

Finally, one of the standing workgroups of the CoC is the Atlanta Racial Equity Alliance (AREA). Racial inequity was identified and this group was created in response to the need to continue to work on racial equity across the CoC. AREA is a group made up of service providers, community members, and other stakeholders that meet every other month to review and address the systemic and racial barriers within the homeless response system. AREA is also championing the JEDI standard development and roll out across the CoC.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1. communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;	
	2. ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
	3. invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has a dedicated webpage & application on our CA website for prospective members. At our bimonthly CoC meetings, we invite attendees to complete the membership application online. Our 800+ email subscribers receive invitations to join the CoC in our email communications. We have also increased our social media presence to encourage community members to join the CoC through those platforms. We invite prospective members to our monthly CoC orientations, community meetings, & other events where our members engage with the community, such as City Council meetings, neighborhood association gatherings, and general community awareness forums. At least twice a year, a specific call goes out soliciting for new members through various social media channels & through our current partners.

2. The CoC is committed to ensuring that all individuals, including those living with disabilities, have access to our application process. Our application can be completed online & we also provide a PDF version with read-aloud capability to enhance accessibility. For those who may not have access to computer technology or who need additional support, our CoC liaison is available to provide hands-on assistance with completing the application. We strive to make the process as inclusive & accommodating as possible. Full CoC meetings are currently virtual, with closed captioning. Committee & workgroup meetings are a mix of in-person, virtual & both. As stated, invitations to join the CoC are also extended at other community events, including City Council meetings, Neighborhood Planning meetings, and other coalition groups.

3. Through its racial equity workgroup & direct service providers, we work to identify & encourage organizations that serve BIPOC, LGBTQ+ individuals, & persons with disabilities to join. Invitations are extended recommended organizations. As the CA, we are committed to collaboration & transparency in our efforts to address homelessness in Atlanta. We facilitate virtual meetings to provide updates on our housing initiatives & have participated in community forums to discuss our plans for increasing housing units in neighborhoods that have historically been home to BIPOC communities. We invite these communities & the organizations within them to stay connected with our work by joining the CoC. Notable member organizations that serve culturally specific communities include the Trans Housing Coalition, Lost-n-Found Youth, & the Latin American Association.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1. solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
	2. communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;	
	3. ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
	4. took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has a Client Advisory Council (CAC) & Youth Advisory Board (YAB) to integrate PWLE & has a diverse Governing Council. In addition, there are 8 other committees & workgroups that meet regularly.

The CoC received regular feedback from service recipients, on-the-ground case workers, government entities & community members. There were bimonthly CoC orientations, town hall-style events & frequent training with the organizations that make up the Atlanta CoC. These included trainings on eviction prevention, working with the LGBTQ+ community, housing first, and MH first aid.

2. Partners for HOME (PFH, the CA) participated in government & business events, met with stakeholders & regularly solicited feedback from state & city government, the public, CoC organizations, clients & community members through in-person events, digital communication & regular virtual meetings. PFH publicized events through a website, social media, a regular newsletter & frequent community-oriented meetings & events to ensure collaboration & sharing of relevant information. PFH also held meetings and events on-site with other organizations throughout the city to ensure receipt of feedback. Agendas were shared prior to meetings and surveys were regularly sent out to get feedback for upcoming events and needs, such as professional development.

3. PFH utilized digital communication with accessible color schemes & feedback options for the public or interested party members to request accommodation. Some communications include additional resources & all communication were available with accessibility features available based on the expressed need of the public or CoC organizations. For those who may not have had access to computer technology or who need additional support, our CoC liaison was available to provide hands-on assistance, as needed.

Meetings were often recorded, either through video or minutes. Virtual meetings were closed captioned.

4. The CoC used public forums in several ways. One example is public forums that were held, in conjunction with over 300 interviews with PWLE, to gather input on the strategic plan. PFH staff regularly attended community events, such as a community support event for a supportive housing development, or provided testimony at the GA State Capitol & held regular community meetings for the public on important projects.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. On 7/25/24, the CoC hosted a General NOFO Overview webinar, targeted at community members who were not familiar with the CoC or NOFO funding. This was advertised to the CoC email list but asked to be spread to those not likely to receive it. It was also posted on the CoC webpage & various social media websites, beyond the CoC one, such as mutual aid programs & other homelessness sites. This session was new this year to encourage entities that may not have been familiar with the HUD NOFO. During the webinar, it was reiterated that the application process is open to everyone and not just current grantees. The webinar recording & slides were posted on the CoC website & social media.

2. This webinar was a basic overview of the CoC & NOFO process & included discussion of the application process (local & HUD), new project process & the rank & review process.

Since this session occurred prior to the release of the NOFO, this was a general overview. There was also a new project webinar, offered twice (8/16, 8/20), that offered more detail about the process, including the types of new projects accepted, specific scoring criteria for new projects, the scoring & ranking process. It also went over the online application process, SurveyMonkey. The full application was posted in pdf format for review on the CoC webpage. The various attachments necessary were also reviewed. Finally, the timeline of the process, including the potential need to submit an additional application (esnaps) if selected for project ranking, was reviewed.

3. The policies which were reviewed & approved by the Client Advisory Council, Policy Council & Governing Council, the scoring tools & rubrics, which were created based on the policies, were posted on the CoC webpage & shared with the renewal applicants, anyone who attended either the general NOFO webinar or the new project webinar & included in social media postings. These documents included threshold requirements, types of applications accepted & the preference of application types, the questions & justifications for each question & the scoring range for each question.

4. The various webinars were close-captioned, recorded & posted for viewing later. The slides were also posted for viewing. A pdf of the online application was posted on the website, which has read-aloud technology. For those who may not have had access to computer technology or who needed add'l support, our CoC liaison was available to provide hands-on assistance.

## 1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	No
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	No
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	Yes
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Atlanta CoC bylaws state that there should be at least 13, but no more than 21, voting members on the Governing Council. Of those, there are certain ex-officio members, and some at-large seats. One ex-officio seat is for the Atlanta Public School (APS), which is the sole school district in the CoC. This position helps navigate the relationship between the Atlanta CoC & APS to ensure children impacted by homelessness also have necessary supports in place while pursuing their educational goals. The CoC collaborates with education providers to provide access to and resources for both children and youth impacted by homelessness. This includes, but is not limited to, coordination for disability resources for children and youth with special needs; learning specialists for children and youth in need of enhanced tutoring and training; and GED and vocational education training for youth pursuing employment.

In addition to the Governing Council, the current APS member who serves on the Council is also a member of the Policy Committee. The Policy Committee reviews, discusses and approves all policies that the other committees and workgroups develop. Once the Policy Committee approves them, they go to the entire Governing Council for final approval and implementation.

In addition to partnering with school districts to provide access to and resources for students impacted by homelessness, school district representatives participate in CoC meetings and provide insight on trends in local education; participate in family and youth workgroups to provide strategies on detection of child and youth homelessness; and are invited to serve in leadership capacities on the Atlanta CoC Governing Council and formal Committees. Some outcomes of school district involvement with the CoC includes the Atlanta Public School Homeless Education Program that coordinates education services for students; a Head Start direct referral link to prioritize children of families impacted by homelessness; and care navigators placed in targeted schools that have high rates of transient students due to homelessness.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

As outlined in the CoC Written Standards, which have been adopted by the CoC, intake processes for housing programs that serve families include questions about the educational status of all children in the household. Housing programs are to provide families with information about the educational rights and protections for youth upon intake and when they enter the program. Additionally, each housing program that serves families is required to identify a lead staff person responsible for ensuring children are enrolled in school and connected to the appropriate educational services within the community.

Parents and guardians have the right to authorize the school education provider to help coordinate these services.

Finally, it is the CoC policy that housing programs establish policies and practices that are consistent with, and do not restrict the exercise of rights provided by the education subtitle of the McKinney-Vento Act, and other laws related to the provision of educations and related services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

The Atlanta CoC has worked to connect APS schools social workers to CoC resources for youth and families, and cultivated a relationship with APS that involves bridging the gap between education and homeless services.

Additionally, the CoC has partnered with the Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning (DECAL) to train providers on connecting families to early learning and childcare resources. This training provided partners information on how to assist their families in applying for various benefits including low- to no- cost childcare. Partners for HOME staff and DECAL representatives continued to meet to plan continued access for families who were homeless and hope to continue training and planning into 2025.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	No
4.	Early Head Start	No	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	No	No
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		



10.	Department of Family and Children Services	Yes	Yes
-----	--	-----	-----

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking—Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	Yes
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	Yes
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.		

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The main VSP in Atlanta is Partnership Against Domestic Violence, which is a partner in both the CoC and ESG programs. There are also other smaller agencies that are beginning to get established and serve survivors of DV. The CoC collaborates with PADV and the other providers by inviting them to join CoC committees and workgroups. PADV is an active member of the Coordinated Entry Refinement Committee, Family workgroup, Shelter Workgroup. They also attend the CoC Bi-Monthly meetings, as do some of the other newer programs. By participating in these groups, these organizations play a role as policies and practices are reviewed and refined. PADV also participated in listening sessions used for the development of the 2023-2028 Strategic Plan for the CoC.

As mentioned, PADV is an active member on several committees or workgroups (CE Refinement, Family, and Shelter). Having the perspective of a VSP is critical to ensure that the needs of DV, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking survivors are included when changes are being discussed. One recent example of this is when updates were made to the PSH Transfer Policy that included an emergency transfer process, to be sure it was compliant with the VAWA.

2. Another way that PADV collaborates with the CoC and ESG programs is by leading trainings on working with survivors of trauma and violence. They have led trainings for CoC and ESG funded agencies at least annually for at least the last five years. The annual training, last conducted on June 13, 2024, informs attendees on trauma-informed and victim-centered approaches when working with survivors of domestic violence. The training is recorded for viewing at other times, if desired. In addition to an annual training in partnership with PADV, the Atlanta CoC has provided safety and best practices trainings for working with LGBTQ+ survivors of domestic violence; self-care and motivational interviewing; trauma-informed care training; and fair housing and tenant leadership trainings that are applicable to survivors of domestic violence.

In addition to training, our CoC providers work in collaboration with the VSPs to connect survivors to DV, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking crisis support services to the DV Comparable Database and Coordinated Entry System. The CoC also provides housing and supportive services to survivors outside of the support that the VSPs provide.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:	
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) system ensures the safety of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors through establishment and adherence to safety planning protocols. Households may access coordinated entry in a safe and confidential manner through Partnership Against Domestic Violence (PADV). PADV operates a 24/7 crisis line and facilitates access to CE through an online form and telephonic means. Data collected conforms to the applicable requirements of the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), CoC Program, and HMIS Data Standards. PADV records and stores client data within the HMIS Comparable Database to maintain client confidentiality and safety. All CE Access Points are trained in assisting survivors in a trauma informed manner and connecting them with PADV. The CoC has also added information within the HMIS CE workflow to ensure consistency in identifying survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking and adherence to safety protocols. The CoC works with PADV as well as other community stakeholders to ensure that these policies and procedures are reviewed and updated regularly to best address the needs of survivors.

2. The confidentiality of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors is prioritized throughout the Coordinated Entry process. PADV acts as the lead Victim Service Provider for the CoC and facilitates safe and confidential access to CE through secure online and telephonic options. PADV assists in connecting clients to needed resources, including referring clients in DV Emergency Shelters (ES) which adhere to strict confidentiality protocols around client identity and ES location. A release of information (ROI) is completed with the client when a Permanent Housing (PH) referral is made through CE; safety and confidentiality protocols are maintained throughout referral and housing process. Additionally, client choice and flexibility are built into the CoC's CE policies and procedures to ensure households have options around their PH placement and to ensure a safe location and adequate supportive services. Utilization of an HMIS Comparable Database ensures that client data remains confidential when working with Victim Service Providers. Confidentiality protocols are regularly evaluated for effectiveness and updated as needed; training is used to ensure adherence with established protocols.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

	Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1. Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2. Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3. Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4. Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5. Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes
6. Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
Other? (limit 500 characters)		

7.			
----	--	--	--

## &amp;nbsp;nbsp;

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC addresses an emergency transfer plan for those fleeing or attempting to flee, dating violence, sexual assault & stalking in the CoC's written standards policies & procedures manual, which explains that the transfer request is available to anyone, regardless of sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. It further explains the qualifications for a transfer, in line with HUD & VAWA, & details the steps taken to complete the request.

In addition to the CoC's written standards, the victim service provider (VSP), PADV, has written standards and procedures that addresses an emergency plan for those flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

2. Service providers are first provided with the CE policy and procedure manual and written standards for housing and are to share the specific policy and information on emergency transfers with households during their program enrollment and intake. PADV, also shares a fact sheet with the households that includes steps on how to apply for VAWA assistance, the number to the crisis line, and emergency shelter resources. This process is shared with all CoC and ESG providers and is posted on the Partners for HOME website.

3 and 4. Households seeking support with an emergency transfer can submit an emergency transfer request to their service provider, simply by asking their caseworker or another staff for the transfer. Individuals and families can also request transfers at any Coordinated Access Point or VSP. Providers and PADV will submit a transfer request to the Partners for HOME (PFH) Coordinated Entry Team and assist the client with filling out a VAWA application. The household will also have access to the crisis line for immediate crisis support. The Service Provider or PADV will coordinate a safety exit plan for the household with property management or the landlord. In the meantime, the existing agency will evaluate the need for an internal transfer to a new unit based on need and availability. Once the request is made, the PFH team will work to make the transfer as safely and quickly as possible. If an individual or family's safety is at risk, information is shared with respective providers via a protected document that includes a client ID number & no identifying information. Client referrals are matched with services. DV providers use an HMIS-comparable system to protect the confidentiality of their clients & a unique identifier for each household as added protection.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Partners for HOME prioritizes client safety in instances of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, by a comprehensive set of program written standards and project-specific policies in accordance with HUD regulations, the Violence Against Women Act, and other relevant rules, law, and regulations, along with observed best practices.

All housing projects include extensive protections to include prioritized housing transfers, VAWA protections, and close monitoring to ensure client safety.

Clients in housing projects receive full VAWA protections and transfer or protection requested are prioritized. PFH ensures that all housing partners, including property partners and supportive service providers, as well as other contractors and interested parties, sign agreements ensuring client rights and protections. All CoC-funded projects are monitored closely, and reported instances of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, are responded to quickly and thoroughly by PFH staff and project partners.

PFH regularly reviews CoC policies to ensure that written standards, contracts, and project activities ensure the full breadth of protections for clients and are in accordance with the current version of VAWA. PFH ensures that staff attend HUD meetings on VAWA and implement any changes or best practices into projects and policy.

PFH also ensures that the CoC receives regular trauma-informed training on how to support survivors/victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. PFH also holds trainings for partners to ensure that all stakeholders are fully informed and prepared to support victims/survivors.

Regular trainings and resource provisions are a constant within the CoC. Contractor applications are reviewed for adherence and understanding of VAWA and related protections, and PFH staff personally review all grant-funded project tenant selection plans and management plans to ensure full compliance with applicable protections. Staff also implement learned best practices through experience and research.

On a day to day basis, whether presenting in-person, completing an online form, or calling, everyone presenting for CE has equal access to the same housing and services within the CoC. If DV is identified, the info is entered deidentified on the by-name-list, and then into the HMIS comparable database.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:

1.	identifying barriers specific to survivors; and
2.	working to remove those barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Survivors face limited access to affordable housing. Housing policies do not always provide adequate protections for survivors of domestic violence, particularly in rental markets. Survivors may rely financially on their abusers, which makes it difficult for them to leave housing that is shared with abuser, or the abuser controls the survivor's access to money or employment. Survivors may also face a lack of trauma-informed care in healthcare settings.

2. The Atlanta CoC has a dedicated coordinated entry process that allows for survivors to access a pathway to immediate housing. The CoC addresses an emergency plan for those fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking in the CoC's written standards and the coordinated entry system policies and procedures manual. In addition to the CoC's written standards and procedures, the victim service provider (VSP), PADV, has written standards and procedures that addresses an emergency plan for those fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

In addition to a dedicated coordinated entry process for survivors to assist in their access to safe housing and services, the CoC three dedicated DV PH projects (two funded with DV bonus funds). In total, these projects can provide RRH for 35 households with children. While survivors are eligible for any housing and services open to all other participants, having projects dedicated for survivors helps to ensure that the services available to them are the most appropriate and follow best-practices for the population. The staff at these projects are also best equipped to assist these participants in addressing some of these barriers.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+—Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy—Updating Policies—Assisting Providers—Evaluating Compliance—Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1. The CoC maintains an ongoing relationship with LGBTQ+ orgs, advocacy groups & providers, including Lost-n-Found Youth & the Trans Housing Coalition, to ensure that CoC anti-discrimination policy remains relevant, equitable & inclusive. This collaboration occurs through workgroups where providers, LGBTQ+ advocates & CoC leadership identify emerging issues, raise concerns & offer recommendations for policy updates to ensure that the services remain trauma-informed & responsive to the specific needs of LGBTQ+ populations. Also, members of the LGBTQ+ community are represented on our Client Advisory Council & Youth Advisory Board.
2. So providers are equipped to implement inclusive & equitable services, ongoing training is provided to support development of project-level anti-discrimination policies. One example of this support is the Equal Access training that the CoC hosts annually, providing guidance on the HUD Equal Access Rule & its implications for providers. The last training, 5/16/24, led by Abby Miller, who was instrumental in the development in the Equal Access Rule for the SNAPS office, covered the rights of individuals under federal law, the importance of affirming care & the role of anti-discrimination policies in protecting vulnerable populations.
3. Site visits, case conferencing, policy reviews & client feedback are the main ways we evaluate compliance. At site visits, assessments of providers' operations are done, reviewing anti-discrimination policies & practical implementation in day-to-day services. Case conferencing serves another layer of evaluation, offering a chance for providers to discuss specific cases in a collaborative setting while ensuring anti-discrimination policies are applied in real-time. Providers are encouraged to share challenges, best practices & success stories in implementing trauma-informed & inclusive services, particularly for marginalized individuals & families.
4. If policies are non-compliant with CoC requirements, agencies are given the guidance as to what would make them compliant and asked to revise & resubmit. Once compliant, the agency is provided with additional TA & support to ensure that the policies are enacted in practice. In the event of continued non-compliance, CoC policies allow for the placement of a 30-day PIP, which includes specific steps that must be taken. In extreme instances, more serious measures may be taken, including restricting funding and/or reporting to Fair Housing.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	
	You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:	

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Atlanta Housing	19%	Yes-Both	Yes

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Atlanta CoC has collaborated with Atlanta Housing (AH) to implement special admissions criteria to meet the needs of homeless admission preferences. As the only PHA in the CoC's geographic region, AH is a Moving to Work (MTW) agency that has broad authority with HUD to establish special admissions criteria and preferences in order to address homelessness. Atlanta Housing has representation on the Atlanta CoC Governing Council and actively partners with the CoC on youth, outreach and coordinated entry initiatives. The working relationship allows special admissions criteria and preferences to be easily established and implemented within CoC practices and policies. Atlanta Housing uses the CE system for HUD Mainstream Vouchers and MTW vouchers. Additionally, Atlanta Housing has committed 15 years of PBRA for the CoC's permanent supportive housing pipeline, providing rental subsidies for over 550 units developed. In this initiative, AH has agreed to reduce administrative barriers by partnering to create a joint application for funds, streamlining access to revenue streams to attract new developer interest in PSH development. Atlanta Housing commits 525 Haven vouchers & provided an additional 100 vouchers for a PSH initiative resulting from the closure of the City's largest emergency shelter . This year, Atlanta Housing committed an additional 100 vouchers to the PSH pipeline work (total of 650) & has given a commitment of another 400 Faircloth to RAD vouchers for additional development underway. Once complete, this partnership expansion will expand our project-based voucher partnership to 1050 PSH units.
2. This is n/a as the CoC and the PHA have worked together to adopt a homeless preference (see letter included in attachments).

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:



1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.	Shared Living	Yes

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	Yes
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	Yes
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

## 1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	25
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	25
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. During the competition process, applicants answer questions related to Housing First (HF) & upload policies that are reviewed to ensure the practices in place adhere to HF. If needed, Rank & Review requests feedback from the Collaborative Applicant (CA) team about whether the policies are in line with actual implementation of the project throughout the year. The CA has developed standard program models & policies for all project types currently participating in the coordinated entry (CE) system. This practice establishes uniformity in service delivery & outcomes to better meet the needs of individuals impacted by homelessness in Atlanta. CE policies outline HF requirements that funded agencies must adhere to.

2. During evaluation, factors that are reviewed are related to referrals from CE, denials of referrals, project enrollment, harm reduction, eviction prevention and project termination. Applicants are asked to indicate the percentage of clients they accept from CE denials and reasons why they deny a referral & factors that prevent them from enrolling a client (e.g. drug use, lack of income, criminal background, prior eviction, etc.) & factors that would evict them from a project (e.g. drug use, violence, lease violations, etc.)

3. Outside of the competition, a baseline HF self-evaluation was conducted in early 2024, which gave the agencies & the CA an idea of which were in line with HF principles. However, outside of the evaluation, the CA also informally monitors adherence to HF through weekly or bi-weekly case conferencing, in which issues that arise out of program implementation are addressed. The CA CE team also reviews and approves all CE rejections by providers to ensure adherence to HF. Finally, during compliance & monitoring processes, reports & site visits, we examine policies to ensure they are not only implemented, but reflective of HF practices.

4. To further improve fidelity to HF, the CA also partnered with an external evaluator to implement and evaluate a survey of project agencies to provide an unbiased review of practices. Feedback from these evaluations showed the CoC and its projects were aligned with HF principles and the CE system has been successful in identifying unsheltered individuals. In addition, site visits are conducted regularly to evaluate projects to ensure adherence to HF.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Street outreach covers the entire geographic area, including wooded areas & the airport. This area is covered both through specific projects & through the crisis response team. Street outreach is conducted Monday-Friday during regular business hours. A contract crisis team responds within 24 hours & the City's pre-arrest diversion initiative responds within 20 minutes to calls. To identify and engage all unsheltered homeless populations, the CoC partners with street outreach teams for an ongoing account of people staying in places not meant for habitation. These include parks, bridges, cars, abandoned buildings and homes, wooded areas, expressway exits, and hospital and other waiting rooms. The outreach teams also work with non-shelter providers that provide services to unsheltered populations. These include places such as food pantries, churches, clothing closets, and other traditional service locations. Additionally, Atlanta has innovative locations where social service staff are available to provide light-tough outreach services such as the main downtown library and one of the main downtown parks. At both locations, there is a social service person available to provide assistance as needed and to make the connection to CoC trained outreach staff.

Street outreach has been geared to people who are least likely to request assistance so the outreach teams branch out, as illustrated above to reach many areas of the city, in many different locations. Additionally, they are trained to build rapport, conduct short interviews and complete onsite assessments for clients who agree to accept services. For those who do not accept services or may be hesitant, ongoing visits and support are put forth to address daily concerns of maneuvering unsheltered homelessness.

Lastly, the unhoused population who are may be least likely to request assistance are those who work during standard business hours, and those who face various types of barriers including but not limited to language barriers, SPMI & substance or alcohol abuse. To adapt to client needs we have also encouraged bilingual outreach workers & PWLE to build rapport with individuals in hopes that this will encourage individuals to accept services. Clinical personal are also members of some street outreach teams to work with individuals who have more specific needs. We also coordinate with 311 to receive & report encampments & to support those least likely to request assistance.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1. Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2. Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes
3. Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	Yes

FY2024 CoC Application	Page 25	12/03/2025
------------------------	---------	------------

4. Other:(limit 500 characters)		
---------------------------------	--	--

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	559	856

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and
2.	promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC partners with Mercy Care, the Atlanta's Federally Qualified Health Center for the homeless & Grady Health System, the public hospital system, to ensure eligible individuals are identified & enrolled in health insurance. Mercy Care operates 13 clinics across the city, many within shelter provider organizations, while Grady helps train project staff to connect program participants with necessary health services.

The CoC has a dedicated staff focused on tightening coordination among service providers & healthcare systems. This staff person has a weekly call with health system staff to improve coordination in both directions for clients. They also conduct training for health systems on accessing the CoC system & serve as a point of contact for providers & health systems who need support for complex clients.

Both Grady & Viewpoint provide trainings to outreach teams to update them on how to access outpatient behavioral health, ACT & ICM services for those who lack Medicaid, which is very common in a non-expansion state. Providers work closely with First Step staffing who is SOAR certified to assist with initial SSI applications & appeals.

We have also recently begun working with major Atlanta hospitals, including Emory, Piedmont, Wellstar & Northside, to facilitate seamless connections to services & resources for patients experiencing homelessness. A pilot of 90 beds will launch this year in partnership with these health systems to serve high acuity people. We also have established a relationship with AvitaCare to ensure that patients receiving services there are integrated into the homeless response system.

System-wide, we are advancing an innovative partnership between the State housing finance agency, state behavioral health agency, state corrections, the CoC & healthcare providers to implement a statewide shared database system, aimed at enhancing seamless patient care and connections across services.

2. The CoC enhances provider knowledge on essential resources like SOAR through bi-monthly meetings. The GA Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities (DBHDD), an active partner in the CoC, offers dedicated support from a State Team Lead to help individuals achieve SOAR certification by completing the SOAR online course. SOAR certification is also planned to be offered directly to providers through DBHDD. As part of the annual competition, having staff with recent SOAR training is a factor of the scoring criteria.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:	
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC coordinates with the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), local department of public health, Grady Health System, & Mercy Care, Atlanta's Federally Qualified Health Center for the homeless, to develop policies and procedures throughout the entire CoC that respond to infectious disease outbreaks. For example, during COVID, the CE policy was amended to allow for prioritization of housing for those at highest risk of contracting COVID and/or of detrimental impact from COVID. The CoC worked seamlessly with the City, the CDC and the Department Public Health to create COVID protocols for shelters and providers, for unsheltered and for the operation of non-congregate shelters in hotels for both COVID+ & non-COVID guests. The CDC was on hand to provide training before, during & post pandemic to the CoC.
2. The CoC coordinates with the CDC and local public health department to provide ongoing training and technical assistance to CoC providers and stakeholders, including those working in street outreach and less controlled environments where disease is more easily spread, providing hygiene kits to assist with preventing infectious diseases. on the importance of safety measures that prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

In the event of a public health emergency, such as COVID, the CoC works with the City's Department of Emergency Preparedness to plan for & to follow the city's standard operating procedure to support people who are homeless. This includes meeting regularly with relevant stakeholders, securing necessary supplies and gear provided by MedShare, developing appropriate communication tools for providers and homeless, providing regular updates to the CoC and relevant stakeholders, securing and deploying aid if/where need to those who are impacted and securing necessary resources. In the case of COVID, we secured two hotels and the funding to stand them up and get them staffed and operational within three weeks of the pandemic beginning.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)



1. The CoC coordinates with the Centers for Disease Control, local department of public health, the Atlanta Regional Collaborative for Health Improvement (ARCHI), Grady Health System, and Mercy Care to share information related to public health measures and homelessness. Representatives from agencies have provided printed and electronic materials for CoC-wide distribution as well as presentations at CoC meetings and trainings about the latest public health measures and how to incorporate measures when working with people experiencing homelessness.

2. Public health agencies and homeless service providers serve on various CoC workgroups to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants. Workgroups are coordinated by the Collaborative Applicant with meeting agendas informed by respective stakeholders in the CoC. The Collaborative Applicant also employs a dedicated housing resources project manager that works with a cohort of local medical professionals to identify health-related matters that need to be disseminated to broader CoC audiences .

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:

1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CE system covers 100% of the geography of the CoC. CE is administered through site-based options, 311 access & mobile access implemented through numerous Street Outreach teams. Encampments are identified & focused street outreach efforts are deployed to engage people living there. The Gateway Center acts as the largest CE Access Point & offers site-based, telephone & mobile access for individuals & families. Other site-based access points include SafeHouse Outreach & First Presbyterian Church. The CoC also has youth specific CE access points & outreach to meet the needs of individuals ages 18-24. There is also a dedicated DV CE system, with assessors available for people who are fleeing violence.

2. The CoC has developed standardized processes for all CE Access Points & assessors, which are communicated through the CE Policies & Procedures, which is provided to CE participating organizations & are posted on the CA website. The CoC has selected the VI-SPDAT as the standardized assessment tool for all individuals & families accessing CE (TAY-SPDAT for youth 18-24 & Family-SPDAT for families). Adherence to the standardized processes & assessment is overseen by the CE Manager. To ensure that new agency staff are properly & swiftly onboarded, training is provided on a bi-monthly basis for CE Assessors & quarterly basis for CE Providers.

The CE system is designed to facilitate the most appropriate housing intervention, while ensuring those most vulnerable and/or those who have been homeless the longest are being prioritized. The CE policies spells out the prioritization of housing intervention for different populations. For example, for RRH for families, prioritization is based on the score on the Family-SPDAT, the date of assessment, length of time homeless & if the family has a veteran. Having this prioritization as part of the policy ensures that everyone has fair access to housing & services.

3. Our main VSP conducts an annual training on working with survivors of violence & in that training, they include techniques for collecting personal information in a sensitive manner. This is also covered in the new assessor training, that all new staff must attend.

4. Our CE Refinement Workgroup meets monthly to ensure that the CE system remains accessible, equitable & effective. They provide regular feedback through ongoing evaluation & refinement. This group is comprised of direct services providers, CE staff & CoC leadership.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's Coordinated Entry (CE) written policies include guidelines to ensure that all populations in Atlanta have non-discriminatory access to the CE. This applies to chronic, veteran, family, youth & DV populations, regardless of location or method by which they access CE. CE & outreach teams include PWLE which assist in engaging with people least likely to apply for, or seek, assistance. CE providers provide supports necessary for individuals who may be impacted by visual & audio differences, foreign language speakers or those with limited English proficiency.

2. The VI-SPDAT tool is used during CE to prioritize the most intensive interventions for those with the highest needs. Scores that reflect a higher need for services interventions are placed at the top of the services queue. The CoC has implemented case conferencing for when the VI-SPDAT does not accurately reflect vulnerability. For those who individuals who are unsheltered & unable to participate in the traditional CE process, because of an inability to complete the VI-SPDAT, there is a "non-assessed priority list" policy, which allows for the most difficult to serve individuals to still remain on the housing list.

3. The CE system engages in weekly System Navigation meetings where those identified as most in need via the established prioritization policy are case conferenced. This allows the CE Manager to better understand the housing/service needs & preferences. Individuals may reject PH referrals based on preference & no limit is placed on the number of PH options offered. All PH vacancies are referred to within 72 hours of becoming available & CE policies ensure timeliness with moving individuals through CE. Diversion assistance is also available & is often to be the quickest pathway to PH.

4. The CoC provides access to CE onsite, by phone & with street outreach teams. This helps to reduce the burden of accessibility to people using CE. All staff are trained to provide trauma-informed assistance. When individuals access CE, their immediate needs are assessed & they are connected to desired resources to reduce additional burdens. The CoC continually evaluates the CE system, through the CE Refinement workgroup, workgroup, which meets monthly, working to remove burdens and barriers. By seeking feedback from providers & providing case conferencing to assessors, the CoC refines its strategies to reduce the burden of accessibility on people using CE.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry—Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies—Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:	
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;	
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and	
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Outreach teams and providers are given information about CE, housing & other services to post & share & they are encouraged to distribute the info widely. There is also a community "calling card" that has information about housing and homeless services offered in the community that is available to any community member to distribute. Resource information is posted on the CoC website and on many partner agency websites. United Way 211 & Police Alternative and Diversion Initiative (PAD) 311 are both strong partners and share information. Presentations on how to access CoC services are presented to neighborhood planning units, faith congregations, volunteer groups, and consumer advisory group and youth advisory groups.

2. The CoC informs program participants of their rights during the CE assessment period. Assessors verbally articulate rights & remedies and provide printed copies of rights and remedies to clients as requested. The process & what needs to be covered, such as what a participant should do if they feel their rights have been discriminated against, is reviewed during initial onboarding assessor training, as well as periodically during regular assessor meetings. Internal CE staff offers weekly office hours & on call assistance to assessors. In addition, quarterly training ensures assessors utilize best practices and share successful techniques to verbally articulate rights and remedies available under federal, state & local fair housing & civil rights laws during the coordinated entry assessment period. AFFH is also reviewed at the annual CoC-wide Equal Access training.

3. A complaint would be filed with the City of Atlanta Human Relations Commission, which handles fair housing complaints, would be the step to take if there was a relevant issue, as Atlanta is the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction. The form can be completed onsite at City Hall, or online, emailed, or mailed.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	03/30/2024

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC's Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and
2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Atlanta CoC utilized a variety of data sources to analyze potential racial disparities in its provision and outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance. Key data sources included HMIS (inclusive of Coordinated Entry), which tracks demographic information such as race & ethnicity. Data was exported and placed in a dashboard monthly. Each Atlanta CoC measure was broken down and analyzed by demographic information, including race/ethnicity. We also utilized longitudinal & point-in-time tools such as Stella P and Point-In-Time. We utilized Census & American Community Data to contextualize the CoC's homeless system data. Finally, the CoC Analysis Tool (12/23) was also used to compare the information we gathered.

2. The Atlanta CoC's analysis of racial disparities included several different quantitative approaches. The CoC disaggregated key performance data by race and ethnicity using HMIS data, focusing on metrics such as inflow, exits to permanent housing, length of homelessness, and returns to homelessness. By examining this data, the CoC was able to identify disparities in outcomes for different racial groups. For example, while roughly 48% of the total Atlanta population is Black, our analysis identified that about 86% of the homeless population was. This was in total population but also mirrored in subpopulations of families, youth and veterans. This overrepresentation of Black individuals in the homeless population prompts further analysis of whether these disparities extend to service outcomes, such as housing placements & retention rates. The CoC also used the Stella P to assess how different racial groups progress through the system and to identify any performance gaps related to housing stability.

The CoC is also looking at PIT Count supplemental questions to revisit the findings from its 2017 racial disparities assessment to track changes in the experiences of people of color within the homeless system, focusing on whether systemic barriers identified at that time—such as employment and behavioral health services—persist. This ongoing analysis allows the CoC to continuously refine its approach to ensuring racial equity within its service delivery and outcomes, informing future policy and programmatic changes.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	No
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes
6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes

8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

To ensure the CoC continues to address & eliminate racial disparities, the CoC has developed a comprehensive plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures. This plan is spearheaded by the CoC's Atlanta Racial Equity Alliance (AREA) Committee. The committee includes PWLE, providers & community volunteers, ensuring a wide range of perspectives inform the process. The committee meets monthly to review progress towards achieving structural changes identified during the 2017 racial disparities assessment & again in the 2024 assessment, which highlighted key areas of concern for Black & other communities of color experiencing homelessness. This committee put together CoC-wide Race Equity standards that are a north star for the entire CoC.

Overseen by AREA, a taskforce was created last year that led the development with a DEI consultant of the Justice, Equity, Diversity & Inclusion (JEDI) Standards. The Taskforce started by creating the JEDI vision which embodies how our CoC delivers care equitably. There are 10 standards covering administration, communication, service & training, each with its own set of indicators. The Taskforce also created an evaluation tool so providers can evaluate progress towards the standards. These standards serve as a framework for all providers, ensuring that JEDI is embedded in all aspects of service delivery & administration. The development of these standards is a critical step in formalizing & institutionalizing JEDI within the CoC's policies & procedures. The CoC has also invested in system-wide changes since the initial assessment to promote racial equity. More private funds have been secured to launch a cohort of providers to conduct a deep dive of their progress against the standards & get support & training to improve in areas of weakness. These providers will then serve as champions for other providers wanting to join.

In addition to the priorities to reduce & end homelessness in Atlanta, the 2023-2028 CoC Strategic Plan established the additional priorities to cultivate a cohesive culture by advancing equity & enhancing provider capacity. The plan includes building a service culture that promotes antiracism, diversity, equity & inclusion through execution of the JEDI standards & a focus on elevating the impact on sexual orientation & gender identification through training of shelters & service providers an annual review of policies & procedures through the racial equity lens.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC uses a variety of measures to track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision and outcomes of homeless assistance, including disaggregated data from HMIS to continuously monitor the racial composition of individuals accessing services, exiting to permanent housing & experiencing returns to homelessness. By disaggregating data by race & ethnicity, the CoC can identify patterns & trends in outcomes such as housing placements, length of time homeless, and rates of service utilization. To ensure equitable access, the CoC tracks coordinated entry outcomes by race, analyzing whether individuals of color experience any delays or challenges in entering the homeless assistance system. Metrics such as time to referral, time to housing placement & prioritization rates are also disaggregated by race and analyzed regularly.

System performance dashboards are published on the PFH website & track progress for certain measures. For example, for the September 2024 dashboard, the inflow of who went through CE, overall, 57.98% were first-time homeless. For Black participants, 57.43% were first-time homeless & for White participants, 56.67%.

In the future, the CoC will assess client satisfaction and service quality through surveys, focus groups, and interviews that are targeted to racially marginalized groups. These qualitative measures provide essential context to the quantitative data and can offer insights into the lived experiences of those navigating the homeless system.

2. HMIS & CE will continue to serve as central tools for collecting and analyzing data on the racial composition of clients accessing services. These systems enable real-time tracking of individuals' progress through the homeless response system, allowing the CoC to pinpoint areas where racial disparities may arise, such as in access to housing or services. The CoC will also incorporate feedback loops through focus groups, client surveys & lived experience panels to gather qualitative data on the effectiveness of its efforts to address racial disparities. The input from these groups will be integrated with the quantitative data from HMIS, Stella P & the Racial Equity Analysis Tool to form a comprehensive understanding of the CoC's progress. By using these tools & measures, the CoC will maintain a proactive, data-driven approach to tracking & addressing racial disparities, ensuring that the system evolves to meet the needs of all populations equitably.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

To engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision-making processes, the Atlanta COC has open invitation and recruitment processes for new members to engage in CoC meetings, committees and workgroups. We continuously include outreach and recruitment efforts in our monthly newsletters, which is distributed to not only the network of CoC/Governing Council (GC) members but also distributed to non-CoC/GC members. Outreach is also conducted through our social media channels, including FB, Instagram & LinkedIn, & our membership form that is active at all times on our website.

In addition, we work with our Client Advisory Council (CAC) and our Youth Advisory Board (YAB), two CoC workgroups that are 100% comprised of PWLE. These groups conduct outreach for potential membership through their networks. Our PWLE workgroups host recruitment events at partner agency sites to actively recruit new PWLE members. Additionally, especially for the YAB, targeted periodic social media postings are made recruiting new members.

As partners agencies house individuals, they share information about opportunities to serve on our various committees and workgroups.

To ensure PWLE have leadership and decision-making roles, the GC has established, in the charter, that there should be an ex officio member representing adults w lived experience (CAC) and an ex officio member representing youth w lived experience (YAB). Through each CoC workgroup and committee, providers and stakeholders are regularly asked to nominate PWLE to serve and provide input and feedback.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	22	18
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	22	18
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	11	7
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	22	18



1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Atlanta CoC is committed to providing professional development and employment opportunities for individuals with lived experience of homelessness. Members of our Client Advisory Council (CAC) gain exclusive access to professional development opportunities through their participation on the council, as well as access to all training offered to the wider CoC. This includes training on mental health first aid, housing first, and eviction prevention.

Additionally, we have allocated specific budget funds for training initiatives that support PWLE. We are focused on expanding our training formats beyond traditional methods by incorporating skills-based sessions & "train the trainer" models. This approach allows for a broader range of learning experiences that can be tailored to diverse needs. We consult with CAC members to understand their specific training interests, ensuring our programs align with their professional goals & offer varied, practical learning opportunities for ongoing development.

All CAC members have access to funds to cover the cost of the certified peer specialist (CPS) training and one member has obtained their certification this year and obtained a job as a CPS.

This year, PFH applied for a competitive application to the University of Georgia Fannin Institute for Leadership. A grant was awarded that provided a leadership training series to the CAC. CAC members participated in four two-hour training courses on leadership topics that were identified as high priority by the CAC.

The CAC identified the need for advocacy training and this month hosted a lobbyist who provided training focused on advocating with elected officials & creating an advocacy agenda for 2025. As a part of the CAC's workplan, members are paid for all time committed to the execution of their workplan. Over the last 12 months, CAC members conducted over 200 surveys of people experiencing homelessness, compiled this data & presented it to COC organization leadership.

Lastly, PFH employs a young adult who is responsible for leading the work of the Youth Advisory Board (YAB). As she leads this work, she provides mentorship and guidance to the young adults on the YAB, formally and by example.

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;

3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;
4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Client Advisory Council (CAC) & Youth Advisory Board (YAB) meet monthly & hold positions on the Governing Council (GC). In 2024, it was decided that all new policies will go before the CAC for input & feedback before coming to the GC for approval, which ensures that PWLE have input to CoC policy before approval. Staff who lead committees present to the CAC & YAB to solicit feedback on work, such as racial equity standards, CE refinement, outreach policies & procedures. Improving customer satisfaction is a goal of the CAC workplan. The CAC has created a satisfaction survey to survey people experiencing homelessness seeking services in the CoC. The CAC reports data back to agencies to improve outcomes & service delivery. The CAC has surveyed over 200 people. In 2023, over 300 PWLE were surveyed to obtain feedback on their experience.

2. Both the CAC & YAB meet monthly where PFH staff gather feedback on CoC policy, CE refinement, equity work & new initiatives. All policies are required to go before the CAC for input before going to the GC. The CAC surveys people experiencing homelessness over a several month period each year & reports this data to the CoC, which is used to make system improvements and changes. PFH gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness at least annually.

3. PFH staff conducted over 300 in-person surveys with people receiving assistance through the CoC program. The CAC also conducted over 200 surveys of people currently experiencing homelessness & being served by CoC/ESG programs. The CAC has a workplan that includes satisfaction across the CoC programs. They are continuing to conduct surveys of people experiencing homelessness. As the data is collected, PFH has facilitated meetings with ESG/CoC agency leadership & the CAC to report their findings & make recommendations for improvement.

4. The CAC and CoC regularly, but at least annually, seeks feedback from people who have received ESG/CoC services, usually through surveys.

5. Based on feedback received from people trying to access and utilize CE and the homeless service system, the CoC has developed a decision tree to standardize language around accessing the system, helping individuals connect with available resources. Collaboration with PWLE to improve communication about access & services, making the system easier to navigate is the next step.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	
	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

**(limit 2,500 characters)**

1. Partners for HOME (PFH), the Collaborative Applicant, works with HouseATL, a cross-sector group of civic leaders working to address housing affordability to advocate for changes in zoning and land use policies across the metropolitan Atlanta area. In 2024, and for 2025, PFH has served on the policy committee of HouseATL to create a policy/advocacy agenda which has included recommendations to improve zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development. PFH and HouseATL supported the CHOICE Act at the state legislature last year which incentivizes local jurisdictions to reform their zoning to advance more affordable housing. The 2025 plan proposes to support property tax exemption for nonprofit developers, heir property owners to access the Homestead exemption, zoning reform to allow for increased density and public property use for affordable housing.

2. Partners for HOME is also partnering with the City of Atlanta to utilize City owned land to develop permanent supportive housing. City owned land is not subject to zoning and land use regulations, which has enabled us to reduce traditional barriers and deliver housing faster than ever before. The first development broke ground on a city owned parking lot in October 2023 and delivered 40 units of PSH in just four months' time with move-ins starting February 2024. We are now replicating that model on another city owned parcel, breaking ground October 2024 and will develop 100 units by March 2025.

## 1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.	08/26/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.	07/22/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---	-----

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	108
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	26
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. As part of the local competition evaluation process, SPMs, HMIS & APR data was reviewed & compared for renewal projects. For permanent housing projects, APR data from HMIS & the HMIS comparable database for DV providers was used to evaluate housing stability (% of people remained in PH or exited to PH), as well as returns to homelessness (% of adults who returned to homelessness within 6 months of exiting to PH). There was a range of points that could be earned for these criteria, based on percent (up to 10 points each).

Additionally, throughout the year, quarterly performance is reviewed & published, using the same measures of remaining or exiting to PH & returns to homelessness.

The scoring rubric for the local competition process align with the quarterly benchmarks.

2. Similarly, APR data, gathered from HMIS & the HMIS comparable database for DV providers, was used to analyze the length of time from project start date to move in date for PH projects as a part of the renewal scoring criteria. There was a range of points that could be earned for this criteria, based on percent (up to 5 points).

This is also one of the measures that is reviewed & published quarterly as part of the CoC performance dashboards. The scoring rubric for the local competition process align with the quarterly benchmarks.

3. However, recognizing that project participants may have different severe barriers, the scoring for the local projects took into account severe barriers that may affect outcomes such as successful housing placement and LOT to PH. This year, the renewal process allowed for comments to be entered for special consideration, after each scoring category. These comments were not scored but rather more for information purposes, allowing the agencies to explain if they felt that the performance on specific measures was impacted by certain barriers.

Also, the scoring rubric allowed for different scales for RRH and PSH for certain criteria, recognizing that sometimes project type is impacted by severity of needs.

3 & 4. Lastly, the scoring criteria took into account two specific barriers this year – age & lack of income. Projects were evaluated on the percent of participants who were unaccompanied or parenting youth 12-24 years of age, or who were 55+ years of age at entry. Similarly, they were also evaluated on the percent of participants with zero income at entry. This data was gathered from APRs from HMIS & the HMIS comparable database for DV.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;	
FY2024 CoC Application		Page 42 12/03/2025

2.	how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and
3.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. At the end of every NOFO cycle, a survey is distributed to gather feedback on the process. While this survey is geared towards applicants, the racial and ethnic makeup of those responding is diverse, including Black and Brown people who are overrepresented in the Atlanta homeless population.

Additionally, prior to the release of the application materials, the Partners for HOME staff who oversees the Atlanta Racial Equity Alliance (AREA) was asked to solicit feedback from that group, which is comprised of a diverse group of providers and community members, most of whom are Black, Brown, and of Hispanic ethnic backgrounds. This feedback was specific relating to new questions to add to the subjective portion of the application. While there were bonus questions in the application last year, this year, there would be questions as part of the regular scoring criteria specific to equity and working with people of different racial and ethnic backgrounds.

The competition process was also presented to the Client Advisory Council, which is comprised of PWLE, and primarily Black, Brown, and of Hispanic ethnic backgrounds, for review and discussion.

2. The Rank and Review Committee (R & R) is responsible for reviewing, scoring, discussing, and selecting, and proposing the initial ranking of projects. This year, there were 11 members on the Committee, of which 10 were Black, Brown, and/or of Hispanic ethnic backgrounds (91%). Each committee member reviewed a group of applications, with each application being reviewed by a few different reviewers.

Once they have their recommendation, the proposed project selection and ranking was presented to the Governing Council (GC), which is made up of 50% Black, Brown, and of Hispanic ethnic backgrounds.

2.1 Both the R & R and the GC was comprised of people from different races and ethnicities.

2.2 The R & R was made up of 91% and the GC 50% of people who are from overrepresented races and ethnicities.

3. The application process had scoring criteria related to commitment to equity. Applicants were asked to explain what barriers to participation faced by people of different races or ethnicities, particularly those who are overrepresented in the local homeless population, their agency have identified and what steps they have taken to address these barriers. This question was read, reviewed and scored by the above described R & R committee, and worth 2 points.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;	

3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Atlanta CoC has a Reduction & Reallocation Policy that was reviewed & discussed by the Client Advisory Council, approved by the Policy Committee, approved by the Governing Council, shared with all current grantees & interested parties & posted on the CoC webpage. Its policy states that reallocation will be used to ensure all projects meet the needs of the community; are cost-effective; & align with the priorities of HUD & the CoC. It allows for the recommendation that the CoC redirect funds, partially or entirely. In addition to voluntary reallocation, reasons include underspending, cost-ineffectiveness, no longer meeting the needs of the CoC, project performance & noncompliance.

Once all the projects were reviewed & scored by the Rank & Review Committee (R & R), they met to discuss the projects to determine if any should be recommended for reallocation, either partly or entirely.

2. The R & R identified projects this year that were candidates for reallocation, due to chronic underspending. They also had concerns with the performance of some projects. One project also did not renew voluntarily.

3 & 4 After seeing the amount of funds that were returned this past grant cycle, a 3-year lookback was done. Three projects had significantly lower spending rates than the others (75% or less). After discussion, it was decided that 2 of the 3 were anomalies. One of them had good spend-down rates for 2 of the years, but the 3rd year brought the average down, so the R & R decided that okay.

The other one is a legacy S+C project & one of the highest performing projects that is chronically overserving & the R & R decided to leave as is but to inform them for future years.

The third project was under-spending for at least three years, and one of the lower performing projects & after discussion, the R & R decided to recommend reducing that grant by almost 50%, as they were spending an average of 36% the past 3 years.

The R & R did also make the recommendation that post-NOFO, Partners for Home (PFH, the CA) meet with all underspending agencies to review the recent spending and to stress the importance of spending their funds.

Also discussion, regarding the low performing projects, it was decided to hold & instead ensure that the agencies are getting the support they need to be successful. It was recommended that PFH continue to work with the lower performing agencies to improve, especially given the need for the projects in the CoC.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No
--	--	----



1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/08/2024

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/08/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	10/27/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	10/28/2024
--	---	------------

## 2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	ClientTrack Eccovia
--	--	---------------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	05/03/2024
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Atlanta CoC and HMIS Lead require DV service providers to collect data using an HMIS comparable database for victim service providers (VSP). During monthly case conferencing, and quarterly compliance reviews, the Collaborative Applicant monitors adherence to the requirement to ensure DV housing and service providers are utilizing the appropriate database.

2. Yes, DV housing and service providers in the Atlanta CoC are using a HUD compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards. This database is managed by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs. The Partnership Against Domestic Violence is the primary DV service provider within the CoC and operates within the comparable database.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	1,540	36	1,396	88.58%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	796	0	756	94.97%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	825	31	856	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	1,444	0	1,116	77.29%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	534	0	534	100.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has a bed coverage rate below 85% for Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH). The PSH bed coverage is at 77% due to the HUD VASH project which is not currently participating in HMIS. The CoC worked with their HMIS team and the VA to attempt to complete a data transfer of all HOMES data into HMIS, but it was ultimately unsuccessful. The CoC has recently onboarded an internal CQI team that plans to enter in the past year of HUD VASH data, as well as enter it on an ongoing basis. Going forward this will increase the bed coverage for the program to 100%.
2. The CoC's HMIS team is currently working with HUD and the VA to complete a data transfer process for the HUD VASH PSH program; once complete, this will bring the PSH percentage up to 100%.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

## 2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/22/2024
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data—HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/10/2024
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count—Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC's Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process;
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process; and
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC's most recent unsheltered PIT count.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. In partnership with several Atlanta youth service providers, community partners, and the Youth Action Board (YAB), the Point in Time (PIT) Committee collaboratively planned the youth PIT planning process. This included reviewing and updating the youth specific questions on the survey addendum, planning youth specific events, and volunteering at the youth events. Members from the Atlanta Youth Action Board and youth organizations, including Lost-N-Found Youth and Covenant House, both of which work with shelter and unsheltered, accompanied and unaccompanied, youth, were actively involved.

2. During the planning process, youth mentioned above who served on the PIT committee helped identify specific locations that homeless youth tend to congregate to help map locations that teams should focus on during the PIT. Additionally, Covenant House, being a well-known youth homeless services location, was identified as the location to host a youth specific PIT event, and several youth providers and community partners hosted tables with resources and services for the youth attendees at the Youth PIT event. Youth participants and YAB members also assisted by volunteering with administering PIT surveys, event set-up, and registration.

3. Youth volunteers from several youth service providers volunteered to administer the PIT survey during PIT and at the Youth PIT event. Also, as mentioned, during the Youth PIT event, youth also volunteered with set-up, registration, and during the event.

2B-4.	PIT Count—Methodology Change—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable.

## 2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)



1. During coordinated entry assessments, assessors asked questions to determine if a household was experiencing homelessness for the first time. The information collected during the assessments established a baseline to assist the CoC with identifying risk factors associated with households that became homeless for the first time. The CoC also expanded its performance reports to provide data on households experiencing homelessness for the first time through digital dashboards to track progress and reveal trends in the CoC's geographic area. Finally, the CoC partnered with the Atlanta Volunteer Lawyers Foundation (AVLF), Atlanta Public Schools (APS), and healthcare providers within the CoC's geographical area to identify high transient families as a factor that can signal a family is at risk for homelessness.

2. The CoC leveraged public and private dollars to implement prevention and diversion intervention strategies for individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless within the CoC's geographic area. Prevention and diversion interventions target households in adult, youth, and family emergency shelters. Diversion resources including reunification and move-in costs facilitated rapid exits to housing destinations at all CE points. Prevention & diversion teams were also located at APS & at locations frequented by families to ensure those families can access services to prevent homelessness. This included pro-bono legal services that focused on housing & eviction. The CoC also expanded access through the 311. Finally, CoC leadership advocated for and serves on affordable housing initiatives to help reduce the cost of housing, so individuals and families are less likely to enter homelessness.

3. The Collaborative Applicant, Partners for HOME, is responsible for overseeing the Atlanta CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time or to end homelessness for individuals and families. Their current strategy is to cultivate a homeless response system through collective impact. The Atlanta CoC is maximizing investments to create a solution for every unhoused person, preventing or diverting persons from homelessness as a first response, amplifying the workforce to reflect those we serve and infusing lived expertise, targeting service delivery to reduce the duration of homelessness and building a service culture that promotes antiracism, diversity, equity, and inclusion.

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoC's geographic area?	Yes

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] was influenced by individuals seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance due to recent arrivals in our CoC geographic area. During coordinated entry assessments, questions are asked to identify whether a person has experienced homelessness for the first time and last known zip codes. Clients enter from outside the state, as well as from neighboring areas that may not have access to resources like the City of Atlanta does. The additions increase the need for more shelter and housing options by City of Atlanta service providers, to meet the growing needs of the community.

2C-2.	Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time one remains homeless is rooted in its coordinated entry (CE) system. The VI-SPDAT tool, the standard assessment used by the CoC, prioritizes individuals & families who are most vulnerable; who are most likely to remain homeless; and who have long lengths of time homeless. CE has expanded to large shelter facilities across the CoC to ensure those experiencing longer terms in shelter are being captured for permanent housing solutions. All PSH is prioritized for chronically homeless individuals and families, which is part of the CoC's strategy for housing those with the longest time homeless. The CoC has expanded RRH through partnerships with the Department of Family and Children's services to be able to refer more families to rapid rehousing and reduce their length of time in the homeless response system. Finally, the CoC has implemented a diversion program to rapidly exit individuals and families from the homeless queue and prevent extended times of homelessness. System performance measures such length of time, inflow and outflow are monitored and tracked to determine the progress made in reducing homelessness annually and length of time one remains in the homeless response system.

The CoC has a written policy on prioritization for prioritizing individuals or households for PH resources within the CoC. Individuals and families with higher VI-SPDAT scores, longer length of time homeless, and longer length of time on the queue are generally prioritized for an available resource based on their need and eligibility for the resource. The CoC also has prioritization policies for PH programs with additional eligibility factors, and thus ensures those experiencing homelessness the longest are still being prioritized when determining eligibility for such programs. The policies enable the CoC to customize and refine strategies further through case conferencing for complex cases and those with high vulnerabilities.

The Collaborative Applicant, Partners for HOME, is responsible for overseeing the Atlanta CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
	2. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. To increase the rate at which people in EH, TH & RRH exit to permanent housing destinations, the CoC strengthens & continues to expand its CES to ensure everyone experiencing homelessness has access to & is assessed through CE. Two new projects for CE expansion have been underway for this funding cycle to meet this goal. The CoC partners with the local PHA to expand the PH portfolio & those vacancies with people directly from CE. One of the new projects for RRH with the use of PHA vouchers is underway with the Bridge Initiative where the City of Atlanta and Partners for HOME (PFH) identified priority bridge locations around the CoC's geographic area based on environmental safety, health safety, size & infrastructure safety. The CoC continues to identify & onboard new CE Access points throughout its geographic location & curates new access points based on subpopulation such as CH, families, youth & veterans. The CoC continues to train ES providers on low-barrier practices & exiting to PH. The CoC uses performance measures from ES providers to track the number of residents leaving the shelter to PH.

2. To increase the rate at which people in PH retain their housing, the Atlanta CoC works closely with these projects & case conference all terminations to either avoid termination or facilitate a program transfer with the goal of reducing returns to homelessness. In instances of resident terminations, shelter providers participate in case conferencing to receive guidance on how to mitigate terminations & approval from the CoC to ensure that the maximum number of people are able to exit to PH. The CoC has policies that necessitate providers discuss and determine the validity of all denials through case conferencing with the provider and the CoC's CE and housing leadership. In addition, the CoC coordinates ongoing trainings to innovate strategies to handle complex situations using the HF model to increase client retention & lower terminations & evictions. The CoC transitioned their Move On program to Haven vouchers which enabled them to provide clients with PBVs through the local PHA when individuals are ready for self-sufficiency. Once individuals are stable, they continue to remain in affordable PH with tenancy supports.

3. The Collaborative Appicante, Partners for HOME, is responsible for overseeing the strategy to increase the rate that individuals & families exit to or retain permanent housing.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC is committed to identifying individuals & families at risk of returning to homelessness through a comprehensive & proactive strategy. Utilizing HMIS as a front-end triage tool, data helps identify individual and families who return to homelessness. When they are identified, case managers reach out to understand what led to the return and help households navigate their pathway back to housing.

2. The Atlanta CoC's case management efforts are designed to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness. Case managers are funded and trained to address a variety of needs for clients that will help them maintain housing. This can include employment, health care, mainstream benefits, and educational supports that ensure clients are successful with their intervention. Case conferencing is conducted by the Collaborative Applicant with project teams to navigate any issues case managers may have with clients and identify solutions to prevent returns to homelessness. Through monitoring of projects, we ensure projects are meeting programmatic outcomes and adherence to system measures.

3. The Collaborative Applicant, Partners for HOME, is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Atlanta CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources includes working with stakeholders across public and private sectors to connect clients we work with to opportunities for employment. During coordinated entry, questions are asked to understand employment needs of a client. Employment navigators are located at coordinated access points to assist households with accessing training/education/employment opportunities, supported employment, and benefits. Case management teams also use employment information to develop plans to support clients in obtaining employment. Industries in need of employees can speak with stakeholders at CoC meetings, as well as use the CoC's digital platforms to highlight employment for persons experiencing homelessness. Additionally, training opportunities are promoted throughout the CoC, that can build the skills for clients to obtain employment.

2. The CoC leverages community resources and encourages collaboration CoC- wide. Partnerships are developed with organizations such as Atlanta Workforce Development, the State TANF Agency, supportive services providers, Metro Atlanta Exchange leadership, and representatives from employment/job training organizations such as First Step Staffing and West Side Works, which operates with robust job programs including connections to culinary, CDA, construction, CAN, and other training and placement opportunities. The resources are communicated to CoC providers to ways on how clients can increase their cash income.

3. The Collaborative Applicant, Partners for Home, is responsible for overseeing the strategy to increase income from employment.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Housing Navigators are trained in assisting individuals to apply for SSI and SSDI. The CoC has a referral agreement with partner agencies dedicated to assisting people who are homeless with disability claims and to receive clients for ongoing disability application support. The CoC partner agencies work with the Georgia Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities to regularly receive SOAR training to ensure that staff are aware of current trends and updates in assisting people in need of SSI or SSDI. Additionally, all Housing Navigators are trained to assess for and assist clients with applying for noncash benefits as well as for screening for clients who may need support with a disability application. They are referred to the SOAR navigator and/or to employment agencies for ongoing support.

2. The Collaborative Applicant, Partners for Home, is responsible for overseeing the strategy to increase income from employment.

## 3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

## 3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable



### 3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable

## 4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
Applicant Name		
This list contains no items		

## 4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.		
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.		
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.		
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.		
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.		
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.		
	. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).		
	. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.		
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.		
8.	Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.		
Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/27/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	Lived Experience ...	10/27/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	10/27/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/27/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/27/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/27/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/27/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/27/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Web Posting-CoC-A...	10/27/2024
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Notification of C...	10/28/2024

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	2024 HDX Competit...	10/27/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:** PHA Homeless Preference

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:**

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:** Lived Experience Support Letter

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:** Housing First Evaluation

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:** Local Competition Scoring Tool

## Attachment Details

**Document Description:** Scored Forms for One Project

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Notification of Projects Accepted

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Local Competition Selection Results

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Web Posting-CoC-Approved Consoliders  
Application

## **Attachment Details**

**Document Description:** Notification of CoC-Approved COnsolidated  
Application

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2024 HDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

## Submission Summary

**Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.**

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	10/20/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/25/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/29/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/28/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	10/27/2024
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/25/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/25/2024
2C. System Performance	10/25/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/25/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/25/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/25/2024



<b>4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants</b>	10/25/2024
<b>4B. Attachments Screen</b>	10/28/2024
<b>Submission Summary</b>	No Input Required

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1C-7 PHA Homeless Preference**

- Letter from PHA addressing preference
- Excerpts from Approved FY25 PHA MTW Annual Plan showing homeless preference

## Letter from PHA addressing preference



October 23, 2024

Cathryn Vassell, LCSW, Esq.  
Chief Executive Officer  
Partners for HOME  
818 Pollard Blvd.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30312

**RE: 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition and Atlanta Housing's Use of Homeless Special Admissions in lieu of Preferences**

Dear Ms. Vassell:

In response to the US Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for the FY24 and FY25 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition, Partners for HOME (PFH), as the Collaborative Applicant for the Atlanta CoC, requested that Atlanta Housing (AH) provide information regarding question:

*1C-7 Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area – New Admissions-General/Limited Preference-Moving on Strategy.*

**As the only public housing authority in the city of Atlanta, AH has implemented programs designed to prevent and reduce homelessness in Atlanta using special admissions criteria rather than preferences, *per se*.** AH, as a Moving to Work (MTW) agency, has broad authority under its Amended and Restated Moving to Work Agreement (MTW Agreement) with HUD to establish special admissions criteria and preferences for special initiatives and other related housing arrangements in order to address urgent local needs, such as homelessness.

AH's governing document is the *Amended and Restated Statement of Corporate Policies* (Statement of Corporate Policies) adopted by AH's Board of Commissioners. Chapter 1, Article 13 (page 11) of the Statement of Corporate Policies sets forth a broad policy applicable to all rental assistance programs that authorizes AH to establish special programs, policies and strategic initiatives. In addition, the Statement of Corporate Policies includes policies for special admissions to AH's housing voucher programs. Such special admissions also apply to permanent supportive housing units assisted by AH. Chapter 3, Part II, Article 4 (page 42), of the Statement of Corporate Policies sets forth, in part, the following:

*Atlanta Housing, in its discretion, may authorize the special admission of eligible and qualified applicants to the Program, in order to implement special initiatives; offer homeownership opportunities to eligible households; admit households impacted by an owner opt-out of a housing assistance contract on a HUD-insured multifamily development; and/or address an urgent local need as determined and approved by Atlanta Housing including, but not limited to, ... assistance to eligible victims of domestic violence pursuant to the Violence Against Women Act, pilot programs for at-risk populations, relocation activities and mitigation of displacement related to real estate development initiatives.*

**When AH creates a pilot program with specific special admissions criteria, it could be considered a *de facto* preference.** AH and the partner agency would enter into an intergovernmental agreement to establish

special admissions criteria and processes for members of the Target Population. Qualified households admitted under this *de facto* preference would have full access to privately owned rental properties in the City of Atlanta that, per AH's operating policies, meet the qualifications and standards for properties in the Housing Choice tenant-based program. Special admissions and the implementation of a *de facto* preference, are no different than what AH and many other public housing authorities have in place for special purpose voucher programs, such as the Family Unification Program, which allows a PHA to accept qualified referrals directly from the local public child welfare agency, or HUD-VASH, which allows a PHA to accept qualified referrals directly from the Department of Veterans Affairs. AH believes these arrangements with partner entities achieve the same purpose as a homeless admissions preference and constitute a substantial commitment to provide targeted housing opportunities for homeless families and individuals.

AH has demonstrated commitment to the critical needs around homelessness in the City of Atlanta. In 2023:

- 2,250 families and individuals who were experiencing or at heightened risk of homelessness were provided with stable housing
- 613 families and individuals who were facing eviction were provided with emergency assistance

In sum, Atlanta Housing fully supports the goals of the Atlanta CoC to reduce homelessness and provide housing opportunities for homeless individuals and families. As the largest public housing authority in the state and one that can effectively leverage its MTW flexibility, AH is well-positioned through the use of special admissions (i.e. *de facto* preferences) to have a significant impact in helping the Atlanta CoC achieve its housing goals.

Sincerely –

DocuSigned by:  
  
EF142F258F584ED...

Tracy Jones

Senior Vice President

Housing Choice Voucher Program



---

***Amended and Restated Statement of Corporate Policies***

Adopted by the Board of Commissioners

**May 27, 2020**

---

**AMENDED AND RESTATED STATEMENT OF CORPORATE POLICIES  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PREAMBLE**

iii

**CHAPTER 1 – GENERAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS FOR RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

<b><u>Part/Article</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
1. Objective	1
2. Definitions	1
3. Moving to Work Demonstration	2
4. Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity	2
5. Deconcentration Policy	2
6. Reasonable Accommodation Policy	3
7. Definitions of Family	4
8. Disabilities Definition for Program Eligibility Determination	4
9. Live-in Aide Policy	5
10. Work Requirement	6
11. General Rent Policies	7
12. Recertification	10
13. Special Programs, Policies and Strategic Initiatives	10
14. Economic Self-Sufficiency	10
15. Criminal History Screening Policy	12
16. Fraud and Misrepresentation	14
17. Response to Federally Declared Disasters	15

**CHAPTER 2 – AH-ASSISTED UNIT POLICIES**

<b><u>Part/Article</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Part I – Introduction	17
1. General Policy Requirements	17
2. AH-Assisted Unit	17
3. AH Assisted Unit Proposal Selection	18
4. AH Investment	19
5. AH Investment Proposal Selection	19
6. Management and Administration	20
7. Applicability of Policies to Residents and Applicants	22
8. Site Based Waiting List Policy	22
9. Reasonable Accommodation	22
10. Private Sector Innovation	23
Part II – Applicant and Resident Suitability	24
1. Determining Criteria	24
2. Initial Leasing Considerations	25
3. Applicant Selection Policies	25
4. General Considerations for Applicant Selection from a Site-Based Waiting List	26
5. Order of Selection for UFAS-Accessible Units	28
6. Screening of Applicants and Residents	31
7. Dispute Process for Adverse Criminal History Decisions	32
Part III – Resident Benefits and Opportunities	34
1. Rent Structures and Related Policies	34
2. Basic Lease Obligations and Responsibilities	35
3. Occupancy, Charges and Allowances	35
4. Transfers	36
5. Pet Policy	38

6. Disputing Decisions of Manager	39
-----------------------------------	----

**AMENDED AND RESTATED STATEMENT OF CORPORATE POLICIES  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**CHAPTER 2 – AH-ASSISTED UNIT POLICIES (Continued)**

<b><u>Part/Article</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Part IV – Miscellaneous	40
1. Availability of Official Leasing Documents	40
2. Approval of Management Practices	41

**CHAPTER 3 – HOUSING CHOICE TENANT-BASED PROGRAM POLICIES**

<b><u>Part/Article</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Part I – Introduction	42
1. General Policy Requirements	42
2. Program Management and Operating Procedures	42
Part II – Admission to the Program	42
1. Waiting List Notification	42
2. Waiting List Application Process	42
3. Organization and Maintenance of the Waiting List	43
4. Special Admissions	43
5. Eligibility Determinations	44
Part III – Program Participation	44
1. Family Briefings	44
2. Voucher Issuance	44
3. Voucher Size	44
4. Transfer of Assistance	45
5. Suitability	45
6. Participant Obligations and Responsibilities	45
7. Program Move	46
8. Portability	46
Part IV – AH Submarket Payment Standards and Contract Rent Determination	47
1. MTW Authorization	47
2. AH Submarket Payment Standards	47
3. Setting Reasonable Contract Rents	47
4. AH Submarket Payment Standards Limitations on Contract Rents	48
Part V – Inspections	48
1. Quality Units	48
2. Frequency of Inspections	49
3. Program Marketing and Outreach	49
Part VI – Housing Choice Rental Assistance Agreement	49
1. Business Relationships between AH and Owners/Landlords	49
2. Lease Addendum	50
3. Lease and HCRA Agreement Termination	50
4. Enhancements to HCRA Agreement and Lease Addendum	50
5. Business Relationship Program Procedures	50



**AMENDED AND RESTATED STATEMENT OF CORPORATE POLICIES  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**CHAPTER 3 – HOUSING CHOICE TENANT-BASED PROGRAM POLICIES (Continued)**

<b><u>Part/Article</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Part VII – Program Terminations	50
1. Denial and Termination of Assistance	50
2. Other Terminations of HCRA Agreements and Participation Assistance	51
3. Termination of Assistance Notification	51
4. Informal Reviews and Informal Hearings	51
Part VIII – Inter-Jurisdictional Cooperation	53
1. Cooperative Agreements	53
Part IX – Special Programs	53
1. Special Programs Policy	53
Part X – Housing Choice Homeownership Policy	53
1. Authorization	53
2. Program Implementation and Administration	53

**CHAPTER 4 – SUPPORTIVE HOUSING POLICIES**

<b><u>Part/Article</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Part I – Introduction	55
1. General Policy Requirements	55
2. Background for Establishment of Supportive Housing Program	55
3. Supportive Housing Operating Procedures	56
4. Definitions	56
5. Rent Determinations	57
Part II – HomeFlex Proposal Selection and Site-Based Administration	57
1. Proposal Selection	57
2. Supportive Housing HomeFlex Agreement	58
3. Owner’s Operating Procedures	59
4. Training	59
Part III – Admission and Residency Requirements	59
1. Supportive Housing Eligibility Requirements	60
2. Occupancy Arrangements	60
3. Denial of Admission and Termination of Assistance	61
4. Term of Rental Assistance	61
Part IV – Service Provider Arrangements	62
1. Service Provider Agreement	62
2. Approval of the Service Provider	62
3. Service Delivery Coordination	62
4. Service Coordinator Agreement	62

various written communications and guidelines in accordance with the Operating Procedures.

## **ARTICLE THIRTEEN.**

### **SPECIAL PROGRAMS, POLICIES AND STRATEGIC INITIATIVES**

Atlanta Housing, in its discretion, may establish special programs, special admissions policies, operational protocols and/or strategies designed to address economic, human development or self-sufficiency programs, relocation activities, displacement related to real estate development initiatives, MTW initiatives, pilot programs, safe and secure community enhancements, the use of bona fide law enforcement agencies or personnel, and homeownership opportunities. Written procedures and processes developed for any special program, policy and/or strategy must be approved by AH and/or authorized by the President and Chief Executive Officer for implementation and/or inclusion in the MTW Annual Plan.

## **ARTICLE FOURTEEN.**

### **ECONOMIC SELF-SUFFICIENCY**

1. Atlanta Housing, in its discretion, may explore strategies that recognize the progression of AH-Assisted Households toward economic self-sufficiency which may lead to their graduation from a Rental Assistance Program.
2. HUD established the Community Service and Self-Sufficiency Requirement (CSSR) which would require unemployed AH-Assisted Household members (ages 18 – 61 who are not receiving TANF benefits, exempt from work requirements, engaged in work activities or unable to comply because of a disability) to contribute the HUD-specified number of hours each month to community service or an economic self-sufficiency program. AH's Work Requirement, as set forth above in Article Ten, applies to its Rental Assistance Programs instead of HUD's CSSR.
3. Each AH-Assisted Household is responsible for ensuring that any school age member who is under 18 years of age attends school on a regular basis in accordance with local school board policies and state law. Upon the request of Atlanta Housing, an Owner Entity or Management Agent, the AH-Assisted Household must provide such information, releases and authority to verify compliance and the attendance status of any such school age member of the AH-Assisted Household.
4. If it is determined that any school age member of the AH-Assisted Household who is under 18 years of age is not attending school on a regular basis or who has not completed her/his secondary education, such school age member will be required to attend school on a regular basis or enroll or reenroll, as applicable in and attend an accredited public or private secondary academic or technical school. The AH-Assisted Household must provide to Atlanta Housing, the Owner Entity or the Management Agent such information, releases and authority to verify compliance and the attendance status of any such school age member of the AH-Assisted Household.
5. An AH-Assisted Household, in which one or more of its members who are subject to but not in compliance with the Work Requirement, may be determined to be a household that is non-compliant toward self-sufficiency, provided such non-compliant members of the AH-Assisted Household are engaged in work, school, training or any combination thereof for at least 15 hours per week ("Non-Compliant Household"). Non-Compliant

### ARTICLE THREE.

### ORGANIZATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE WAITING LIST

1. The equitable methods of processing Applicants on the Waiting List and the processes for organizing, updating and maintaining Applicant records are set forth in the Operating Procedures. AH, in its discretion, may establish reasonable procedures related to the Waiting List, including but not limited to procedures for reevaluating the reliability of waiting list information provided by Applicants, exploring alternative strategies for the selection of Applicants (including the implementation of residency and other local preferences) and setting the requirement that Applicants on the Waiting List must notify AH of their interest within a specified period of time in order to remain on the Waiting List.
2. The maintenance of the Waiting List with respect to updating applications, notice letters, and removals will be detailed in the Operating Procedures.
3. Applicant responsibilities and requirements for updating changes to the information reported during the application process and for responding to requests for information are stated in the Operating Procedures.

### ARTICLE FOUR.

### SPECIAL ADMISSIONS

1. Atlanta Housing may accept applications from eligible households who have relocated from a community owned by Atlanta Housing due to demolition, repositioning, disposition or other related Atlanta Housing activities without opening the Waiting List.
2. Atlanta Housing, in its discretion, may authorize the special admission of eligible and qualified applicants to the Program, in order to implement special initiatives; offer homeownership opportunities to eligible households; admit households impacted by an owner opt-out of a housing assistance contract on a HUD-insured multi-family development; and/or address an urgent local need as determined and approved by Atlanta Housing including, but not limited to, Rental Assistance Demonstration related construction, foreclosure assistance and natural disasters, assistance to eligible victims of domestic violence pursuant to the *Violence Against Women Act*, pilot programs for at-risk populations, relocation activities and mitigation of displacement related to real estate development initiatives. Special admissions may also be approved, as a reasonable accommodation, for transfer assistance to an eligible and qualified person with a verifiable disability who resides in an AH-Owned Community or whose name is on a transfer/waiting list to be assisted who requires a UFAS Accessible Unit.
3. Any household eligible for a special admission, as determined by Atlanta Housing, may not be denied a Voucher for admission to the Program provided that no member of the household has committed an offense specifically identified by HUD pursuant to 24 CFR 982.553 as described in Chapter 1, Article Fifteen of this Statement of Corporate Policies.
4. Atlanta Housing, in its discretion, may deny special admission to a household if any of their household members are or have been engaged in criminal activity that could reasonably be expected to indicate a threat to the health, safety or welfare of others, including other residents, Atlanta Housing and its staff, Owners/Landlords, and/or Management Agent staff as stated in Chapter 1, Article Fifteen of this Statement of Corporate Policies.

Excerpts from Approved FY25 PHA MTW Annual  
Plan showing homeless preference

# MTW

MOVING

TO

WORK

## ANNUAL PLAN

Public Comment Period: February 5 – March 8, 2024

Board of Commissioners: March 27, 2024

HUD Submission: April 17, 2024

HUD Approval: August 15, 2024

# FY 2025





Planned and Projected Renewal HomeFlex Projects			
Community/Property Name	Affordable Unit Count	HomeFlex Agreement Type	HomeFlex Agreement Target Population
Odyssey at Villas	32	Haven	Haven/Family
Park Commons/Gates Park (HFOP**)	22	Haven	Haven/Near-Elderly (55+)
Park Commons/Gates Park (HFS***)	19	Standard	Haven/Senior (62+)
The Legacy at Walton Lakes	24	Haven	Haven/Near-Elderly (55+)
<b>Total</b>	<b>868</b>		

Source: Construction Management Update Brief as of January 24, 2024

\*AH's supportive housing program, where property owners provide wrap around supportive services for specific at-risk populations.

\*\*HFOP = Housing for Older Persons (55+)

\*\*\*HFS = Housing for Seniors (62+)

**Note:** AH expects to complete or execute agreements for the projects above during FY 2025; however, projects listed above may complete or have an agreement executed in late FY 2024.

## ENHANCE HOUSING ASSISTANCE RESOURCES

- Due to higher interest rates and increasing housing costs, AH plans to continue to create new affordable housing opportunities under its **Comprehensive Homeownership Program** activity by assisting 224 eligible, first-time home buyers through down payment assistance (DPA) subsidy of up to \$25,000. Under this DPA program, households receiving assistance may choose to reside anywhere within the city boundaries. AH's maximum home price has increased to \$375,000 in alignment with the local housing market.
  - While this activity increases housing choices for low-income families, the units created under this activity are local, non-traditional units and follow the guidance of AH's MTW Agreement and HUD Notice PIH 2011-45 and not Section 8 or Section 9 Assistance Programs identified under the Housing Act of 1937.
- In accordance with AH's Housing Initiative Plan and affordable homeownership goals, AH will continue to advance affordable homeownership opportunities on AH-owned land through fee-simple land sales, a land trust form of ownership to assure long-term affordability, or other strategies that increase housing choices for low-income households.
  - Land sales, construction, and homeownership closings may include reduced land value, an AH investment, and/or AH down payment assistance to support affordability.
  - AH may also pursue long-term affordability through land dispositions, collaboration with land trusts, and similar activities.
- Many Atlantans are at-risk of or are experiencing homelessness and AH plans to continue administering activities and designing solutions under its **Develop Alternate and Supportive Housing Resources** activity to assist families experiencing or facing homelessness.
  - AH deploys its MTW flexibilities under this activity to designate a portion of its vouchers to create Special Voucher Programs (SVPs) for targeted population groups. Point-in-time counts, partner agency referrals, need, available funding, and other factors affect the total number of households assisted. Planned SVPs and household counts are on the next page:

Supportive Housing Programs			
Program Name	Planned Household Units	Voucher Type/Term	MTW Flexibility?
<b>AH's Special Vouchers Programs (SVPs)</b>			
Emergency Housing (EHV)	195	Absorbed Tenant-Based assistance from HUD	Limited
GA Housing Voucher	21	Absorbed Tenant-Based assistance from GA Dept. of Community Affairs (DCA)	Yes
HAVEN Continuum of Care (CoC)	525	Assignment of assistance from AH to Partners for Home (Atlanta's CoC)	Yes
Homeless Protection Supportive Vouchers	46	Tenant-Based assistance	Yes
Housing First	80	Tenant-Based assistance	Yes
Special Program Voucher for Homeless Student	75	Tenant-Based assistance designated for homeless students at Atlanta Public Schools	Yes
<i>Special Vouchers Program Total</i>	942		
<b>HUD's Special Purpose Vouchers (SPVs)</b>			
Family Unification Program (FUP)	300	Tenant-Based assistance - HUD	No
Mainstream 1/Non-Elderly Disabled (NED)	175		No
Mainstream 5	125		No
Mainstream 5 (Partners For Homes)	23		No
Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing	270	Tenant-Based assistance – HUD and VA	No
<i>Supportive Housing</i>	893		
<b>Total Vouchers</b>	<b>1,835</b>		
Home Again	405	Short-term financial assistance	Yes
Home First (HAVEN)	638	Project-Based assistance for units providing wrap-a-round services	Yes
<i>Alt. Supportive Housing</i>	1,043		
<b>All Supportive Housing</b>	<b>2,878</b>		

Source: FY 2024 Month-by-Month Performance Template Tracker as of January 18, 2024

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1D-10a Lived Experience Support Letter**

- Letter from Client Advisory Council, signed by 3 members



October 12, 2024

US Department of Housing and Urban Development  
Community Planning and Development

RE: 2024 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition and Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness

This letter is in response to the NOFO question *1D-10a. Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.*

The Atlanta CoC Client Advisory Council (CAA) is comprised of 17 members, all of whom have lived experience of homelessness. In addition to our lived experience, we also have a variety of professional experience that we bring to the Committee and the CoC. The purpose of the CAC is to advise stakeholders with decision making authority in the CoC to ensure that the voices of those with lived experience and expertise are heard and included. We meet monthly to discuss and support the efforts related to addressing and ending homelessness in Atlanta.

Recognizing the importance that people with lived experience bring to the CoC, earlier this year, the CoC Policy Committee unanimously decided that any CoC policies will first go to the CAC for review and discussion prior to going to the Policy Committee for final approval.

The CAC fully supports the [Atlanta CoC 2023-2028 Strategic Plan](#) which includes the community's priorities for serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in Atlanta. These include:

- Working to move individuals experiencing chronic homelessness to supportive housing or higher levels of care;
- Using flexible resources to help families, unaccompanied youth, and young adults remain in safe housing;
- Standardizing and training providers on a progressive engagement model; and
- Enhancing access to behavioral health services that support stabilization in housing.


Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely –

  
Wali Muhammed (Oct 23, 2024 14:46 EDT)


Atlanta Continuum of Care  
Client Advisory Council Member

Wali Muhammed

  
Richard Deshields (Oct 22, 2024 08:15 EDT)

Atlanta Continuum of Care  
Client Advisory Council Member

Richard Deshields

  
Lauren Fareira (Oct 22, 2024 15:27 EDT)

Atlanta Continuum of Care  
Client Advisory Council Member

Lauren Fareira

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1D-2a Housing First Evaluation**

- Completed HF Self-Assessment (funded RRH project)
- Blank HF Self-Assessment
- Tool HF Self-Assessment was modified from

## Completed HF Self-Assessment

#3

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
Started: Tuesday, September 10, 2024 11:18:50 AM  
Last Modified: Tuesday, September 10, 2024 11:22:58 AM  
Time Spent: 00:04:07  
IP Address: 99.31.172.49

QUIZ SUMMARY

35% SCORE	17/49 POINTS	1/9 RANK	100% PERCENTILE
--------------	-----------------	-------------	--------------------

QUIZ RESULTS

Correct	Incorrect	Partially Correct	Skipped	Total Questions
11	1	0	7	19

Page 1

Q1

Agency Name

[Redacted]

Note: The survey tool was designed with logic so that only applicable questions would appear. So, since this was a PH project so only those PH questions populated for the respondent to answer, which is why it shows that the Outreach and ES questions was "skipped."

Q2

Project Name

[Redacted]

Q3

Permanent Housing

Project Type

Page 2: Outreach Programs

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Does your program receive real-time information about vacancies in Permanent Housing?

0/1 pt

**Q5** Respondent skipped this question

The entire process from street outreach (with an engaged client) to move--in to permanent housing typically takes:

0/4 pts

---

**Q6** Respondent skipped this question

Approximately what percentage of chronic and vulnerable homeless people served by your outreach program goes straight into permanent housing (without going through emergency shelter and transitional housing)?

0/5 pts

---

**Q7** Respondent skipped this question

Indicate whether priority consideration for your program's services is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:

0/5 pts

---

Page 3: Emergency Shelter Programs

**Q8** Respondent skipped this question

Does your program receive real-time information about vacancies in Permanent Housing?

0/1 pt

---

**Q9** Respondent skipped this question

Approximately what percentage of chronic and vulnerable homeless people staying in your emergency shelter program go straight into permanent housing without first going through transitional housing?

0/5 pts

---

**Q10** Respondent skipped this question

Indicate whether priority consideration for shelter at your program is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:

0/5 pts

---

Page 4: Permanent Housing Programs

## Atlanta CoC Housing First Self-Assessment

<b>Q11</b>	 <b>Yes</b>	<b>( 1pt )</b>
Does your program accept applicants with active substance use?		
1/1 pt		
<b>Q12</b>	 <b>Yes</b>	<b>( 1pt )</b>
Does your program accept applicants with chronic substance use issues?		
1/1 pt		
<b>Q13</b>	 <b>Yes</b>	<b>( 1pt )</b>
Does your program accept applicants with untreated mental illness?		
1/1 pt		
<b>Q14</b>	 <b>Yes</b>	<b>( 1pt )</b>
Does your program accept applicants who are young adults (18-24)?		
1/1 pt		
<b>Q15</b>	 <b>Yes</b>	<b>( 1pt )</b>
Does your program accept applicants with criminal backgrounds (any)?		
1/1 pt		
<b>Q16</b>	 <b>Yes</b>	<b>( 1pt )</b>
Does your program accept applicants with felony convictions?		
1/1 pt		
<b>Q17</b>	 <b>Yes</b>	<b>( 1pt )</b>
Does your program accept applicants with sex offender or arson convictions?		
1/1 pt		
<b>Q18</b>	 <b>Yes</b>	<b>( 1pt )</b>
Does your program accept applicants with poor credit?		
1/1 pt		

**Q19** ✓ Yes ( 1pt )

Does your program accept applicants with no current source of income (pending SSI/DI)?

1/1 pt

---

**Q20** ✓ No – Program participants have access to housing with no requirements to demonstrate readiness (other than provisions in a standard lease) ( 3pts )

Are program participants required to demonstrate housing readiness to gain access to units?

3/3 pts

---

**Q21** ✓ Participants who demonstrate a high level of housing instability/chronic homelessness ( 1pt ),

Indicate whether priority consideration for housing access is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:

5/5 pts

✓ Participants who have criminal justice records, including currently on probation/parole/court mandate ( 1pt ),

✓ Participants who are actively using substances, including alcohol and illicit drugs (NOT including dependency or active addiction that compromises safety) ( 1pt ),

✓ Participants who do not engage in any mental health or substance treatment services ( 1pt ),

✓ Participants who demonstrate instability of mental health symptoms (NOT including those who present danger to self or others) ( 1pt )

---

**Q22** ✗ None of the above ( 0pt )

Indicate whether program participants must meet the following requirements to ACCESS permanent housing. Check all that apply:

0/6 pts

---

# Blank HF Self-Assessment





## Atlanta CoC Housing First Self-Assessment

According to the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Housing First is a model of housing assistance that prioritizes rapid placement and stability in permanent housing in which admission does not have preconditions (such as sobriety or a minimum income threshold) and in which housing assistance is not conditioned upon participation in services.

As the lead agency for the CoC, Partners for HOME is required by HUD to do a regular evaluation of programs in the community. This serves several purposes, including -

- helping to get a community-wide baseline of Housing First implementation, and
- verifying that the projects that are committed to the Housing First approach are prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and are not requiring service participation or preconditions of their participants.

Thank you for taking a few minutes for completing this assessment. If your agency has more than one program, please complete a survey for each program, or program type (if the the programs of the same type are run the same).

\* Agency Name

\* Project Name

\* Project Type

☐ Emergency Shelter

☐ Outreach Program

☐ Permanent Housing



## **Atlanta CoC Housing First Self-Assessment**

### **Outreach Programs**

\* Does your program receive real-time information about vacancies in Permanent Housing?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* The entire process from street outreach (with an engaged client) to move--in to permanent housing typically takes:

☐ More than 180 days

☐ Between 91 and 179 days

☐ Between 61 and 90 days

☐ Between 31 and 60 days

☐ 30 days or less

☐ Unknown

\* Approximately what percentage of chronic and vulnerable homeless people served by your outreach program goes straight into permanent housing (without going through emergency shelter and transitional housing)?

☐ More than 75%

☐ Between 51% and 75%

☐ Between 26% and 50%

☐ Between 11% and 25%

☐ 10% or less

☐ Unknown

\* Indicate whether priority consideration for your program's services is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:

- ☐ Participants who demonstrate a high level of housing instability/chronic homelessness
- ☐ Participants who have criminal justice records, including currently on probation/parole/court mandate
- ☐ Participants who are actively using substances, including alcohol and illicit drugs
- ☐ Participants who do not engage in any mental health or substance treatment services
- ☐ Participants who demonstrate instability of mental health symptoms (NOT including those who present danger to self or others)
- ☐ None of the above



## **Atlanta CoC Housing First Self-Assessment**

### **Emergency Shelter Programs**

\* Does your program receive real-time information about vacancies in Permanent Housing?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\* Approximately what percentage of chronic and vulnerable homeless people staying in your emergency shelter program go straight into permanent housing without first going through transitional housing?

- ☐ More than 75%
- ☐ Between 51% and 75%
- ☐ Between 26% and 50%
- ☐ Between 11% and 25%
- ☐ 10% or less
- ☐ Unknown

\* Indicate whether priority consideration for shelter at your program is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:

- ☐ Participants who demonstrate a high level of housing instability/chronic homelessness
- ☐ Participants who have criminal justice records, including currently on probation/parole/court mandate
- ☐ Participants who are actively using substances, including alcohol and illicit drugs
- ☐ Participants who do not engage in any mental health or substance treatment services
- ☐ Participants who demonstrate instability of mental health symptoms (NOT including those who present danger to self or others)
- ☐ None of the above



**Atlanta CoC Housing First Self-Assessment**  
Permanent Housing Programs

\* Does your program accept applicants with active substance use?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Does your program accept applicants with chronic substance use issues?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Does your program accept applicants with untreated mental illness?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Does your program accept applicants who are young adults (18-24)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Does your program accept applicants with criminal backgrounds (any)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Does your program accept applicants with felony convictions?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Does your program accept applicants with sex offender or arson convictions?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Does your program accept applicants with poor credit?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Does your program accept applicants with no current source of income (pending SSI/DI)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

\* Are program participants required to demonstrate housing readiness to gain access to units?

☐ No – Program participants have access to housing with no requirements to demonstrate readiness (other than provisions in a standard lease)

☐ Minimal – Program participants have access to housing with minimal readiness requirements, such as engagement with case management

☐ Yes – Program participant access to housing is determined by successfully completing a period of time in a program (e.g. transitional housing)

☐ Yes – To qualify for housing, program participants must meet requirements such as sobriety, medication compliance, or willingness to comply with program rules

\* Indicate whether priority consideration for housing access is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:

- ☐ Participants who demonstrate a high level of housing instability/chronic homelessness
- ☐ Participants who have criminal justice records, including currently on probation/parole/court mandate
- ☐ Participants who are actively using substances, including alcohol and illicit drugs (NOT including dependency or active addiction that compromises safety)
- ☐ Participants who do not engage in any mental health or substance treatment services
- ☐ Participants who demonstrate instability of mental health symptoms (NOT including those who present danger to self or others)
- ☐ None of the above

\* Indicate whether program participants must meet the following requirements to ACCESS permanent housing. Check all that apply:

- ☐ Complete a period of time in transitional housing, outpatient, inpatient, or other institutional setting / treatment facility
- ☐ Maintain sobriety or abstinence from alcohol and/or drugs
- ☐ Comply with medication
- ☐ Achieve psychiatric symptom stability
- ☐ Show willingness to comply with a treatment plan that addresses sobriety, abstinence, and/or medication compliance
- ☐ Agree to face-to-face visits with staff
- ☐ None of the above

Tool HF Self-Assessment was modified from



# Housing First Self-Assessment

Assess and Align Your Program and Community  
with a Housing First Approach

**100,000  
HOMES**



## HIGH PERFORMANCE SERIES

The 100,000 Homes Campaign team identified a cohort of factors that are correlated with higher housing placement rates across campaign communities. The purpose of this High Performance Series of tools is to spotlight best practices and expand the movement's peer support network by sharing this knowledge with every community.

This tool addresses Factor #4: *Evidence that the community has embraced a Housing First/Rapid Rehousing approach system-wide.*

The full series is available at: <http://100khomes.org/resources/high-performance-series>

# Housing First Self-Assessment

## Assess and Align Your Program with a Housing First Approach

A community can only end homelessness by housing every person who is homeless, including those with substance use and mental health issues. Housing First is a proven approach for housing chronic and vulnerable homeless people. Is your program a Housing First program? Does your community embrace a Housing First model system-wide? To find out, use the Housing First self-assessments in this tool. We've included separate assessments for:

- Outreach programs
- Emergency shelter programs
- Permanent housing programs
- System and community level stakeholder groups

### What is Housing First?

According to the National Alliance to End Homelessness, Housing First is an approach to ending homelessness that centers on providing homeless people with housing as quickly as possible – and then providing services as needed. Pioneered by **Pathways to Housing** ([www.pathwaystohousing.org](http://www.pathwaystohousing.org)) and adopted by hundreds of programs throughout the U.S., Housing First practitioners have demonstrated that virtually all homeless people are “housing ready” and that they can be quickly moved into permanent housing before accessing other common services such as substance abuse and mental health counseling.

### Why is this Toolkit Needed?

In spite of the fact that this approach is now almost universally touted as a solution to homelessness and Housing First programs exist in dozens of U.S. cities, few communities have adopted a Housing First approach on a systems-level. This toolkit serves as a starting point for communities who want to embrace a Housing First approach and allows individual programs and the community as a whole to identify where its practices are aligned with Housing First and what areas of its work to target for improvement to more fully embrace a Housing First approach. The toolkit consists of four self-assessments each of which can be completed in under 10 minutes:

- **Housing First in Outreach Programs Self-Assessment** (to be completed by outreach programs)
- **Housing First in Emergency Shelters Self-Assessment** (to be completed by emergency shelters)
- **Housing First in Permanent Supportive Housing Self-Assessment** (to be completed by supportive housing providers)
- **Housing First System Self-Assessment** (to be completed by community-level stakeholders such as Continuums of Care and/or government agencies charged with ending homelessness)

## How Should My Community Use This Tool?

- **Choose the appropriate Housing First assessment(s)** – Individual programs should choose the assessment that most closely matches their program type while community-level stakeholders should complete the systems assessment
- **Complete the assessment and score your results** – Each assessment includes a simple scoring guide that will tell you the extent to which your program or community is implementing Housing First
- **Share your results with others in your program or community** – To build the political will needed to embrace a Housing First approach, share with other stakeholders in your community
- **Build a workgroup charged with making your program or community more aligned with Housing First** - Put together a work plan with concrete tasks, person(s) responsible and due dates for the steps your program and/or community needs to take to align itself with Housing First and then get started!
- **Send your results and progress to the 100,000 Homes Campaign** – We'd love to hear how you score and the steps you are taking to adopt a Housing First approach!

## Who Does This Well?

The following programs in 100,000 Campaign communities currently incorporate Housing First principles into their everyday work:

- **Pathways to Housing** – [www.pathwaystohousing.org](http://www.pathwaystohousing.org)
- **DESC** – [www.desc.org](http://www.desc.org)
- **Center for Urban Community Services** – [www.cucs.org](http://www.cucs.org)

Many other campaign communities have also begun to prioritize the transition to a Housing First philosophy system-wide. Campaign contact information for each community is available at <http://100khomes.org/see-the-impact>

## Related Tools and Resources

This toolkit was inspired the work done by several colleagues, including the National Alliance to End Homelessness, Pathways to Housing and the Department of Veterans Affairs. For more information on the Housing First efforts of these groups, please visit the following websites:

- **National Alliance to End Homelessness** – [www.endhomelessness.org/pages/housingfirst](http://www.endhomelessness.org/pages/housingfirst)
- **Pathways to Housing** – [www.pathwaystohousing.org](http://www.pathwaystohousing.org)
- **Veterans Affairs (HUD VASH and Housing First, pages 170-182)** - [http://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/docs/Center/144\\_HUD-VASH\\_Book\\_WEB\\_High\\_Res\\_final.pdf](http://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/docs/Center/144_HUD-VASH_Book_WEB_High_Res_final.pdf)

For more information and support, please contact Erin Healy, Improvement Advisor - 100,000 Homes Campaign, at [ehealy@cmtysolutions.org](mailto:ehealy@cmtysolutions.org)

## Housing First Self-Assessment for Outreach Programs

**1. Does your program receive real-time information about vacancies in Permanent Supportive Housing?**

- **Yes** = 1 point
- **No** = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**2. The entire process from street outreach (with an engaged client) to move-in to permanent housing typically takes:**

- More than 180 days = 0 points
- Between 91 and 179 days = 1 point
- Between 61 and 90 days = 2 points
- Between 31 and 60 days = 3 points
- 30 days or less = 4 points
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**3. Approximately what percentage of chronic and vulnerable homeless people served by your outreach program goes straight into permanent housing (without going through emergency shelter and transitional housing)?**

- More than 75% = 5 points
- Between 51% and 75% = 4 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 3 points
- Between 11% and 25% = 2 points
- 10% or less = 1 point
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**4. Indicate whether priority consideration for your program's services is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:**

- ☐ Participants who demonstrate a high level of housing instability/chronic homelessness
- ☐ Participants who have criminal justice records, including currently on probation/parole/court mandate
- ☐ Participants who are actively using substances, including alcohol and illicit drugs Participants who do not engage in any mental health or substance treatment services
- ☐ Participants who demonstrate instability of mental health symptoms (NOT including those who present danger to self or others)

**Checked Five = 5 points**

**Checked Four = 4 points**

**Checked Three = 3 points**

**Checked Two = 2 points**

**Checked One = 1 point**

**Checked Zero = 0 points**

Total Points Scored:

**To calculate your Housing First Score, add the total points scored for each question above, then refer to the key below:**

**Total Housing First Score:**

If you scored: 13 points or more

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being implemented ideally

If you scored between: 10 – 12 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being well-implemented

If you scored between: 7 – 9 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being fairly well-implemented

If you scored between: 4 - 6 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being poorly implemented

If you scored between: 0 – 3 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely not being implemented

## Housing First Self-Assessment For Emergency Shelter Programs

**1. Does your program receive real-time information about vacancies in Permanent Supportive Housing?**

- **Yes** = 1 point
- **No** = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**2. Approximately what percentage of chronic and vulnerable homeless people staying in your emergency shelter go straight into permanent housing without first going through transitional housing?**

- More than 75% = 5 points
- Between 51% and 75% = 4 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 3 points
- Between 11% and 25% = 2 points
- 10% or less = 1 point
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**3. Indicate whether priority consideration for shelter at your program is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:**

- ☐ Participants who demonstrate a high level of housing instability/chronic homelessness
- ☐ Participants who have criminal justice records, including currently on probation/parole/court mandate
- ☐ Participants who are actively using substances, including alcohol and illicit drugs Participants who do not engage in any mental health or substance treatment services
- ☐ Participants who demonstrate instability of mental health symptoms (NOT including those who present danger to self or others)

**Checked Five = 5 points**

**Checked Four = 4 points**

**Checked Three = 3 points**

**Checked Two = 2 points**

**Checked One = 1 point**

**Checked Zero = 0 points**

Total Points Scored:

**To calculate your Housing First Score, add the total points scored for each question above, then refer to the key below:**

**Total Housing First Score:**

If you scored: 10 points or more

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being implemented ideally

If you scored between: 6 – 9 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being fairly well-implemented

If you scored between: 3 - 5 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being poorly implemented

If you scored between: 0 – 2 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely not being implemented

# **Housing First Self-Assessment for Permanent Housing Programs**

**1. Does your program accept applicants with the following characteristics:**

**a) Active Substance Use**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

**b) Chronic Substance Use Issues**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

**c) Untreated Mental Illness**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

**d) Young Adults (18-24)**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

**e) Criminal Background (any)**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

**f) Felony Conviction**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

**g) Sex Offender or Arson Conviction**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

**h) Poor Credit**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

**i) No Current Source of Income (pending SSI/DI)**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points



<u>Question Section</u>	<u># Points Scored</u>
Active Substance Use	
Chronic Substance Use Issues	
Untreated Mental Illness	
Young Adults (18-24)	
Criminal Background (any)	
Felony Conviction	
Sex Offender or Arson Conviction	
Poor Credit	
No Current Source of Income (pending SSI/DI)	
<b>Total Points Scored in Question #1:</b>	

**2. Program participants are required to demonstrate housing readiness to gain access to units?**

- No – Program participants have access to housing with no requirements to demonstrate readiness (other than provisions in a standard lease) = **3 points**
- Minimal – Program participants have access to housing with minimal readiness requirements, such as engagement with case management = **2 points**
- Yes – Program participant access to housing is determined by successfully completing a period of time in a program (e.g. transitional housing) = **1 point**
- Yes – To qualify for housing, program participants must meet requirements such as sobriety, medication compliance, or willingness to comply with program rules = **0 points**

Total Points Scored:
----------------------

**3. Indicate whether priority consideration for housing access is given to potential program participants with following characteristics. Check all that apply:**

- ☐ Participants who demonstrate a high level of housing instability/chronic homelessness
- ☐ Participants who have criminal justice records, including currently on probation/parole/court mandate
- ☐ Participants who are actively using substances, including alcohol and illicit drugs (NOT including dependency or active addiction that compromises safety)
- ☐ Participants who do not engage in any mental health or substance treatment services
- ☐ Participants who demonstrate instability of mental health symptoms (NOT including those who present danger to self or others)

**Checked Five = 5 points**

**Checked Four = 4 points**

**Checked Three = 3 points**

**Checked Two = 2 points**

**Checked One = 1 point**

**Checked Zero = 0 points**

Total Points Scored:

**4. Indicate whether program participants must meet the following requirements to ACCESS permanent housing. Check all that apply:**

- ☐ Complete a period of time in transitional housing, outpatient, inpatient, or other institutional setting / treatment facility
- ☐ Maintain sobriety or abstinence from alcohol and/or drugs
- ☐ Comply with medication
- ☐ Achieve psychiatric symptom stability
- ☐ Show willingness to comply with a treatment plan that addresses sobriety, abstinence, and/or medication compliance
- ☐ Agree to face-to-face visits with staff

**Checked Six = 6 points**

**Checked Five = 5 points**

**Checked Four = 4 points**

**Checked Three = 3 points**

**Checked Two = 2 points**

**Checked One = 1 point**

**Checked Zero = 0 points**

Total Points Scored:

**To calculate your Housing First Score, add the total points scored for each question above, then refer to the key below:**

**Total Housing First Score:**

If you scored: 21 points or more

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being implemented ideally

If you scored between: 15-20 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being well-implemented

If you scored between: 10 – 14 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being fairly well-implemented

If you scored between: 5 - 9 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being poorly implemented

If you scored between: 0 – 4 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely not being implemented

## **Housing First Self-Assessment For Systems & Community-Level Stakeholders**

**1. Does your community set outcome targets around permanent housing placement for your outreach programs?**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**2. For what percentage of your emergency shelters does your community set specific performance targets related to permanent housing placement?**

- 90% or more = 4 points
- Between 51% and 89% = 3 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 2 points
- 25% or less = 1 point
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**3. Considering all of the funding sources for supportive housing, what percentage of your vacancies in existing permanent supportive housing units are dedicated for people who meet the definition of chronic and/or vulnerable homeless?**

- 90% or more = 4 points
- Between 51% and 89% = 3 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 2 points
- 25% or less = 1 point
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**4. Considering all of the funding sources for supportive housing, what percentage of new supportive housing units are dedicated for people who meet the definition of chronic and/or vulnerable homeless?**

- 90% or more = 4 points
- Between 51% and 89% = 3 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 2 points
- Between 1% and 25% = 1 point
- 0% (we do not dedicate any units to this population) = 0 points
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**5. Does your community have a formal commitment from your local Public Housing Authority to provide a preference (total vouchers or turn-over vouchers) for homeless individuals and/or families?**

- Yes, a preference equal to 25% or more of total or turn-over vouchers = 4 points
- Yes, a preference equal to 10% - 24% or more of total or turn-over = 3 points
- Yes, a preference equal to 5% - 9% or more of total or turn-over = 2 points
- Yes, a preference equal to less than 5% or more of total or turn-over = 1 point
- No, we do not have an annual set-aside = 0 points
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**6. Has your community mapped out its housing placement process from outreach to move-in (e.g. each step in the process as well as the average time needed for each step has been determined)?**

- Yes = 1 point
- No = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**7. Does your community have a Coordinated Housing Placement System or Single Point of Access into permanent supportive housing?**

- Yes = 1 point
- Partial = ½ point
- No = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**8. Does your community have a Coordinated Housing Placement System or Single Point of Access into permanent subsidized housing (e.g. Section 8 and other voucher programs)?**

- Yes = 1 point
- Partial = ½ point
- No = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**9. Does your community have different application/housing placement processes for different populations and/or different funding sources? If so, how many separate processes does your community have?**

- 5 or more processes = 0 points
- 3-4 processes = 1 point
- 2 processes = 2 points
- 1 process for all populations = 3 points

Number of Points Scored:

**10. The entire process from street outreach (with an engaged client) to move-in to permanent housing typically takes:**

- More than 180 days = 0 points
- Between 91 and 179 days = 1 point
- Between 61 and 90 days = 2 points
- Between 31 and 60 days = 3 points
- 30 days or less = 4 points
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**11. Approximately what percentage of homeless people living on the streets go straight into permanent housing (without going through emergency shelter and transitional housing)?**

- More than 75% = 5 points
- Between 51% and 75% = 4 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 3 points
- Between 11% and 25% = 2 points
- 10% or less = 1 point
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**12. Approximately what percentage of homeless people who stay in emergency shelters go straight into permanent housing without first going through transitional housing?**

- More than 75% = 5 points
- Between 51% and 75% = 4 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 3 points
- Between 11% and 25% = 2 points
- 10% or less = 1 point
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**13. Within a given year, approximately what percentage of your community's chronic and/or vulnerable homeless population who exit homelessness, exits into permanent supportive housing?**

- More than 85% = 5 points
- Between 51% and 85% = 4 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 3 points
- Between 10% and 24% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 point
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**14. In a given year, approximately what percentage of your community's chronic and/or vulnerable homeless population exiting homelessness, exits to Section 8 or other long-term subsidy (with limited or no follow-up services)?**

- More than 50% = 4 points
- Between 26% and 50% = 3 points
- Between 10% and 25% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 point
- Unknown = 0 points

Number of Points Scored:

**15. Approximately what percentage of your permanent supportive housing providers will accept applicants with the following characteristics:**

**a) Active Substance Use**

- Over 75% = 5 points
- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points

**b) Chronic Substance Use Issues**

- Over 75% = 5 points
- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points

**c) Untreated Mental Illness**

- Over 75% = 5 points
- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points



**d) Young Adults (18-24)**

- Over 75% = 5 points
- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points

**e) Criminal Background (any)**

- Over 75% = 5 points
- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points

**f) Felony Conviction**

- Over 75% = 5 points
- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points

**g) Sex Offender or Arson Conviction**

- Over 75% = 5 points
- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points

**h) Poor Credit**

- Over 75% = 5 points
- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points

**i) No Current Source of Income (pending SSI/DI)**

- Over 75% = 5 points

- 75%-51% = 4 points
- 50%-26% = 3 points
- 25%-10% = 2 points
- Less than 10% = 1 points
- Unknown = 0 points

<u>Question Section</u>	<u># Points Scored</u>
Active Substance Use	
Chronic Substance Use Issues	
Untreated Mental Illness	
Young Adults (18-24)	
Criminal Background (any)	
Felony Conviction	
Sex Offender or Arson Conviction	
Poor Credit	
No Current Source of Income (pending SSI/DI)	
<b>Total Points Scored in Question #17:</b>	

**To calculate your Housing First Score, add the total points scored for each question above, then refer to the key below:**

<b>Total Housing First Score:</b>
-----------------------------------

If you scored: 77 points or more

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being implemented ideally

If you scored between: 57 – 76 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being well-implemented

If you scored between: 37 – 56 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being fairly well-implemented

If you scored between: 10 – 36 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely being poorly implemented

If you scored under 10 points

- ✓ Housing First principles are likely not being implemented

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1E-2 Local Competition Scoring Tool**

- Renewal Scoring Tool Summary
- Renewal Scoring Tool
- New Scoring Tool Summary
- New Scoring Tool

## Renewal Scoring Tool Summary

1. Maximum possible points – 95 points + 12.5 bonus points
  - RRH/PSH – 95
  - Bonus - Performance – 10.5
  - Bonus – Early Submission – 2
  - Penalty – 7
2. Objective points – 85/95 (not factoring in bonus)
  - 89% of total points
3. System performance points – 31/95 (not factoring in bonus)
  - 33% of total
4. Severe barriers points – 4/95 (not factoring in bonus)
5. Used data from HMIS comparable database to score projects from VSP
6. Points available for identification of barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities and steps taken – 2/95 (not factoring in bonus)

# Renewal Scoring Tool

Renewal Project Application	Organization: Reviewer:	Note: Point ranges for <u>subjective questions</u> are for guidance only. Reviewers can award any full number as long as it's within the point range. For example, if a question is worth up to 4 points, even if the criteria outline 0 points, 2 points, and 4 points, a reviewer can award 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 points. The ranges are just guides.						
Question	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Data Source	Objective/Subjective	SPM?		Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
HOUSING FIRST COMMITMENT								
8	<b>Program Description</b> Mirroring the HUD application, detailed description with the scope of the project	Applicant Survey	subjective		Complete and thorough explanation of project including service needs, outcomes, coordination with other organizations, and use of funds = 4 points Partial response addressing some of the above, leaving unanswered questions = 2 points	4		
9	<b>Housing First</b> The project follows a Housing First approach by demonstrating the agency's written commitment that the project will follow a Housing First approach where asked in the 2024 CoC project application.	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2		
9.1	<b>Housing First</b> Explanation as to why not Housing First	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
-	<b>Housing First</b> Policies discussing enrollment and termination from project are in line with Housing First	Policies uploaded by agency	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
10	<b>Denials of Coordinated Entry Referrals</b> In line with the CoC CE policies, projects can reject up to 4 referrals annually	HMIS Coordinated Entry Rejection Report (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 0 denials = 3 points 1 or 2 denials = 2 points 3 denials = 1 point 4 denials = 0 points 5 or more denials = -2 points	3		
11	<b>Housing First Commitment Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
PROJECT PERFORMANCE								
12	<b>Housing Stability</b> <u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> % of leavers who exited to PH destinations <u>PSH:</u> % of stayers who remained in PSH or leavers who exited to other PH	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> 80% and higher = 10 points 75 - 79% = 8 points 70 - 74% = 6 points 65 - 69% = 4 points  <u>PSH:</u> 98% and higher = 10 points 93 - 97% = 8 points 88 - 92% = 6 points 83 - 87% = 4 points	10		

13	<b>Non DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Housing Stability</b> % of adults who return to homelessness within 6 months of exiting to a PH destination	SPM from HMIS (compiled and detailed on Data Document) Not applicable for DV - dedicated projects	objective	SPM	<u>All Housing Programs (non-DV):</u> 0 - 2% = 10 points 3 - 5% = 5 points 6 - 10% = 2 points  Projects with no exits will not be scored on this criteria.			
13dv	<b>DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Meeting the Needs of Survivors</b> A. Project staff are trained in best-practices in working with clients B. Project staff ensures clients have access to mainstream services and supports, whether provided by agency or community partners.	Application Survey Not applicable for Non DV-dedicated project	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs (DV only):</u> A. Response is thorough and complete, providing specific best-practices (trauma informed, victim centered, strength based approaches) and examples of such, leaving no unanswered questions = 5 points Response is adequate, providing some general best-practice ideas but no examples, and leaves unanswered questions = 2 points Question is not answered or response provides no best-practices or examples and leaves many unanswered questions = 0 points  B. Response is thorough and complete, providing specific examples of ways clients have access to services = 5 points Response is adequate, providing some general examples of	10		
14	<b>Length of Time from Project Start Date to Housing Move-In Date</b> Average length of days from project start date to move in-date	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> 55 days or fewer = 5 points 56 - 65 days = 3 points 64 - 73 days = 1 point  <u>PSH:</u> 7 days or fewer = 5 points 8 - 14 days = 3 points 15 - 20 days = 1 point  Projects with no move ins will not be scored on this criteria.	5		
15	<b>Participants with Any Income</b> % of adult with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 55% or higher = 6 points 45 - 54% = 3 points 35 - 44% = 1 point	6		
16	<b>Increase in Total Income (All Sources)</b> % of adults who increased income (from any source) measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 20% or higher = 6 points 15 - 19% = 3 points 10 - 14% = 1 point	6		

17	<b>Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits</b> % of adults with 1+ source of non-cash benefits (from any source)_measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 75% or higher = 6 points 65 - 74% = 3 points 60 - 64% = 1 point	6		
18	<b>Participants Connected to Health Insurance</b> % of adults with 1+ source of health insurance, measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 75% or higher = 6 points 65 - 74% = 3 points 60 - 64% = 1 point	6		
19	<b>Project Performance Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
<b>HUD PRIORITIES</b>								
20	<b>Severity of Need - Age (youth 12-24 or adults 55+)</b> % of participants who are unaccompanied or parenting youth 12 -24 years of age or 55+ years of age at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> % of participants under age 25 or 55+ * 2 points	2		
21	<b>Severity of Need- Lack of Income</b> % of adults with zero income at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> % of participants with no income * 2 points	2		
22	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency has at least one person who is homeless or formerly homeless on board or other decision making body	Board List or equivalent uploaded by agency	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Agency meets requirement = 2 points	2		
23	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency incorporates knowledge and input of persons with lived experience in program design and implementation	Narrative	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates incorporation of knowledge and input of people with lived experience in meaningful way, using best practices in their approach = 2 Response is adequate and demonstrates some incorporation of input = 1 point	2		
24	<b>Commitment to Equity</b> Agency can demonstate steps taken to address barriers to participation faced by people who may face of different races and ethnicities, especially those who are over represented in the local homeless population.	Narrative	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and agency describes at least one concrete step to identify barriers to participation AND discusses at least one step that they have taken, or will take, to eliminate the barrier(s) = 2 points Response is adequate and either identifies a barrier or a step to eliminate a barrier, but is not clear or thorough = 1 point Question is not answered or response provides no concrete	2		



25	<b>Anti-Discrimination Policy</b> Policy that aligns with CoC and HUD policies regarding anti-discrimination	Anti-Discrimination Policy uploaded by agency	objective		Yes = 1 point No = 0 points	1		
26	<b>HUD Priorities Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
<b>DATA QUALITY</b>								
27	<b>Error Rate</b> % of Issue Rate for Personally Identifiable Information (PII), Universal Data Elements (UDE), and Income and Housing Data Quality	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u>  a. 0% = .5% points b. 0% = .5% c. 0% = .5% d. 0% = .5% e. 0% = .5% f. 0% = .5% g. 0% = .5% h. 0% = 1.5%	5		
28a and 28b	<b>Data Timeliness</b> % of entry and exits records entered within 3 days	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> a. 100% of entry records entered within 3 days = 2 points 80 - 99% of entry records entered within 3 days = 1 point  Projects with no move ins will not be scored on this criteria.  b. 100% of exit records entered within 3 days = 2 points 80 - 99% of exit records entered within 3 days = 1 point  Projects with no exits will not be scored on this criteria.	4		
29	<b>Data Quality Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only			
30	<b>CoC Meeting Attendance</b> Agency staff attend bi-monthly CoC meetings	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Attendance at bi-monthly meeting = .5 point bonus, up to 3 points 09/12/23; 11/14/23; 01/09/24; 03/12/24; 05/14/24; 05/09/24	3 (bonus )	AZ	
31	<b>CoC Committee and/or Workgroup Participation</b> Agency staff actively participate in at least one committee/workgroup	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		At least one agency staff member is an active participant on a CoC committee/workgroup = 1 point bonus	1 (bonus )	AZ	
32	<b>CoC Point-in-Time Participation</b> Agency staff participate in CoC PIT Count	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Participation in PIT Count (planning, night count, and/or day shift) = .5 point bonus for each person, up to 2 points	2 (bonus )	AZ	

33	<b>Attendance at CoC Trainings</b> Agency staff participate in Coc trainings	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Attendance at CoC trainings: 08/15/23 - Working with Survivors of Domestic Violence = -1 if no attendee 10/26/23 - Eviction Prevention = .5 11/17/23 or 12/08/23 - Best Practices Working with the Trans Community = .5 02/22/24 - Eviction Prevention = .5 04/25/24 - Eviction Prevention = .5 05/16/24 - Cultivating Inclusivity: Understanding HUD's Equal Access and Gender Identity Rules = -1 if no attendee 05/28/24 - Mental Health First Aid = .5 06/13/24 - Intimate & Domestic Partner Violence Training = .5 07/18/24 - Housing First Principles = .5	3.5 (bonus) -2 (penalty)	AZ	
34	<b>CoC Participation Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	AZ	
<b>UTILIZATION, DRAWS, SPEND DOWN, AND APR SUBMISSION</b>								
35	<b>Utilitization Rate</b> Average Utilization Rate	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		90% or higher = 3 points 85 - 89% = 2 points 80 - 84% = 1 point	3		
36	<b>Quarterly Draws</b> Draws from eLOCCS made at least quarterly	eLOCCS (most recently completed grant)	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	AZ	
37	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> % of grant spent to ensure project is fully utilizing HUD funds	eLOCCS (most recently completed grant)	objective		100% of grant spent = 8 points 95 - 99% of grant spent = 6 points 90 - 94% of grant spent = 2 points	8	AZ	
	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> Summary page to confirm draws and spend down (36 and 37)	eLOOCS Summary Page uploaded by agency	n/a - objective		No points - confirmation only	0	AZ	
38 and 38.1	<b>Unspent Funds</b> Anticipation of spend down of current grant	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - information only	0		
39	<b>APR Submission</b> APR for last completed grant submitted within 90 days of end of grant	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	AZ	
40	<b>Utilization, Draws, Spend Down, and APR Submission Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	AZ	
<b>SUSTAINABILITY, SOAR, AND MONITORING</b>								
41	<b>Sustainability Plan</b> Agency has a plan to sustain project in the event of funding loss or reduction	Applicant Survey	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates a feasible plan to sustain the project or a manageable plan to wind down the project = 2 Response demonstrates an adequate plan to sustain the	2		
42	<b>SOAR Trained Staff</b> Agency has a SOAR trained staff member	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 1 point bonus	1 (bonus)		

43 - 44	<b>HUD Monitoring</b> Project has had no HUD monitoring or no outstanding findings	Applicant Survery and HUD Letter uploaded by agency (if applicable)	objective		Project monitored since October 2023 and open and unresolved concenrs/findings = -5 points No monitoring since October 2023 or monitored with no	-5 (penalty)		
BONUS FOR EARLY SUBMISSION								
Wednesday, August 7, 2024, 11:59pm (eastern)		Renewal application in SurveyMonkey submitted				2 bonus	AZ	
Friday, August 9, 2024, 11:59pm (eastern)		Renewal application in SurveyMonkey submitted				1 bonus	AZ	

SCORING TOTALS (will be converted to percentages due to some criteria being n/a for certain projects)			
Possible Points	95		0
Bonus/Penalty Points (Coc Participation)	10.5 max		0
Bonus Point (SOAR)	1		0
Penalty Points (HUD Monitoring)	-5 max		0
Bonus Points (early submission)	2 max		#VALUE!

<div>Do you recommend this project to be considered for continued funding? Yes or no?</div>	
---	--

<div>Do you have any suggestions for adjustments or changes for the project, recognizing that it is a renewal and that HUD does not allow for major changes.</div>	
--	--

<div>Overall comments for the applicant, whether recommended for funding or not. This helps applicants for future applications, so please be as specific as possible.</div>	
---	--

## New Scoring Tool Summary

1. Maximum possible points – 50 points + 8 bonus points
2. Objective points – 17/50 (not factoring in bonus)
  - 34% of total points
3. System performance points – 10/50 (not factoring in bonus)
  - 20% of total points
4. Severe barriers points – 3/50 (not factoring in bonus)
5. N/A - No data was collected for new project applications
6. Points available for identification of barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities and steps taken – 2/50 (not factoring in bonus)

## New Scoring Tool

New Project Application	Organization: Reviewer:	Note: Point ranges are for guidance only. Reviewers can award any full number as long as it's within the point range. For example, if a question is worth up to 4 points, even it the criteria outline 0 points, 2 points, and 4 points, a reviewer can award 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 points. The ranges are just guides.		
Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Point Explanation	Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
Threshold				
HMIS Participation	Threshold for new projects, no points (checked or not); all boxes must be checked in order to proceed	y or n		
Coordinated Entry		y or n		
Match		y or n		
New Project		y or n		
Housing First		y or n		
CoC and HUD Compliance		y or n		
Scored Criteria				
Organization Experience Utilizing Federal Funds (Q1 - Q3)	0 points - No response or response does not show capacity or experience to implement CoC/HUD project 2 points - Has capacity and some experience but leaves some questions or concerns or has unresolved finding without adequate explanation 4 points - Responses are complete and illustrate no concerns about organization's ability to implement and run a CoC/HUD project	4		
Project Design (Q4)	0 points - No response or response does not give picture of design of project 3 points - Response adequately gives picture of the project design but leaves unanswered questions 5 points - Response is complete and fully describes the project and leaves no unanswered elements of the question	5		
Project Outcomes (Q4)	0 points - No response or the response does not specifically address project outcomes 1 point - Response lists outputs or numbers but not outcomes or the outcomes are not consistent, realistic, or adequately explained (if necessary) 3 points - Response is complete and outcomes are clear, realistic, and align with the project and stated focused outcomes in application question	3		
Project Implementation Plan (Q5)	0 points - No response or the response isn't at all realistic 1 point - Response is mostly realistic but might be difficult to achieve 2 points - Response is realistic and well-thought out	2		
Budget (Q6 -Q8)	0 points - No response, response is incomplete, budget document is incomplete; match is missing. 3 points - Budget document is filled out but there are gaps or unanswered questions. Match is incomplete. 5 points - Budget document is completely filled out and expenses are realistic and allowable; match is complete and documented. No unanswered questions.	5		
Housing First Experience (Q11 - Q12)	0 points - No response, response is incomplete or does not answer the question but just repeats the definition of Housing First. 3 points - Response addresses the question but does not provide two examples or leaves questions. Does not include information about working with landlords. 5 points - Response is complete and examples are specific and recent. Response includes working with landlords. No unanswered questions.	5		

Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Point Explanation	Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
<b>Obtain and Sustain PH (Q13)</b>	0 points - No response or the response does not specifically address the question 2 points - Response is general and leaves unanswered questions. Does not answer all elements of the questions 4 points - Response is complete and thorough. All elements of the question are answered and no questions are unanswered.	4		
<b>Mainstream Benefits &amp; Other Support Services (Q14 - Q19)</b>	0 points - No response or the response does not specifically address the question 1 point - Q14 response is general and leaves unanswered questions. Does not answer all elements of the questions. For Q15, the responses are mostly realistic both in who and frequency. 3 points - Q14 response is complete and thorough. All elements of the question are answered and no questions are unanswered. Q15 responses are realistic in who and frequency. The group of responses show that the project will have a solid core of services offered and available.	3		
<b>Commitment to Equity (Q20)</b>	0 points - No response or response provides no concrete steps to identify barriers or steps taken to eliminate barriers 1 point - Response is adequate and identifies a barrier or a step to eliminate a barrier, but is not clear or thorough 2 points - Response is complete and thorough and agency describes at least one concrete step to identify barriers to participation AND discusses at least one step that they have taken, or will take, to eliminate the barrier(s).	2		
<b>Anti-Discrimination Policy (Q21)</b>	0 points - Policy is not uploaded or what is uploaded does not illustrate the steps the agency is taking to ensure those seeking and receiving assistance are not discriminated against. Policy should not be the EEOC policy. 1 point - Policy is uploaded.	1		
<b>Lived Experience Involvement (Q22)</b>	0 points - No response or response provides no meaningful incorporation of input of people with lived experience 1 point - Response is adequate and demonstrates some incorporation of input. Two examples are not provided or are not applicable. 2 points - Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates incorporation of knowledge and input of people with lived experience in meaningful way, using best practices in their approach. Two examples are applicable, recent, and on-point.	2		
<b>Lived Experience Involvement (Q23)</b>	0 points - Documentation does not meet requirement 2 points - Documentation meets requirement, demonstrating participation of not less than one homeless individual or formerly homeless individual on the board of directors or other equivalent policymaking entity to the extent that such entity considers and makes policies and decisions regarding any project, supportive services, or assistance provided in the CoC project. Documentation may be a list of board members or other policy making entity with a notation regarding which member(s) meet this requirement.	2		
<b>Sustainability Plan (Q24)</b>	0 points - No response or response provides a plan that is not feasible 1 point - Response demonstrates an adequate plan to sustain the project 2 points - Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates a feasible plan to sustain the project or a manageable plan to wind down the project	2		

Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Point Explanation	Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
Housing and Healthcare Leverage (Q25 - Q26) **based on voted on project priorities**	0 points - The project will not have any housing or healthcare partnerships to leverage 2 points - The project will have housing or healthcare partnerships to leverage but the value is less than 25% of the total project budget <u>and</u> the narrative explaining the leveraged partnership is weak and leaves questions to be answered. 4 points - The project will have housing or healthcare partnerships to leverage but the value is less than 25% of the total project budget <u>or</u> the narrative explaining the leveraged partnership is weak and leaves questions to be answered. 6 points - The project will have housing or healthcare leverage valued at least 25% of the total project budget <u>and</u> the narrative explaining the leveraged partnership is weak and leaves questions to be answered.	6		
Project Type (unnumbered Q - beginning of SM) **based on voted on project priorities**	0 points - TH-RRH (Substance Abuse Subpopulation) 3 points - PH- Rapid Rehousing or PH-Permanent Supportive Housing	3		
Overall Application Quality	0 points - Application is poorly structured. Responses appear to be cut and pasted from other applications. Responses do not address questions. Errors and typos in application. 1 - Responses reflect that project was carefully thought out and application was developed for this opportunity.	1		
	TOTAL	50	0	
BONUS CRITERIA				
CoC Meeting Attendance (Q27)	.5 point bonus (up to 3 points) - Attendance at bi-monthly meetings 09/12/23; 11/14/23; 01/09/24; 03/12/24; 05/14/24; 05/09/24	3 (bonus)		
CoC Point-in-Time Participation (Q27)	.5 point bonus for each person (up to 2 points) - Participation in PIT Count (planning, night count, and/or day shift)	2 (bonus)		
Coordinated Entry Participation (Q27)	1 point (total) - Refers or accepts clients	1 (bonus)		
CoC Committee and/or Workgroup Participation (Q27)	1 point bonus - At least one agency staff member is an active participant on a CoC committee/workgroup	1 (bonus)		
Atlanta CoC Participation Other	No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
New Applicant Webinar Attendance (Q28)	1 point (1 point only)- attendance at webinar - August 16 or August 20	1 (bonus)		
	TOTAL BONUS	8	0	
	TOTAL POINTS		0	



Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Point Explanation	Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
Do you recommend this project to be considered for funding? Yes or no?				
Do you have any suggestions for adjustments or changes for the project (budget? size? model?)				
Overall comments for the applicant, whether recommended for funding or not. This helps applicants for future applications, so please be as specific as possible.				

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1E-2a Scored Forms for One Project**

- Scored forms for one project
  - Quest PSH 25
    - Scoresheets from each of the 3 reviewers who scored the project, each showing
      - Max point values and points awarded
      - Objective points available and awarded
      - SPM points available and awarded
  - Data documents with calculations of objective criteria
    - Provided to applicants to review and confirm
- Renewal Project Data Calculation Explanations for Objective Criteria
  - Posted and provided to applicants, public, and reviewers

Scored forms for one project  
(including data documents)

Renewal Project Application	Organization: Quest Reviewer: [REDACTED]	Note: Point ranges for <u>subjective questions</u> are for guidance only. Reviewers can award any full number as long as it's within the point range. For example, if a question is worth up to 4 points, even if the criteria outline 0 points, 2 points, and 4 points, a reviewer can award 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 points. The ranges are just guides.						
	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Data Source	Objective/Subjective	SPM?		Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
HOUSING FIRST COMMITMENT								
8	<b>Program Description</b> Mirroring the HUD application, detailed description with the scope of the project	Applicant Survey	subjective		Complete and thorough explanation of project including service needs, outcomes, coordination with other organizations, and use of funds = 4 points Partial response addressing some of the above, leaving unanswered questions = 2 points No response or very inadequate response leaving many unanswered questions	4	4	
9	<b>Housing First</b> The project follows a Housing First approach by demonstrating the agency's written commitment that the project will follow a Housing First approach where	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	2	
9.1	<b>Housing First</b> Explanation as to why not Housing First	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	n/a	
-	<b>Housing First</b> Policies discussing enrollment and termination from project are in line with	Policies uploaded by agency	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	n/a	
10	<b>Denials of Coordinated Entry Referrals</b> In line with the CoC CE policies, projects can reject up to 4 referrals annually	HMIS Coordinated Entry Rejection Report (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 0 denials = 3 points 1 or 2 denials = 2 points 3 denials = 1 point 4 denials = 0 points 5 or more denials = -2 points	3	3	
11	<b>Housing First Commitment Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	0	
PROJECT PERFORMANCE								
12	<b>Housing Stability</b> <u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> % of leavers who exited to PH destinations <u>PSH:</u> % of stayers who remained in PSH or leavers who exited to other PH	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> 80% and higher = 10 points 75 - 79% = 8 points 70 - 74% = 6 points 65 - 69% = 4 points <u>PSH:</u> 98% and higher = 10 points 93 - 97% = 8 points 88 - 92% = 6 points 83 - 87% = 4 points	10	6	

13	<b>Non DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Housing Stability</b> % of adults who return to homelessness within 6 months of exiting to a PH destination	SPM from HMIS (compiled and detailed on Data Document) Not applicable for DV -dedicated projects	objective	SPM	<u>All Housing Programs (non-DV):</u> 0 - 2% = 10 points 3 - 5% = 5 points 6 - 10% = 2 points  Projects with no exits will not be scored on this criteria.	10	0	
13dv	<b>DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Meeting the Needs of Survivors</b> A. Project staff are trained in best-practices in working with clients B. Project staff ensures clients have access to mainstream services and supports, whether provided by agency or community partners.	Application Survey Not applicable for Non DV-dedicated project	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs (DV only):</u> A. Response is thorough and complete, providing specific best-practices (trauma informed, victim centered, strength based approaches) and examples of such, leaving no unanswered questions = 5 points Response is adequate, providing some general best-practice ideas but no examples, and leaves unanswered questions = 2 points Question is not answered or response provides no best-practices or examples and leaves many unanswered questions = 0 points  B. Response is thorough and complete, providing specific examples of ways clients have access to services = 5 points Response is adequate, providing some general examples of ways clients have access to services, and leaves unanswered questions = 2 points Question is not answered or response provides no examples of ways clients have access to services and leaves many unanswered questions = 0 points		n/a	
14	<b>Length of Time from Project Start Date to Housing Move-In Date</b> Average length of days from project start date to move in-date	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> 55 days or fewer = 5 points 56 - 65 days = 3 points 64 - 73 days = 1 point  <u>PSH:</u> 7 days or fewer = 5 points 8 -14 days = 3 points 15 - 20 days = 1 point  Projects with no move ins will not be scored on this criteria.	5	5	
15	<b>Participants with Any Income</b> % of adult with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 55% or higher = 6 points 45 - 54% = 3 points 35 - 44% = 1 point	6	6	
16	<b>Increase in Total Income (All Sources)</b> % of adults who increased income (from any source) measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 20% or higher = 6 points 15 - 19% = 3 points 10 - 14% = 1 point	6	6	

17	<b>Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits</b> % of adults with 1+ source of non-cash benefits (from any source), measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 75% or higher = 6 points 65 - 74% = 3 points 60 - 64% = 1 point	6	6	
18	<b>Participants Connected to Health Insurance</b> % of adults with 1+ source of health insurance, measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 75% or higher = 6 points 65 - 74% = 3 points 60 - 64% = 1 point	6	3	
19	<b>Project Performance Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	n/a	
<b>HUD PRIORITIES</b>								
20	<b>Severity of Need - Age (youth 12-24 or adults 55+)</b> % of participants who are unaccompanied or parenting youth 12 -24 years of age or 55+ years of age at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> % of participants under age 25 or 55+ * 2 points	2	1	
21	<b>Severity of Need- Lack of Income</b> % of adults with zero income at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> % of participants with no income * 2 points	2	1	
22	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency has at least one person who is homeless or formerly homeless on board or other decision making body	Board List or equivalent uploaded by agency	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Agency meets requirement = 2 points	2	0	
23	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency incorporates knowledge and input of persons with lived experience in program design and implementation	Narrative	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates incorporation of knowledge and input of people with lived experience in meaningful way, using best practices in their approach = 2 Response is adequate and demonstrates some incorporation of input = 1 point Question is not answered or response provides no meaningful incorporation of input of people with lived experience = 0 points	2	1	
24	<b>Commitment to Equity</b> Agency can demonstrate steps taken to address barriers to participation faced by people who may face of different races and ethnicities, especially those who are over represented in the local homeless population.	Narrative	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and agency describes at least one concrete step to identify barriers to participation AND discusses at least one step that they have taken, or will take, to eliminate the barrier(s) = 2 points Response is adequate and either identifies a barrier or a step to eliminate a barrier, but is not clear or thorough = 1 point Question is not answered or response provides no concrete steps to identify barriers or steps taken to eliminate barriers = 0 points	2	2	

25	<b>Anti-Discrimination Policy</b> Policy that aligns with CoC and HUD policies regarding anti-discrimination	Anti-Discrimination Policy uploaded by agency	objective		Yes = 1 point No = 0 points	1	1	
26	<b>HUD Priorities Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	n/a	
<b>DATA QUALITY</b>								
27	<b>Error Rate</b> % of Issue Rate for Personally Identifiable Information (PII), Universal Data Elements (UDE), and Income and Housing Data Quality	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u>  a. 0% = .5% points b. 0% = .5% c. 0% = .5% d. 0% = .5% e. 0% = .5% f. 0% = .5% g. 0% = .5% h. 0% = 1.5%	5	5	
28a and 28b	<b>Data Timeliness</b> % of entry and exits records entered within 3 days	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u>  a. 100% of entry records entered within 3 days = 2 points 80 - 99% of entry records entered within 3 days = 1 point  Projects with no move ins will not be scored on this criteria.  b. 100% of exit records entered within 3 days = 2 points 80 - 99% of exit records entered within 3 days = 1 point  Projects with no exits will not be scored on this criteria.	4	4	
29	<b>Data Quality Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only		n/a	
30	<b>CoC Meeting Attendance</b> Agency staff attend bi-monthly CoC meetings	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Attendance at bi-monthly meeting = .5 point bonus, up to 3 points 09/12/23; 11/14/23; 01/09/24; 03/12/24; 05/14/24; 05/09/24	3 (bonus)	AZ	
31	<b>CoC Committee and/or Workgroup Participation</b> Agency staff actively participate in at least one committee/workgroup	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		At least one agency staff member is an active participant on a CoC committee/workgroup = 1 point bonus	1 (bonus)	AZ	
32	<b>CoC Point-in-Time Participation</b> Agency staff participate in CoC PIT Count	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Participation in PIT Count (planning, night count, and/or day shift) = .5 point bonus for each person, up to 2 points	2 (bonus)	AZ	

33	<b>Attendance at CoC Trainings</b> Agency staff participate in Coc trainings	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Attendance at CoC trainings: 08/15/23 - Working with Survivors of Domestic Violence = -1 if no attendee 10/26/23 - Eviction Prevention = .5 11/17/23 or 12/08/23 - Best Practices Working with the Trans Community = .5 02/22/24 - Eviction Prevention = .5 04/25/24 - Eviction Prevention = .5 05/16/24 - Cultivating Inclusivity: Understanding HUD's Equal Access and Gender Identity Rules = -1 if no attendee 05/28/24 - Mental Health First Aid = .5 06/13/24 - Intimate & Domestic Partner Violence Training = .5 07/18/24 - Housing First Principles = .5	3.5 (bonus) -2 (penalty) )	AZ	
34	<b>CoC Participation Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	AZ	
<b>UTILIZATION, DRAWS, SPEND DOWN, AND APR SUBMISSION</b>								
35	<b>Utilization Rate</b> Average Utilization Rate	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		90% or higher = 3 points 85 - 89% = 2 points 80 - 84% = 1 point	3	0	
36	<b>Quarterly Draws</b> Draws from eLOCCS made at least	eLOCCS (most recently completed grant)	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	AZ	
37	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> % of grant spent to ensure project is fully utilizing HUD funds	eLOCCS (most recently completed grant)	objective		100% of grant spent = 8 points 95 - 99% of grant spent = 6 points 90 - 94% of grant spent = 2 points	8	AZ	
	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> Summary page to confirm draws and spend down (36 and 37)	eLOCCS Summary Page uploaded by agency	n/a - objective		No points - confirmation only	0	AZ	
38 and 38.1	<b>Unspent Funds</b> Anticipation of spend down of current	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - information only	0	n/a	
39	<b>APR Submission</b> APR for last completed grant submitted within 90 days of end of grant	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	AZ	
40	<b>Utilization, Draws, Spend Down, and APR Submission Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	AZ	
<b>SUSTAINABILITY, SOAR, AND MONITORING</b>								
41	<b>Sustainability Plan</b> Agency has a plan to sustain project in the event of funding loss or reduction	Applicant Survey	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates a feasible plan to sustain the project or a manageable plan to wind down the project = 2 Response demonstrates an adequate plan to sustain the project = 1 point Question is not answered or response provides a plan that is not feasible = 0	2	2	
42	<b>SOAR Trained Staff</b> Agency has a SOAR trained staff member	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 1 point bonus	1 (bonus)	1	



43 - 44	<b>HUD Monitoring</b> Project has had no HUD monitoring or no outstanding findings	Applicant Survey and HUD Letter uploaded by agency (if applicable)	objective		Project monitored since October 2023 and open and unresolved concenrs/findings = -5 points No monitoring since October 2023 or monitored with no outstanding findings =	-5 (penalty )	n/a	
<b>BONUS FOR EARLY SUBMISSION</b>								
<b>Wednesday, August 7, 2024, 11:59pm (eastern)</b>		Renewal application in SurveyMonkey submitted				2 bonus points	AZ	
<b>Friday, August 9, 2024, 11:59pm (eastern)</b>		Renewal application in SurveyMonkey submitted				1 bonus point	AZ	

SCORING TOTALS (will be converted to percentages due to some criteria being n/a for certain projects)			
Possible Points	95		58
Bonus/Penalty Points (Coc Participation)	10.5 max		0
Bonus Point (SOAR)	1		1
Penalty Points (HUD Monitoring)	-5 max		n/a
Bonus Points (early submission)	2 max		#VALUE!

<b>Do you recommend this project to be considered for continued funding? Yes or no?</b>	Yes
---	-----

<b>Do you have any suggestions for adjustments or</b>	
---	--

<b>Overall comments for the applicant, whether</b>	
--	--

Renewal Project Application	Organization: Quest Community Development Organization (formerly Quest 35, Inc.) Reviewer: [REDACTED]	Note: Point ranges for <u>subjective questions</u> are for guidance only. Reviewers can award any full number as long as it's within the point range. For example, if a question is worth up to 4 points, even if the criteria outline 0 points, 2 points, and 4 points, a reviewer can award 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 points. The ranges are just guides.						
Question	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Data Source	Objective/Subjective	SPM?		Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
HOUSING FIRST COMMITMENT								
8	<b>Program Description</b> Mirroring the HUD application, detailed description with the scope of the project	Applicant Survey	subjective		Complete and thorough explanation of project including service needs, outcomes, coordination with other organizations, and use of funds = 4 points Partial response addressing some of the above, leaving unanswered questions = 2 points No response or very inadequate response leaving many unanswered questions = 0 points	4	3	PSH servicing chronically homeless adults. Does not describe fund allocation.
9	<b>Housing First</b> The project follows a Housing First approach by demonstrating the agency's written commitment that the project will follow a Housing First approach where asked in the 2024 CoC project application.	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	2	Yes
9.1	<b>Housing First</b> Explanation as to why not Housing First	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	0	N/A
-	<b>Housing First</b> Policies discussing enrollment and termination from project are in line with Housing First	Policies uploaded by agency	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	0	Yes; policies are in line with Housing First
10	<b>Denials of Coordinated Entry Referrals</b> In line with the CoC CE policies, projects can reject up to 4 referrals annually	HMIS Coordinated Entry Rejection Report (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 0 denials = 3 points 1 or 2 denials = 2 points 3 denials = 1 point 4 denials = 0 points 5 or more denials = -2 points	3	3	Denied 0 referrals
11	<b>Housing First Commitment Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	0	No response
PROJECT PERFORMANCE								
12	<b>Housing Stability</b> <u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> % of leavers who exited to PH destinations <u>PSH:</u> % of stayers who remained in PSH or leavers who exited to other PH	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> 80% and higher = 10 points 75 - 79% = 8 points 70 - 74% = 6 points 65 - 69% = 4 points  <u>PSH:</u> 98% and higher = 10 points 93 - 97% = 8 points 88 - 92% = 6 points 83 - 87% = 4 points	10	6	88.9% of clients categorized as either stayers who remained in PSH or leavers who exited to other PH

13	<b>Non DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Housing Stability</b> % of adults who return to homelessness within 6 months of exiting to a PH destination	SPM from HMIS (compiled and detailed on Data Document) Not applicable for DV -dedicated projects	objective	SPM	<u>All Housing Programs (non-DV):</u> 0 - 2% = 10 points 3 - 5% = 5 points 6 - 10% = 2 points  Projects with no exits will not be scored on this criteria.	10	0	16.67% of adults returned to homelessness
13dv	<b>DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Meeting the Needs of Survivors</b> A. Project staff are trained in best-practices in working with clients B. Project staff ensures clients have access to mainstream services and supports, whether provided by agency or community partners.	Application Survey Not applicable for Non DV-dedicated project	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs (DV only):</u> A. Response is thorough and complete, providing specific best-practices (trauma informed, victim centered, strength based approaches) and examples of such, leaving no unanswered questions = 5 points Response is adequate, providing some general best-practice ideas but no examples, and leaves unanswered questions = 2 points Question is not answered or response provides no best-practices or examples and leaves many unanswered questions = 0 points  B. Response is thorough and complete, providing specific examples of ways clients have access to services = 5 points Response is adequate, providing some general examples of ways clients have access to services, and leaves unanswered questions = 2 points Question is not answered or response provides no examples of ways clients have access to services and leaves many unanswered questions = 0 points			N/A
14	<b>Length of Time from Project Start Date to Housing Move-In Date</b> Average length of days from project start date to move in-date	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</u> 55 days or fewer = 5 points 56 - 65 days = 3 points 64 - 73 days = 1 point  <u>PSH:</u> 7 days or fewer = 5 points 8 -14 days = 3 points 15 - 20 days = 1 point  Projects with no move ins will not be scored on this criteria.	5	5	0 days from project start date to move-in date
15	<b>Participants with Any Income</b> % of adult with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 55% or higher = 6 points 45 - 54% = 3 points 35 - 44% = 1 point	6	6	84.2% of adults categorized as having 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment.
16	<b>Increase in Total Income (All Sources)</b> % of adults who increased income (from any source) measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 20% or higher = 6 points 15 - 19% = 3 points 10 - 14% = 1 point	6	6	57.9% of adults categorized as having increased their income, measured from entry to exit or annual assessment

17	<b>Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits</b> % of adults with 1+ source of non-cash benefits (from any source),measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 75% or higher = 6 points 65 - 74% = 3 points 60 - 64% = 1 point	6	6	84.2% of adults categorized as having 1+ source of non-cash benefits, measured from entry to exit or annual assessment
18	<b>Participants Connected to Health Insurance</b> % of adults with 1+ source of health insurance, measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> 75% or higher = 6 points 65 - 74% = 3 points 60 - 64% = 1 point	6	3	73.7% of adults categorized as having 1+ source of health insurance, measured from entry to exit or annual assessment
19	<b>Project Performance Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	0	Full response
HUD PRIORITIES								
20	<b>Severity of Need - Age (youth 12-24 or adults 55+)</b> % of participants who are unaccompanied or parenting youth 12 -24 years of age or 55+ years of age at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> % of participants under age 25 or 55+ * 2 points	2	1.3	
21	<b>Severity of Need- Lack of Income</b> % of adults with zero income at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> % of participants with no income * 2 points	2	0.4	
22	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency has at least one person who is homeless or formerly homeless on board or other decision making body	Board List or equivalent uploaded by agency	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Agency meets requirement = 2 points	2	0	Agency has claims to have 2 board members with lived experience but does not provide a list of board members as requested.
23	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency incorporates knowledge and input of persons with lived experience in program design and implementation	Narrative	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates incorporation of knowledge and input of people with lived experience in meaningful way, using best practices in their approach = 2 Response is adequate and demonstrates some incorporation of input = 1 point Question is not answered or response provides no meaningful incorporation of input of people with lived experience = 0 points	2	1	
24	<b>Commitment to Equity</b> Agency can demonstate steps taken to address barriers to participation faced by people who may face of different races and ethnicities, especially those who are over represented in the local homeless population.	Narrative	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and agency describes at least one concrete step to identify barriers to participation AND discusses at least one step that they have taken, or will take, to eliminate the barrier(s) = 2 points Response is adequate and either identifies a barrier or a step to eliminate a barrier, but is not clear or thorough = 1 point Question is not answered or response provides no concrete steps to identify barriers or steps taken to eliminate barriers = 0 points	2	2	

25	<b>Anti-Discrimination Policy</b> Policy that aligns with CoC and HUD policies regarding anti-discrimination	Anti-Discrimination Policy uploaded by agency	objective		Yes = 1 point No = 0 points	1	1	
26	<b>HUD Priorities Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	0	No response
<b>DATA QUALITY</b>								
27	<b>Error Rate</b> % of Issue Rate for Personally Identifiable Information (PII), Universal Data Elements (UDE), and Income and Housing Data Quality	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u>  a. 0% = .5% points b. 0% = .5% c. 0% = .5% d. 0% = .5% e. 0% = .5% f. 0% = .5% g. 0% = .5% h. 0% = 1.5%	5	5	0% reported for all rates
28a and 28b	<b>Data Timeliness</b> % of entry and exits records entered within 3 days	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u>  a. 100% of entry records entered within 3 days = 2 points 80 - 99% of entry records entered within 3 days = 1 point  Projects with no move ins will not be scored on this criteria.  b. 100% of exit records entered within 3 days = 2 points 80 - 99% of exit records entered within 3 days = 1 point  Projects with no exits will not be scored on this criteria.	4	4	100% of entries and exists entered within 3 days
29	<b>Data Quality Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only			No response
30	<b>CoC Meeting Attendance</b> Agency staff attend bi-monthly CoC meetings	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Attendance at bi-monthly meeting = .5 point bonus, up to 3 points 09/12/23; 11/14/23; 01/09/24; 03/12/24; 05/14/24; 05/09/24	3 (bonus)	AZ	
31	<b>CoC Committee and/or Workgroup Participation</b> Agency staff actively participate in at least one committee/workgroup	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		At least one agency staff member is an active participant on a CoC committee/workgroup = 1 point bonus	1 (bonus)	AZ	
32	<b>CoC Point-in-Time Participation</b> Agency staff participate in CoC PIT Count	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Participation in PIT Count (planning, night count, and/or day shift) = .5 point bonus for each person, up to 2 points	2 (bonus)	AZ	

33	<b>Attendance at CoC Trainings</b> Agency staff participate in Coc trainings	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Attendance at CoC trainings: 08/15/23 - Working with Survivors of Domestic Violence = -1 if no attendee 10/26/23 - Eviction Prevention = .5 11/17/23 or 12/08/23 - Best Practices Working with the Trans Community = .5 02/22/24 - Eviction Prevention = .5 04/25/24 - Eviction Prevention = .5 05/16/24 - Cultivating Inclusivity: Understanding HUD's Equal Access and Gender Identity Rules = -1 if no attendee 05/28/24 - Mental Health First Aid = .5 06/13/24 - Intimate & Domestic Partner Violence Training = .5 07/18/24 - Housing First Principles = .5	3.5 (bonus) -2 (penalty)	AZ	
34	<b>CoC Participation Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	AZ	
<b>UTILIZATION, DRAWS, SPEND DOWN, AND APR SUBMISSION</b>								
35	<b>Utilization Rate</b> Average Utilization Rate	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		90% or higher = 3 points 85 - 89% = 2 points 80 - 84% = 1 point	3	0	65% Utilization Rate
36	<b>Quarterly Draws</b> Draws from eLOCCS made at least quarterly	eLOCCS (most recently completed grant)	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	AZ	
37	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> % of grant spent to ensure project is fully utilizing HUD funds	eLOCCS (most recently completed grant)	objective		100% of grant spent = 8 points 95 - 99% of grant spent = 6 points 90 - 94% of grant spent = 2 points	8	AZ	
	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> Summary page to confirm draws and spend down (36 and 37)	eLOOCS Summary Page uploaded by agency	n/a - objective		No points - confirmation only	0	AZ	
38 and 38.1	<b>Unspent Funds</b> Anticipation of spend down of current grant	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - information only	0	0	Does not anticipate unspent funds by grant expiration date
39	<b>APR Submission</b> APR for last completed grant submitted within 90 days of end of grant	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	AZ	
40	<b>Utilization, Draws, Spend Down, and APR Submission Section</b> Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	AZ	
<b>SUSTAINABILITY, SOAR, AND MONITORING</b>								
41	<b>Sustainability Plan</b> Agency has a plan to sustain project in the event of funding loss or reduction	Applicant Survey	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates a feasible plan to sustain the project or a manageable plan to wind down the project = 2 Response demonstrates an adequate plan to sustain the project = 1 point Question is not answered or response provides a plan that is not feasible = 0 points	2	2	

42	<b>SOAR Trained Staff</b> Agency has a SOAR trained staff member	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 1 point bonus	1 (bonus)	1	
43 - 44	<b>HUD Monitoring</b> Project has had no HUD monitoring or no outstanding findings	Applicant Survey and HUD Letter uploaded by agency (if applicable)	objective		Project monitored since October 2023 and open and unresolved concerns/findings = -5 points No monitoring since October 2023 or monitored with no outstanding findings = 0 points	-5 (penalty)	0	
BONUS FOR EARLY SUBMISSION								
Wednesday, August 7, 2024, 11:59pm (eastern)		Renewal application in SurveyMonkey submitted				2 bonus points	AZ	
Friday, August 9, 2024, 11:59pm (eastern)		Renewal application in SurveyMonkey submitted				1 bonus point	AZ	

SCORING TOTALS (will be converted to percentages due to some criteria being n/a for certain projects)			
Possible Points	95		56.7
Bonus/Penalty Points (Coc Participation)	10.5 max		0
Bonus Point (SOAR)	1		1
Penalty Points (HUD Monitoring)	-5 max		0
Bonus Points (early submission)	2 max		#VALUE!

Do you recommend this project to be considered for	Yes, I reccommend this project be considered for continued funding.
Do you have any suggestions for adjustments or	Encourage more adult residents to be enrolled in health insurance.
Overall comments for the applicant, whether	Please describe fund allocation in Question 1. Please upload request documentation listing board members and notating which members are people with lived experience for Question 22.

Renewal Project Application	Organization: Quest PH25 Reviewer: [REDACTED]	Note: Point ranges for subjective questions are for guidance only. Reviewers can award any full number as long as it's within the point range. For example, if a question is worth up to 4 points, even if the criteria outline 0 points, 2 points, and 4 points, a reviewer can award 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 points. The ranges are just guides.						
Question	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Data Source	Objective/Subjective	SPM?		Max Points	Points Awarded	Comments
HOUSING FIRST COMMITMENT								
8	Program Description Mirroring the HUD application, detailed description with the scope of the project	Applicant Survey	subjective		Complete and thorough explanation of project including service needs, outcomes, coordination with other organizations, and use of funds = 4 points Partial response addressing some of the above, leaving unanswered questions = 2 points No response or very inadequate response leaving many unanswered questions = 0 points	4	4	
9	Housing First The project follows a Housing First approach by demonstrating the agency's written commitment that the project will follow a Housing First approach where asked in the 2024 CoC project application.	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	2	
9.1	Housing First Explanation as to why not Housing First	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
-	Housing First Policies discussing enrollment and termination from project are in line with Housing First	Policies uploaded by agency	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
10	Denials of Coordinated Entry Referrals In line with the CoC CE policies, projects can reject up to 4 referrals annually	HMIS Coordinated Entry Rejection Report (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		All Housing Programs: <u>0 denials = 3 points</u> <u>1 or 2 denials = 2 points</u> <u>3 denials = 1 point</u> <u>4 denials = 0 points</u> 5 or more denials = -2 points	3	3	
11	Housing First Commitment Section Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
PROJECT PERFORMANCE								
12	Housing Stability RRH and Joint TH/RRH: % of leavers who exited to PH destinations PSH: % of stayers who remained in PSH or leavers who exited to other PH	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	RRH and Joint TH/RRH: <u>80% and higher = 10 points</u> <u>75 - 79% = 8 points</u> <u>70 - 74% = 6 points</u> <u>65 - 69% = 4 points</u> - PSH: <u>98% and higher = 10 points</u> <u>93 - 97% = 8 points</u> <u>88 - 92% = 6 points</u> <u>83 - 87% = 4 points</u>	10	6	



13	<b>Non DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Housing Stability</b> <b>% of adults who return to homelessness within 6 months of exiting to a PH destination</b>	SPM from HMIS (compiled and detailed on Data Document) Not applicable for DV -dedicated projects	objective	SPM	<b>All Housing Programs (non-DV):</b> <u>0 - 2% = 10 points</u> <u>3 - 5% = 5 points</u> <u>6 - 10% = 2 points</u> - <u>Projects with no exits will not be scored on this criteria.</u>		0	
13dv	<b>DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Meeting the Needs of Survivors</b> <b>A. Project staff are trained in best-practices in working with clients</b> <b>B. Project staff ensures clients have access to mainstream services and supports, whether provided by agency or community partners.</b>	Application Survey Not applicable for Non DV-dedicated project			<b>All Housing Programs (DV only):</b> <u>A. Response is thorough and complete, providing specific best-practices (trauma informed, victim centered, strength based approaches) and examples of such, leaving no unanswered questions = 5 points</u> <u>Response is adequate, providing some general best-practice ideas but no examples, and leaves unanswered questions = 2 points</u> <u>Question is not answered or response provides no best-practices or examples and leaves many unanswered questions = 0 points</u> - <u>B. Response is thorough and complete, providing specific examples of ways clients have access to services = 5 points</u> <u>Response is adequate, providing some general examples of ways clients have access to services, and leaves unanswered questions = 2 points</u> <u>Question is not answered or response provides no examples of ways clients have access to services and leaves many unanswered questions = 0 points</u>	10		
14	<b>Length of Time from Project Start Date to Housing Move-In Date</b> <b>Average length of days from project start date to move in-date</b>	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	<b>RRH and Joint TH/RRH:</b> <u>55 days or fewer = 5 points</u> <u>56 - 65 days = 3 points</u> <u>64 - 73 days = 1 point</u> - <b>PSH:</b> <u>7 days or fewer = 5 points</u> <u>8 -14 days = 3 points</u> <u>15 - 20 days = 1 point</u> - <u>Projects with no move ins will not be scored on this criteria</u>	5	5	
15	<b>Participants with Any Income</b> <b>% of adult with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)</b>	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<b>All Housing Programs:</b> <u>55% or higher = 6 points</u> <u>45 - 54% = 3 points</u> <u>35 - 44% = 1 point</u>	6	6	

16	<b>Increase in Total Income (All Sources)</b> % of adults who increased income (from any source) measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective	SPM	All Housing Programs: <u>20% or higher = 6 points</u> <u>15 - 19% = 3 points</u> <u>10 - 14% = 1 point</u>	6	6	
17	<b>Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits</b> % of adults with 1+ source of non-cash benefits (from any source) measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		All Housing Programs: <u>75% or higher = 6 points</u> <u>65 - 74% = 3 points</u> <u>60 - 64% = 1 point</u>	6	6	
18	<b>Participants Connected to Health Insurance</b> % of adults with 1+ source of health insurance, measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		All Housing Programs: <u>75% or higher = 6 points</u> <u>65 - 74% = 3 points</u> <u>60 - 64% = 1 point</u>	6	5	
19	<b>Project Performance Section</b> <b>Opportunity for explanation</b>	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
<b>HUD PRIORITIES</b>								
20	<b>Severity of Need - Age (youth 12-24 or adults 55+)</b> % of participants who are unaccompanied or parenting youth 12 -24 years of age or 55+ years of age at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		All Housing Programs: <u>% of participants under age 25 or 55+ * 2 points</u>	2	1.3	
21	<b>Severity of Need- Lack of Income</b> % of adults with zero income at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		All Housing Programs: <u>% of participants with no income * 2 points</u>	2	0.4	
22	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency has at least one person who is homeless or formerly homeless on board or other decision making body	Board List or equivalent uploaded by agency	objective		All Housing Programs: <u>Agency meets requirement = 2 points</u>	2	1	the data doc uploaded for this question didn't include a list of which board members have LE, but it described their policy for board make-up which did include the requirement

23	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency incorporates knowledge and input of persons with lived experience in program design and implementation	Narrative	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> <u>Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates incorporation of knowledge and input of people with lived experience in meaningful way, using best practices in their approach = 2</u> <u>Response is adequate and demonstrates some incorporation of input = 1 point</u> <u>Question is not answered or response provides no meaningful incorporation of input of people with lived experience = 0 points</u>	2	2	
24	<b>Commitment to Equity</b> Agency can demonstrate steps taken to address barriers to participation faced by people who may face of different races and ethnicities, especially those who are over represented in the local homeless population.	Narrative	subjective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> <u>Response is complete and thorough and agency describes at least one concrete step to identify barriers to participation AND discusses at least one step that they have taken, or will take, to eliminate the barrier(s) = 2 points</u> <u>Response is adequate and either identifies a barrier or a step to eliminate a barrier, but is not clear or thorough = 1 point</u> <u>Question is not answered or response provides no concrete steps to identify barriers or steps taken to eliminate barriers = 0 points</u>	2	2	
25	<b>Anti-Discrimination Policy</b> Policy that aligns with CoC and HUD policies regarding anti-discrimination	Anti-Discrimination Policy uploaded by agency	objective		Yes = 1 point No = 0 points	1	1	
26	<b>HUD Priorities Section</b> <b>Opportunity for explanation</b>	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0		
<b>DATA QUALITY</b>								
27	<b>Error Rate</b> % of Issue Rate for Personally Identifiable Information (PII), Universal Data Elements (UDE), and Income and Housing Data Quality	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> - <u>a. 0% = .5% points</u> <u>b. 0% = .5%</u> <u>c. 0% = .5%</u> <u>d. 0% = .5%</u> <u>e. 0% = .5%</u> <u>f. 0% = .5%</u> <u>g. 0% = .5%</u> <u>h. 0% = 1.5%</u>	5	5	
28a and 28b	<b>Data Timeliness</b> % of entry and exits records entered within 3 days	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		<u>All Housing Programs:</u> <u>a. 100% of entry records entered within 3 days = 2 points</u> <u>80 - 99% of entry records entered within 3 days = 1 point</u> - <u>Projects with no move ins will not be scored on this criteria.</u> - <u>b. 100% of exit records entered within 3 days = 2 points</u> <u>80 - 99% of exit records entered within 3 days = 1 point</u> - <u>Projects with no exits will not be scored on this criteria.</u>	4	4	

29	<b>Data Quality Section</b> <b>Opportunity for explanation</b>	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only			
30	<b>CoC Meeting Attendance</b> <b>Agency staff attend bi-monthly CoC meetings</b>	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Attendance at bi-monthly meeting = .5 point bonus, up to 3 points 09/12/23; 11/14/23; 01/09/24; 03/12/24; 05/14/24; 05/09/24	3 (bonus)	AZ	
31	<b>CoC Committee and/or Workgroup Participation</b> <b>Agency staff actively participate in at least one committee/workgroup</b>	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		At least one agency staff member is an active participant on a CoC committee/workgroup = 1 point bonus	1 (bonus)	AZ	
32	<b>CoC Point-in-Time Participation</b> <b>Agency staff participate in CoC PIT Count</b>	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Participation in PIT Count (planning, night count, and/or day shift) = .5 point bonus for each person, up to 2 points	2 (bonus)	AZ	
33	<b>Attendance at CoC Trainings</b> <b>Agency staff participate in Coc trainings</b>	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records	objective		Attendance at CoC trainings: 08/15/23 - Working with Survivors of Domestic Violence = -1 if no attendee 10/26/23 - Eviction Prevention = .5 11/17/23 or 12/08/23 - Best Practices Working with the Trans Community = .5 02/22/24 - Eviction Prevention = .5 04/25/24 - Eviction Prevention = .5 05/16/24 - Cultivating Inclusivity: Understanding HUD's Equal Access and Gender Identity Rules = -1 if no attendee 05/28/24 - Mental Health First Aid = .5 06/13/24 - Intimate & Domestic Partner Violence Training = .5 07/18/24 - Housing First Principles = .5	3.5 (bonus) -2 (penalty)	AZ	
34	<b>CoC Participation Section</b> <b>Opportunity for explanation</b>	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	AZ	
<b>UTILIZATION, DRAWS, SPEND DOWN, AND APR SUBMISSION</b>								
35	<b>Utilitization Rate</b> <b>Average Utilization Rate</b>	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)	objective		90% or higher = 3 points 85 - 89% = 2 points 80 - 84% = 1 point	3	0	
36	<b>Quarterly Draws</b> <b>Draws from eLOCCS made at least quarterly</b>	eLOCCS (most recently completed grant)	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	AZ	
37	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> <b>% of grant spent to ensure project is fully utilizing HUD funds</b>	eLOCCS (most recently completed grant)	objective		100% of grant spent = 8 points 95 - 99% of grant spent = 6 points 90 - 94% of grant spent = 2 points	8	AZ	
	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> <b>Summary page to confirm draws and spend down (36 and 37)</b>	eLOOCS Summary Page uploaded by agency	n/a - objective		No points - confirmation only	0	AZ	
38 and 38.1	<b>Unspent Funds</b> <b>Anticipation of spend down of current grant</b>	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - information only	0	0	

39	APR Submission APR for last completed grant submitted within 90 days of end of grant	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 2 points No = 0 points	2	AZ	
40	Utilization, Draws, Spend Down, and APR Submission Section Opportunity for explanation	Applicant Survey	n/a - subjective		No points - clarification/consideration only	0	AZ	
SUSTAINABILITY, SOAR, AND MONITORING								
41	Sustainability Plan Agency has a plan to sustain project in the event of fudning loss or reduction	Applicant Survey	subjective		All Housing Programs: Response is complete and thorough and demonstrates a <u>feasible plan to sustain the project or a manageable plan to wind down the project</u> = 2 Response demonstrates an adequate plan to sustain the project = 1 point <u>Question is not answered or response provides a plan that is not feasible</u> = 0 points	2	2	
42	SOAR Trained Staff Agency has a SOAR trained staff member	Applicant Survey	objective		Yes = 1 point bonus	1 (bonus)	1	
43 - 44	HUD Monitoring Project has had no HUD monitoring or no outstanding findings	Applicant Survery and HUD Letter uploaded by agency (if applicable)	objective		Project monitored since October 2023 and open and unresolved concenrs/findings = -5 points No monitoring since October 2023 or monitored with no outstanding findings = 0 points	-5 (penalty)	0	
BONUS FOR EARLY SUBMISSION								
Wednesday, August 7, 2024, 11:59pm (eastern)		Renewal application in SurveyMonkey submitted				2 bonus points	AZ	
Friday, August 9, 2024, 11:59pm (eastern)		Renewal application in SurveyMonkey submitted				1 bonus point	AZ	

SCORING TOTALS (will be converted to percentages due to some criteria being n/a for certain projects)			
Possible Points	95		0
Bonus/Penalty Points (Coc Participation)	10.5 max		0
Bonus Point (SOAR)	1		0
Penalty Points (HUD Monitoring)	-5 max		0
Bonus Points (early submission)	2 max		#VALUE!

Do you recommend this project to be considered for continued funding? Yes or no?	
Do you have any suggestions for adjustments or changes for the project, recognizing that it is a renewal and that HUD does not allow for major changes.	
Overall comments for the applicant, whether recommended for funding or not. This helps applicants for future applications, so please be as specific as possible.	

Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	10. DENIALS OF CE REFERRALS	12. HOUSING STABILITY							13. RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS (non-DV)	14. LENGTH OF TIME FROM PROJECT START DATE TO HOUSING MOVE-IN DATE
		Calculated Value	NUMERATOR			DENOMINATOR				Calculated Value	Calculated Value
		CE Rejection Report (minus allowable rejections) Q10 Response	Q22a1 Stayers Total	Q23c Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	Calculated Numerator (D+E)	Q5a Total Served	Q23c Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation	Calculated Demoninator (G-H)	Calculation D/I Q12 Response	SPM 2ab Returns to Homelessness Q13 Response	Q22C Average length of time to housing Q14 Response
Quest	Quest PH15	info coming	9	0	9	11	1	10	90.0%	0.0%	no move ins - not scored
Quest	Quest PH25	info coming	15	1	16	20	2	18	88.9%	16.67%	0
Quest	Quest Village	info coming	14	2	16	18	2	16	100.0%	16.67%	0

Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	15. PARTICIPANTS WITH ANY INCOME									
		NUMERATOR			DENOMINATOR						
		Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-1 or more source of income)	Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-1 or more source of income	Calculated Numerator (M+N)	Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults	Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults	Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment	Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information	Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information	Calculated Denominator (P+Q-R-S-T)	Calculation O/U Q15 Response
Quest	Quest PH15	7	1	8	9	2	0	0	0	11	72.7%
Quest	Quest PH25	12	4	16	15	5	1	0	0	19	84.2%
Quest	Quest Village	4	3	7	14	4	5	0	0	13	53.8%

Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	16. INCREASE IN TOTAL INCOME									
		NUMERATOR			DENOMINATOR						
		Q19a1 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain	Q19a2 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain	Calculated Numerator (W+X)	Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults	Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults	Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment	Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information	Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information	Calculated Denominator (AA+AB-AC-AD)	Calculation Y/AE Q16 Response
Quest	Quest PH15	7	1	8	9	2	0	0	0	11	72.7%
Quest	Quest PH25	8	3	11	15	5	1	0	0	19	57.9%
Quest	Quest Village	4	3	7	14	4	5	0	0	13	53.8%



Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	17. PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO MAINSTREAM BENEFITS									
		NUMERATOR			DENOMINATOR						
		Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 + Source(s)	Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-1+ Source(s)	Calculated Numerator (AG+AH)	Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Total	Q20 Benefit at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults	Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment	Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment (Stayers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Information	Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers - Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	Calculated Denominator (AJ+AK-AL-AM-AN)	Calculation (AI/AO) Q17 Response
Quest	Quest PH15	6	1	7	9	2	0	0	0	11	63.6%
Quest	Quest PH25	12	4	16	15	5	1	0	0	19	84.2%
Quest	Quest Village	5	3	8	14	4	5	0	0	13	61.5%

Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	18. PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO HEALTH INSURANCE											
		NUMERATOR					DENOMINATOR						
		Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 Source of Health Insurance	Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	Q21 Exit for Leavers-1 Source of Health Insurance	Q21 Exit for Leavers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	Calculated Numerator (AQ+AR+AS+AT)	Q5a Number of Stayers	Q5a Number of Leavers	Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers- Number of Stayers not yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment	Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers- Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Q21 Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Calculated Denominator (AV+AW-AX-AY-AZ)	Calculation (AU/BA) <b>Q18 Response</b>
Quest	Quest PH15	5	2	0	1	8	9	2	0	0	0	11	72.7%
Quest	Quest PH25	8	2	3	1	14	15	5	1	0	0	19	73.7%
Quest	Quest Village	3	0	1	0	4	14	4	5	0	0	13	30.8%

Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	20. SEVERITY OF NEED - AGE									21. SEVERITY OF NEED - LACK OF INCOME				
		NUMERATOR				DENOMINATOR					NUMERATOR	DENOMINATOR			
		Q5a Number of Youth Under Age 25	Q11 55-64-Total	Q11 65+-Total	Calculated Numerator (BC+BD+BE)	Q5a Number of Adults (Age18 or Over	Q11 13-17-Total	Q11 Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer-Total	Calculated Denominator (BG+BH-BI)	Calculation (BF/BJ)*2 Q20 Response	Numerator Q16 Income at Start - No income	Q16 Income at Start - Total Adults	Q16 Income at Start Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer Total	Calculated Denominator (BM-BN)	Calculation (BBL/BO)*2 Q21 Response
Quest	Quest PH15	0	7	2	9	11	0	0	11	1.64	4	11	0	11	0.73
Quest	Quest PH25	0	9	4	13	20	0	0	20	1.30	4	20	0	20	0.40
Quest	Quest Village	0	11	3	14	18	0	0	18	1.56	13	18	0	18	1.44

[illegible]

Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	28a. DATA TIMELINESS - PROJECT ENTRY											
		NUMERATOR				DENOMINATOR							
		Q6e Number of Project Entry Records < 0 days	Q6e Number of Project Entry Records 0 days	Q6e Number of Project Entry Records 1-3 days	Calculated Numerator (BY+BZ+CA)	Q6e Number of Project Entry Records < 0 days	Q6e Number of Project EntrynRecords 0 days	Q6e Number of Project Entry Records 1-3 days	Q6e Number of Project Entry Records 4-6 days	Q6e Number of Project Entry Records 7-10 days	Q6e Number of Project Entry Records 11+ days	Calculated Denominator (CC+CD+CE+CF+CG+CH)	Calculatio n (CB/CI) Q28a Response
Quest	Quest PH15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	no entries - not scored
Quest	Quest PH25	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.0%
Quest	Quest Village	0	2	2	4	0	2	2	0	0	1	5	80.0%

Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	28b. DATA TIMELINESS - PROJECT EXIT											
		NUMERATOR				DENOMINATOR							
		Q6e Number of Project Exit Records < 0 days	Q6e Number of Project Exit Records 0 days	Q6e Number of Project Exit Records 1-3 days	Calculated Numerator (CK+CL+CM)	Q6e Number of Project Exit Records < 0 days	Q6e Number of Project Exit Records 0 days	Q6e Number of Project Exit Records 1-3 days	Q6e Number of Project Exit Records 4-6 days	Q6e Number of Project Exit Records 7-10 days	Q6e Number of Project Exit Records 11+ days	Calculated Denominator (CO+CP+CQ+CR+CS+CT)	Calculation (CB/CI) <b>Q28a Response</b>
Quest	Quest PH15	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	50.0%
Quest	Quest PH25	1	2	2	5	1	2	2	0	0	0	5	100.0%
Quest	Quest Village	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	4	25.0%

Organization	Project Name - PSH ONLY	10. DENIALS OF CE REFERRALS	35. UTILIZATION RATE		
		Calculated Value	Numerator	Denominator	Calculated Value
		CE Rejection Report (minus allowable rejections) Q10 Response	Q8b Average of Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday (Jan, April, July, and October)	Number of Units in 2023 Project Application	Calculation (CY/CZ) Q35 Response
Quest	Quest PH15	0	10.25	15	68.33%
Quest	Quest PH25	0	16.25	25	65.00%
Quest	Quest Village	0	13.75	12	114.58%

# Renewal Project Data Calculation Explanations for Objective Criteria



DATA CALCULATION EXPLANATIONS FOR OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA		
Question	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Calculation
HOUSING FIRST COMMITMENT		
10	<b>Denials of Coordinated Entry Referrals</b> In line with the CoC CE policies, projects can reject up to 4 referrals annually	HMIS Coordinated Entry Rejection Report - Number of rejections, without acceptable reason (compiled and detailed on Data Document)
PROJECT PERFORMANCE		
12	<b>Housing Stability</b> <u>RRH and Joint TH/RRH</u> : % of leavers who exited to PH destinations <u>PSH</u> : % of stayers who remained in PSH or leavers who exited to other PH	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  RRH/TH-RRH: Q23c Percentage PSH: (Q22a1 Stayers Total) + (Q23 Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations) / (Q5a Total Number of Persons Served) - (Q23c Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation)
13	<b>Non DV-Dedicated Projects Only</b> <b>Housing Stability</b> % of adults who return to homelessness within 6 months of exiting to a PH destination	SPMs from HMIS (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  SPM 2ab Returns to Homelessness
14	<b>Length of Time from Project Start Date to Housing Move-In Date</b> Average length of days from project start date to move in-date	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  Q22c Average length of time to housing
15	<b>Participants with Any Income</b> % of adult with 1+ source of any income at exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  $\frac{\text{Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-1 or more source of income} + \text{Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-1 or more source of income}}{\text{Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults} + \text{Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults}} - \frac{\text{Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment} + \text{Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information}}{\text{Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information}}$

Question	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Calculation
16	<b>Increase in Total Income (All Sources)</b> % of adults who increased income (from any source) measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  (Q19a1 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) + (Q19a2 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) / (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information) – (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information)
17	<b>Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits</b> % of adults with 1+ source of non-cash benefits (from any source)_measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 + Source(s)) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-1+ Source(s)) / (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Total) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Total) – (Q18 Number of Stayers-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
18	<b>Participants Connected to Health Insurance</b> % of adults with 1+ source of health insurance, measured from entry to exit or annual assessment (for leavers and stayers)	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) / (Q5a Number of Stayers) + (Q5a Number of Leavers) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Number of Stayers not yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer) – (Q21 Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer)
HUD PRIORITIES		
20	<b>Severity of Need - Age (youth 12-24 or adults 55+)</b> % of participants who are unaccompanied or parenting youth 12 -24 years of age or 55+ years of age at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  (Q5a Number of Youth Under Age 25) + (Q11 55-64-Total) + (Q11 65+-Total) / Q5a Number of Adults (Age18 or Over) + (Q11 13-17-Total) – (Q11 Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer-Total) * 2 points
21	<b>Severity of Need- Lack of Income</b> % of adults with zero income at entry	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  (Q16 Income at Start - No income) / (Q16 Income at Start - Total Adults) - (Q16 Income at Start Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer Total) * 2 points
22	<b>Lived Experience Involvement</b> Agency has at least one person who is homeless or formerly homeless on board or other decision making body	Documentation uploaded by agency demonstrating that the agency has met the requirement stated. This may be a list of the current board of directors or other equivalent policymaking entity with a notation regarding which of the members meets this requirement.

Question	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Calculation
25	<b>Anti-Discrimination Policy</b> Policy that aligns with CoC and HUD policies regarding anti-discrimination	3
DATA QUALITY		
27	<b>Error Rate</b> % of Issue Rate for Personally Identifiable Information (PII), Universal Data Elements (UDE), and Income and Housing Data Quality	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  Percent error rate for: Q6a DQ: Personally Identifying Information a. Name b. SSN c. Date of Birth d. Race/Ethnicity e. Gender Q6b DQ: Universal Data Elements f. Veteran Status g. Disabling Condition Q6c DQ: Income and Housing Data Quality h. Destination
28a and 28b	<b>Data Timeliness</b> % of entry records entered within 3 days	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  a. $(Q6e \text{ Number of Project Start Records} < 0 \text{ days} + 0 \text{ days} + 1\text{-}3 \text{ days}) / (Q6e \text{ Number of Project Start Records} < 0 \text{ days} + 0 \text{ days} + 1\text{-}3 \text{ days} + 4\text{-}6 \text{ days} + 7\text{-}10 \text{ days} + 11\text{+} \text{ days})$  b. $(Q6e \text{ Number of Project Exit Records} < 0 \text{ days} + 0 \text{ days} + 1\text{-}3 \text{ days}) / (Q6e \text{ Number of Project Start Records} < 0 \text{ days} + 0 \text{ days} + 1\text{-}3 \text{ days} + 4\text{-}6 \text{ days} + 7\text{-}10 \text{ days} + 11\text{+} \text{ days})$
COC PARTICIPATION		
30	<b>CoC Meeting Attendance</b> Agency staff attend bi-monthly CoC meetings	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records
31	<b>CoC Committee and/or Workgroup Participation</b> Agency staff actively participate in at least one committee/workgroup	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records
32	<b>CoC Point-in-Time Participation</b> Agency staff participate in CoC PIT Count	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records

Question	Questions/Evaluation Criteria	Calculation
33	<b>Attendance at CoC Trainings</b> Agency staff participate in Coc trainings	Applicant Survey confirmed by PFH records
UTILIZATION, DRAWS, SPEND DOWN, AND APR SUBMISSION		
35	<b>Utilitization Rate</b> Average Utilization Rate	APR from HMIS APR from DV Comparable HMIS Database (compiled and detailed on Data Document)  Q8b Average of Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday (Jan, April, July, and October) / Number of Units in 2023 Project Application
36	<b>Quarterly Draws</b> Draws from eLOCCS made at least quarterly	eLOOCS Summary Page uploaded by agency
37	<b>Grant Spend Down</b> % of grant spent to ensure project is fully utilizing HUD funds	eLOOCS Summary Page uploaded by agency
39	<b>APR Submission</b> APR for last completed grant submitted within 90 days of end of grant	Applicant Survey, confirmed by SAGE
SUSTAINABILITY, SOAR, AND MONITORING		
42	<b>SOAR Trained Staff</b> Agency has a SOAR trained staff member	Applicant Survey, may be confirmed by SOAR contact
43 - 44	<b>HUD Monitoring</b> Project has had no HUD monitoring or no outstanding findings	Applicant Survery and HUD Letter uploaded by agency (if applicable)

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1E-5 Notification of Projects Rejected/Reduced**

- Individual email notifications
  - The Investors Academy – new project
    - Rejected
  - Missy's Place – new project
    - Rejected
  - Covenant House – renewal project
    - Reduced
  - GHFA (Caring Works S+C) – renewal project
    - Confirmation of voluntary reallocation
- Public posting of priority list showing all accepted/reduced/rejected projects

## Individual email notifications

---

## New Project Score Update - The Investors Academy

---

**From** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>  
**Date** Mon 9/30/2024 6:00 AM  
**To** Montra Mckenzie <montra@investorsacademyyouth.com>  
**Cc** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Good morning –

Thank for you submitting an application for the FY24 HUD CoC Atlanta Local Competition.

After review of your application, it was determined that it would not be recommended to move forward in the process due to not meeting threshold, among other factors:

- The application talks of enrolling own participants, and enrolling through coordinated entry is a threshold criteria
- Concerns about match and lack of details
- Applying for RRH but application refers to both RRH and PSH
- Application mentions using funding for paid job-training
- Changed support service budget to request and include many ineligible line items
- Talks of housing leverage for TH and PSH but application is for RRH

We appreciate that you applied and are interested in getting involved with the Atlanta CoC, and hope that you continue to increase your involvement. Please continue to attend meetings and stay engaged. As you have seen, there are many opportunities to get involved and attend trainings.

If you disagree with this decision, you can apply directly to HUD as a solo applicant. The [FY2024 and FY2025 CoC HUD NOFO](#) has information on how so.

Thank you, and have a great day!

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)  
NOFO Consultant  
[partnersforhome.org](https://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR 

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

---

## New Project Score Update

---

**From** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Date** Mon 9/30/2024 6:00 AM

**To** Veronica <missysplaceinc@gmail.com>

**Cc** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Good morning –

Thank for you submitting an application for the FY24 HUD CoC Atlanta Local Competition.

After review of your application, it was determined that it would not be recommended to move forward in the process due to not meeting threshold, among other factors:

- Mandatory case management, job programs, financial literacy, etc is not following a Housing First model, and Housing First is a threshold criteria
- It was not clear is there was 25% match, which is a threshold criteria
- The application speaks of facility based transitional housing, but is an application for RRH
- The budget includes leasing and rental assistance which is not allowed, and is explained in the HUD budget tools
- There were questions about the partnerships with Atlanta Housing and the City of Atlanta for housing leverage and if this exists already.

We appreciate that you applied and are interested in getting involved with the Atlanta CoC, and hope that you continue to increase your involvement. Please continue to attend meetings and stay engaged. As you have seen, there are many opportunities to get involved and attend trainings.

If you disagree with this decision, you can apply directly to HUD as a solo applicant. The [FY2024 and FY2025 CoC HUD NOFO](#) has information on how so.

Thank you, and have a great day!

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)  
NOFO Consultant  
[partnersforhome.org](https://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR 

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*



---

## Atlanta CoC 2024 NOFO - Project Ranking and Funding Update

---

From Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 4:39 PM

To Leigh Hall <vhall@covenanthouse.org>

Cc Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Covenant House was given notice of their proposed reduction on 10/8 and of their final proposed ranking and award amount, once they said they would still apply, on 10/9 with the other renewal and new applicants (1E-5a)

Good afternoon –

I will send out an email to all Atlanta CoC applicants later but wanted to reach out to you first.

The Rank and Review Committee reviewed all applications, met, and made their recommendations for the priority list, which the Governing Council just voted on and approved within the last hour.

**Your project ranked in Tier 1, but due to chronic underspending, it is being included in the 2024 CoC Priority List at \$105,254.00 – a reduction of \$100,000 from what you were eligible for.**

Looking at the past three years, the grant has spent roughly an average of 36% of the award and returned the remainder. Therefore, the Rank and Review Committee felt it fiscally responsible to recommend the reduction, and the Governing Council agreed.

I realize that this is a change in your expectation of the renewal grant, so please consider if this is still something you would like to pursue.

However, due to time constraints and the impact it will have if you choose not to pursue the renewal at this new funding level, there does need to be a quick decision. If you could please respond and let me know by **3pm, tomorrow (Wednesday, October 9, 2024)**, that would be great.

Thanks, and please let me know if you have any questions. I apologize for the late afternoon email, but the Council meeting just ended and I am sending this email as quickly as possible.

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)

NOFO Consultant

[partnersforhome.org](https://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR 

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

---

## GHFA - Caring Works S+C GA 0243 - Reallocation

---

**From** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Date** Wed 10/9/2024 4:38 PM

**To** Libby Tyre <libby.tyre@dca.ga.gov>; Danielle Jordan <Danielle.Jordan@dca.ga.gov>; Melodie Brady <melodie.brady@dca.ga.gov>

**Cc** Carol Collard <carolcollard@caringworksinc.org>; Barb Peters <bpeters427@gmail.com>; Kimberley Kyle <kimberleyekyle@gmail.com>

Although this has been discussed before, just to formalize it -

Due to a local renewal application not being submitted by the deadline, this grant will be fully reallocated and not submitted as a renewal grant with the Atlanta CoC application to HUD for the FY2024 NOFO.

Thanks, and have a great day!

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)  
NOFO Consultant  
[partnersforhome.org](https://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR 

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

Public posting of priority list showing all  
accepted/reduced/rejected projects

2024 HUD CoC NOFO

Atlanta Continuum of Care (GA - 500) - Local Competition Selection Results

Applicant Name	Project Name	Score (Percent)	Status	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Renewal or New
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Phoenix House S+CR	88.56%	Accepted	1	\$370,419.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Presley Woods S+CR	87.63%	Accepted	2	\$268,350.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (Quest)	Quest 35 S+CR	85.06%	Accepted	3	\$490,888.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partnership Against Domestic Violence	PADV PH Project City of Atlanta	83.40%	Accepted	4	\$238,226.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	PH25 Renewal Project FY23	81.22%	Accepted	5	\$485,992.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	Quest Village II FY23 Renewal Project	77.96%	Accepted	6	\$402,426.00	\$0.00	Renewal
3Keys, Inc. (formerly Project Interconnections, Inc.)	A Way Home	76.28%	Accepted	7	\$550,145.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	PH15 Renewal Project FY23	76.01%	Accepted	8	\$334,772.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	CaringWorks Rise II Atlanta	76.00%	Accepted	9	\$252,106.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	CaringWorks Rise Atlanta SHP	73.05%	Accepted	10	\$998,190.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (Caring Works)	Caring Works S+CR (Santa Fe)	70.97%	Accepted	11	\$213,076.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	Shamrock SHP	69.30%	Accepted	12	\$271,211.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Project Community Connections, Inc.	PCCI Rapid Re-Housing City of Atlanta	68.25%	Accepted	13	\$487,397.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Nicholas House)	Family PSH	n/a - hasn't completed full year	Accepted	14	\$630,750.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Nicholas House Inc	Homeless to Homes H2H Rapid Rehousing Program	n/a - first year	Accepted	15	\$351,796.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Harm Reduction Coalition, Inc	Access to Housing	n/a - first year	Accepted	16	\$168,851.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Welcome House S+CR	67.30%	Accepted	17	\$564,931.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Mercy Care)	Mercy Care PSH	64.72%	Accepted	18	\$355,066.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Salvation Army)	View Point PSH	57.86%	Accepted	19	\$677,886.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Our House)	Our House DV RRH	56.88%	Accepted	20	\$469,370.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Covenant House Georgia, Inc.	Pathways to Independence	54.58%	Reduced Reallocated	21	\$105,254.00	(\$100,000.00)	Renewal
Our House, Inc.	Our House Family Housing Preservation Project: City of Atlanta	42.57%	Accepted	22	\$514,564.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Gateway)	Atlanta CoC Coordinated Entry	n/a - CE	Accepted	23	\$140,000.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (PADV)	DV Coordinated Entry	n/a - CE	Accepted	24	\$180,000.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta HMIS Renewal FY2023	n/a - HMIS	Accepted	25	\$289,884.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (River Edge)	River Edge PSH	Tier 1 - 42.46%	Accepted	26	\$517,890.00	\$0.00	Renewal
		Tier 2 - 42.46%	Accepted		\$739,030.00		
Partners for HOME, Inc.	Waterworks	Tier 2 funding	Accepted	27	\$408,686.00	\$408,686.00	New
		Bonus funding	Accepted		\$908,858.00		
Travelers Aid Of Metropolitan Atlanta Inc (dba Hope Atlanta)	RRH for Families and Seniors	Bonus funding	Accepted	28	\$607,596.00	\$0.00	New
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS OUTREACH RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$60,500.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Youth Empowerment Success Services	ATL YHDP JOINT TH RRH-RENEWAL FY23-NEW	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$993,374.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS EMPOWERMENT RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$90,750.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS NAVIGATION RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$66,000.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc.	PLANNING	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$634,389.00	\$0.00	n/a
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Caring Works ATL S+C_C (S+C 1)	unranked	Voluntary Reallocation	not ranked	\$0.00	(\$308,686.00)	Renewal
Missy's Place	Missy's Place Housing Project	n/a - didn't meet threshold	Rejected	not ranked - didn't meet threshold	\$0.00	\$0.00	New
The Investor's Academy	IA Housing & Wrap Around	n/a - didn't meet threshold	Rejected	not ranked - didn't meet threshold	\$0.00	\$0.00	New

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1E-5a**

## **Projects Accepted - Notification Outside of *e-snaps***

- Email notification to all applicants with priority list attached that contained
  - All projects
  - All project rankings
  - All project scores
  - All funding amounts
- Public posting of priority list showing all accepted/reduced/rejected projects

Email notification to all applicants with priority list  
attached that contained

---

## 2024 Atlanta CoC HUD NOFO Priority List Update (and a quick note about esnaps drafts/reviews/final versions deadlines - what was I thinking?)

---

**From** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Date** Tue 10/8/2024 8:45 PM

**To** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Bcc** Cathryn Vassell <cvassell@partnersforhome.org>; Sarah Buckley <sbuckley@hopeatlanta.org>; Elizabeth Banks <ebanks@hopeatlanta.org>; Carol Collard <carolcollard@caringworksinc.org>; Navvab McDaniels <Navvab.McDaniels@padv.org>; Margaret Schuelke <mschuelke@pccihome.org>; Toni-Lee McDonald <tmcdonald@pccihome.org>; Patricia Duboise <pduboise@the3keys.org>; Melanie Faison <MFaison@questcdc.org>; Melissa Tyson <MTyson@questcdc.org>; Marilyn Benton <MBenton@questcdc.org>; Leonard Adams <LAdams@questcdc.org>; Libby Tyre <libby.tyre@dca.ga.gov>; Maggie Brownsworth <mbrownsworth@ourhousega.org>; Leigh Hall <vhall@covenanthouse.org>; Megan VandeBogert <megan@hopethruse.org>; Kelly Walton <kw Walton@yess4youth.org>; Stephanie Hill <shill@nicholashouse.org>; Jannan Thomas <jthomas@nicholashouse.org>; Danielle Jordan <Danielle.Jordan@dca.ga.gov>; Tyese Lawyer <tlawyer@ourhousega.org>; christens@ghrc-ga.org <christens@ghrc-ga.org>; Mojgan Zare <mojganz@ghrc-ga.org>; jeanette.pollock@dca.ga.gov <jeanette.pollock@dca.ga.gov>; Barb Peters <bpeters427@gmail.com>; Kimberley Kyly <kimberleyekyle@gmail.com>; Melodie Brady <melodie.brady@dca.ga.gov>; Kathryn Lawler <kathryn.lawler@mercyatlanta.org>; Steve Siler <steve.siler@mercyatlanta.org>; Tommy Barnes <WBarnes@river-edge.org>; carolyn.booth@uss.salvationarmy.org <carolyn.booth@uss.salvationarmy.org>; Janeane Schmidt <Janeane.Schmidt@uss.salvationarmy.org>; Maggie Brownsworth <mbrownsworth@ourhousega.org>; Jannan Thomas <jthomas@nicholashouse.org>; Stephanie Hill <shill@nicholashouse.org>; Tyese Lawyer <tlawyer@ourhousega.org>; Tricia Callahan <tcallihan@gatewayctr.org>; Raphael Holloway <rholloway@gatewayctr.org>; Navvab McDaniels <Navvab.McDaniels@padv.org>; Atira Rochester <atira.rochester@mercyatlanta.org>; Erika Parks <EParks@river-edge.org>

 1 attachments (461 KB)

prioritylist\_100824.pdf;

Good evening, Atlanta CoC Renewal Applicants, Subgrantees, and New Applicants –

Late this afternoon, the Atlanta CoC Governing Council met to review and vote on the Priority List to include in the 2024 CoC application for HUD CoC NOFO funding. Attached to this email is the Priority List that was approved.

As a reminder, this list was developed based on the applications that were submitted and reviewed and scored by the Rank and Review Committee. The Committee then met and developed a draft ranking for the Council to approve.

A few other reminders:

- Each CoC has an Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) amount of funding that they are eligible to apply for that is divided into two tiers
  - This year, Tier 1 makes up 90% of the CoC's ARD and for the Atlanta CoC, that amount is \$10,329,440 – projects that are ranked in Tier 1 are relatively safe to be funded again

- Tier 2 makes up the remaining 10% of the ARD and for the Atlanta CoC, that equals \$1,147,716 - projects ranked in Tier 2 not as secure to be re-funded, dependent on overall CoC performance
- Each CoC also has new bonus funding available to them, dependent on overall CoC performance and for the Atlanta CoC, this amount is \$1,522,534

This Priority Listing is being sent out to you all tonight as a courtesy, as either current grantees, subgrantees, or new applicants. And my apologies for sending it so late in the day. Time got away from me!

This will be sent out to the entire CoC email list tomorrow (Wednesday, 10/9/24) and then also posted on social media, in an effort to reach the general public and those people not likely to be currently involved with the CoC. It will also be posted on the Atlanta CoC HUD Program Competition webpage.

One more note, slightly related – according to the current timeline, I will have esnaps feedback to you all no later than October 14, which I may have, as I do not review project content, but just for errors. However, I realized that I gave you all a really short turn-around to finalize any corrections, with final esnaps apps due October 16.

Please be on the lookout for a change in one or both of those due dates! At minimum, I suspect I will push the October 16 date back for you all! I just need to make sure I am not running into any other deadline issue if I do so. I want to be sure to be fair to you all and not make you drop everything, if not necessary. Although, I suspect there will be minimal updates to be made! Stay tuned!

Thanks for your hard work during this NOFO cycle. Of course, you and your agencies work hard throughout the year addressing homelessness and housing insecurity, but this NOFO process is a different beast, for lack of a different word! It is winding down, for you guys, for the most part... Not done yet... But almost! And, I appreciate you working with us during this process! But, again, it's not done yet... So please be sure to keep an eye out for my update regarding the esnaps updates and any other requests that may come up as I work to complete the CoC application!

Thanks, and again, my apologies for the late email but I wanted to send this email out to you all as soon as possible! Continue doing the great work you all are doing, and have a fabulous evening/day!

Please let me know if you have any questions!

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)  
NOFO Consultant  
[partnersforhome.org](https://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR HOME

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*



Public posting of priority list showing all  
accepted/reduced/rejected projects

2024 HUD CoC NOFO  
Atlanta Continuum of Care (GA - 500) - Local Competition Selection Results

Applicant Name	Project Name	Score (Percent)	Status	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Renewal or New
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Phoenix House S+CR	88.56%	Accepted	1	\$370,419.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Presley Woods S+CR	87.63%	Accepted	2	\$268,350.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (Quest)	Quest 35 S+CR	85.06%	Accepted	3	\$490,888.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partnership Against Domestic Violence	PADV PH Project City of Atlanta	83.40%	Accepted	4	\$238,226.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	PH25 Renewal Project FY23	81.22%	Accepted	5	\$485,992.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	Quest Village II FY23 Renewal Project	77.96%	Accepted	6	\$402,426.00	\$0.00	Renewal
3Keys, Inc. (formerly Project Interconnections, Inc.)	A Way Home	76.28%	Accepted	7	\$550,145.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	PH15 Renewal Project FY23	76.01%	Accepted	8	\$334,772.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	CaringWorks Rise II Atlanta	76.00%	Accepted	9	\$252,106.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	CaringWorks Rise Atlanta SHP	73.05%	Accepted	10	\$998,190.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (Caring Works)	Caring Works S+CR (Santa Fe)	70.97%	Accepted	11	\$213,076.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	Shamrock SHP	69.30%	Accepted	12	\$271,211.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Project Community Connections, Inc.	PCCI Rapid Re-Housing City of Atlanta	68.25%	Accepted	13	\$487,397.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Nicholas House)	Family PSH	n/a - hasn't completed full year	Accepted	14	\$630,750.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Nicholas House Inc	Homeless to Homes H2H Rapid Rehousing Program	n/a - first year	Accepted	15	\$351,796.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Harm Reduction Coalition, Inc	Access to Housing	n/a - first year	Accepted	16	\$168,851.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Welcome House S+CR	67.30%	Accepted	17	\$564,931.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Mercy Care)	Mercy Care PSH	64.72%	Accepted	18	\$355,066.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Salvation Army)	View Point PSH	57.86%	Accepted	19	\$677,886.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Our House)	Our House DV RRH	56.88%	Accepted	20	\$469,370.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Covenant House Georgia, Inc.	Pathways to Independence	54.58%	Reduced Reallocated	21	\$105,254.00	(\$100,000.00)	Renewal
Our House, Inc.	Our House Family Housing Preservation Project: City of Atlanta	42.57%	Accepted	22	\$514,564.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Gateway)	Atlanta CoC Coordinated Entry	n/a - CE	Accepted	23	\$140,000.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (PADV)	DV Coordinated Entry	n/a - CE	Accepted	24	\$180,000.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta HMIS Renewal FY2023	n/a - HMIS	Accepted	25	\$289,884.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (River Edge)	River Edge PSH	Tier 1 - 42.46%	Accepted	26	\$517,890.00	\$0.00	Renewal
		Tier 2 - 42.46%	Accepted		\$739,030.00		
Partners for HOME, Inc.	Waterworks	Tier 2 funding	Accepted	27	\$408,686.00	\$408,686.00	New
		Bonus funding	Accepted		\$908,858.00		
Travelers Aid Of Metropolitan Atlanta Inc (dba Hope Atlanta)	RRH for Families and Seniors	Bonus funding	Accepted	28	\$607,596.00	\$0.00	New
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS OUTREACH RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$60,500.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Youth Empowerment Success Services	ATL YHDP JOINT TH RRH-RENEWAL FY23-NEW	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$993,374.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS EMPOWERMENT RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$90,750.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS NAVIGATION RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$66,000.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc.	PLANNING	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$634,389.00	\$0.00	n/a
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Caring Works ATL S+C_C (S+C 1)	unranked	Voluntary Reallocation	not ranked	\$0.00	(\$308,686.00)	Renewal
Missy's Place	Missy's Place Housing Project	n/a - didn't meet threshold	Rejected	not ranked - didn't meet threshold	\$0.00	\$0.00	New
The Investor's Academy	IA Housing & Wrap Around	n/a - didn't meet threshold	Rejected	not ranked - didn't meet threshold	\$0.00	\$0.00	New

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1E-5b Local Competition Selection Results**

- Local Competition Selection Results Chart
- Public posting of Local Competition Selection Results Chart
- Email notification to CoC of public posting of priority listing showing all accepted/reduced/rejected projects

2024 HUD CoC NOFO

Atlanta Continuum of Care (GA - 500) - Local Competition Selection Results

Applicant Name	Project Name	Score (Percent)	Status	Rank	Amount Requested from HUD	Reallocated Funds	Renewal or New
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Phoenix House S+CR	88.56%	Accepted	1	\$370,419.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Presley Woods S+CR	87.63%	Accepted	2	\$268,350.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (Quest)	Quest 35 S+CR	85.06%	Accepted	3	\$490,888.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partnership Against Domestic Violence	PADV PH Project City of Atlanta	83.40%	Accepted	4	\$238,226.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	PH25 Renewal Project FY23	81.22%	Accepted	5	\$485,992.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	Quest Village II FY23 Renewal Project	77.96%	Accepted	6	\$402,426.00	\$0.00	Renewal
3Keys, Inc. (formerly Project Interconnections, Inc.)	A Way Home	76.28%	Accepted	7	\$550,145.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Quest Community Development Organization f/k/a Quest 35, Inc.	PH15 Renewal Project FY23	76.01%	Accepted	8	\$334,772.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	CaringWorks Rise II Atlanta	76.00%	Accepted	9	\$252,106.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	CaringWorks Rise Atlanta SHP	73.05%	Accepted	10	\$998,190.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (Caring Works)	Caring Works S+CR (Santa Fe)	70.97%	Accepted	11	\$213,076.00	\$0.00	Renewal
CaringWorks, Inc.	Shamrock SHP	69.30%	Accepted	12	\$271,211.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Project Community Connections, Inc.	PCCI Rapid Re-Housing City of Atlanta	68.25%	Accepted	13	\$487,397.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Nicholas House)	Family PSH	n/a - hasn't completed full year	Accepted	14	\$630,750.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Nicholas House Inc	Homeless to Homes H2H Rapid Rehousing Program	n/a - first year	Accepted	15	\$351,796.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Harm Reduction Coalition, Inc	Access to Housing	n/a - first year	Accepted	16	\$168,851.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority (3 Keys)	Welcome House S+CR	67.30%	Accepted	17	\$564,931.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Mercy Care)	Mercy Care PSH	64.72%	Accepted	18	\$355,066.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Salvation Army)	View Point PSH	57.86%	Accepted	19	\$677,886.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Our House)	Our House DV RRH	56.88%	Accepted	20	\$469,370.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Covenant House Georgia, Inc.	Pathways to Independence	54.58%	Reduced Reallocated	21	\$105,254.00	(\$100,000.00)	Renewal
Our House, Inc.	Our House Family Housing Preservation Project: City of Atlanta	42.57%	Accepted	22	\$514,564.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (Gateway)	Atlanta CoC Coordinated Entry	n/a - CE	Accepted	23	\$140,000.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (PADV)	DV Coordinated Entry	n/a - CE	Accepted	24	\$180,000.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Atlanta HMIS Renewal FY2023	n/a - HMIS	Accepted	25	\$289,884.00	\$0.00	Renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc. (River Edge)	River Edge PSH	Tier 1 - 42.46%	Accepted	26	\$517,890.00	\$0.00	Renewal
		Tier 2 - 42.46%	Accepted		\$739,030.00		
Partners for HOME, Inc.	Waterworks	Tier 2 funding	Accepted	27	\$408,686.00	\$408,686.00	New
		Bonus funding	Accepted		\$908,858.00		
Travelers Aid Of Metropolitan Atlanta Inc (dba Hope Atlanta)	RRH for Families and Seniors	Bonus funding	Accepted	28	\$607,596.00	\$0.00	New
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS OUTREACH RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$60,500.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Youth Empowerment Success Services	ATL YHDP JOINT TH RRH-RENEWAL FY23-NEW	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$993,374.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS EMPOWERMENT RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$90,750.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Hope thru Soap, Inc.	ATL YHDP HTS NAVIGATION RENEWAL FY23	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$66,000.00	\$0.00	YHDP renewal
Partners for HOME, Inc.	PLANNING	unranked	Accepted	not ranked	\$634,389.00	\$0.00	n/a
Georgia Housing and Finance Authority	Caring Works ATL S+C_C (S+C 1)	unranked	Voluntary Reallocation	not ranked	\$0.00	(\$308,686.00)	Renewal
Missy's Place	Missy's Place Housing Project	n/a - didn't meet threshold	Rejected	not ranked - didn't meet threshold	\$0.00	\$0.00	New
The Investor's Academy	IA Housing & Wrap Around	n/a - didn't meet threshold	Rejected	not ranked - didn't meet threshold	\$0.00	\$0.00	New

Public posting of Local Competition  
Selection Results Chart

# HUD CoC Program Competition

The Atlanta CoC process for the HUD NOFO Program Competition begins with the CoC Registration, followed by the review and approval of the Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) and the release of the CoC Program NOFO. The FY Competition ends when the final funding announcement is released by HUD.

Partners for HOME, as the Collaborative Applicant for the Atlanta CoC, is responsible for overseeing this process, which includes a local competitive application process and the submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD, which consists of the CoC Application, the CoC Priority Listing, and the Project Applications.

This process is one that involves the CoC community and is not just about writing a grant. It gives Partners for HOME a chance to showcase the work that the CoC has been doing throughout the past year and plans to continue to do in the coming year. While the HUD NOFO competition can be complex and involved, it is an important process that we hope you consider taking part in.

Partners for HOME is committed to providing equal access to its services and opportunities to all people regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, disability, or age. To request a reasonable accommodation or alternate format or language, please [email Partners for HOME](#).

On October 8, 2024, the Governing Council voted to approve the Priority List that will be included with the Atlanta CoC application to HUD for the FY2024 NOFO and all applicants were notified of their ranking and funding level. On October 9, 2024, an email was sent to the CoC mailing list, which includes all CoC members, stakeholders, partners, and interested community members. Postings were also made on October 9, 2024, on various Collaborative Applicant's, Partners for Home, social media sites, in an effort to reach the general public. [Click here to view the Priority List.](#)

## FY24 Local Competition

- Atlanta CoC HUD NOFO General Overview (slides)
- Atlanta CoC HUD NOFO General Overview (recording)
- Local Competition Policy
- Reduction and Reallocation Policy
- FY24 Local Timeline – Updated 09/18/24
- FY24 Renewal Application Training (slides)
- FY24 Renewal Application Training (video)
- \*\*Note\*\* If asked for a passcode, enter: U\$ZWzM7j
- FY24 Renewal Application Online Application Link (sent directly to agencies)
- FY24 Renewal Application (pdf) (for reference only)
- FY24 Renewal Project Evaluation and Scoring Standards (updated 07/20/2024)
- FY24 Renewal Project Data Calculation Explanations for Objective Scoring Criteria
- FY24 New Application Training (08/16/24)
- FY24 New Application Training (08/20/24)
- FY24 New Application Training Slides
- FY24 New Project Online Application Link
- FY24 New Project Application (pdf) (for reference only)
- FY24 New Project Scoring Tool
- FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Online Application Link
- FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Application (pdf) (for reference only)
- FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Scoring Tool
- FY24 New Project Budget Template (must be

Email notification to CoC of public posting of priority  
listing showing all accepted/reduced/rejected  
projects

---

## Atlanta CoC Priority List for the FY2024 HUD NOFO

---

From Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Date Wed 10/9/2024 3:21 PM

To Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Bcc questjhunter@gmail.com <questjhunter@gmail.com>; brian.dinapoli@dca.ga.gov <brian.dinapoli@dca.ga.gov>; Didcomm@atlantadowntown.com <Didcomm@atlantadowntown.com>; rancifermarshall@gmail.com <rancifermarshall@gmail.com>; mhcravey@yahoo.com <mhcravey@yahoo.com>; thrive@hopethrusoap.org <thrive@hopethrusoap.org>; eparks@hopeatlanta.org <eparks@hopeatlanta.org>; gananaba@yahoo.com <gananaba@yahoo.com>; ptservices49@gmail.com <ptservices49@gmail.com>; jovita.fenwick@fultoncountyga.gov <jovita.fenwick@fultoncountyga.gov>; tjjunior7@gmail.com <tjjunior7@gmail.com>; ahuff@pccihome.org <ahuff@pccihome.org>; lj@togethershelterlink.org <lj@togethershelterlink.org>; aprilwoods@caringsworksinc.org <aprilwoods@caringsworksinc.org>; jgrayus2@gmail.com <jgrayus2@gmail.com>; mscott\_d@hotmail.com <mscott\_d@hotmail.com>; ctwells@atlantalegalaid.org <ctwells@atlantalegalaid.org>; cheryln.henry357@gmail.com <cheryln.henry357@gmail.com>; sculver@ulgatl.org <sculver@ulgatl.org>; Legendarydreamsatlanta@gmail.com <Legendarydreamsatlanta@gmail.com>; wilmas@atlantadowntown.com <wilmas@atlantadowntown.com>; bhcamp@dekalbcountyga.gov <bhcamp@dekalbcountyga.gov>; clopez@lutherantowers.org <clopez@lutherantowers.org>; Laura.beggs@dhs.ga.gov <Laura.beggs@dhs.ga.gov>; turnerwd57@gmail.com <turnerwd57@gmail.com>; bwell.mojemuyiwa@gmail.com <bwell.mojemuyiwa@gmail.com>; shayhill197@gmail.com <shayhill197@gmail.com>; Andrew@safehouseoutreach.org <Andrew@safehouseoutreach.org>; Office@MobileShowersAtlanta.org <Office@MobileShowersAtlanta.org>; sonjakilgore365@gmail.com <sonjakilgore365@gmail.com>; dlester-drew@zabanparadiescenter.org <dlester-drew@zabanparadiescenter.org>; angela.smith@amerigroup.com <angela.smith@amerigroup.com>; rarnold@ihrcorp.com <rarnold@ihrcorp.com>; cherie@opendoorsatl.org <cherie@opendoorsatl.org>; tboose@gatewayctr.org <tboose@gatewayctr.org>; scraiggoodell@cathedralATL.org <scraiggoodell@cathedralATL.org>; insightrecovery7@gmail.com <insightrecovery7@gmail.com>; asanty@tiamatl.com <asanty@tiamatl.com>; jcorrigana@gsu.edu <jcorrigana@gsu.edu>; mollywjackson@gmail.com <mollywjackson@gmail.com>; rameylabrescia@yahoo.com <rameylabrescia@yahoo.com>; ashaiaasw@outlook.com <ashaiaasw@outlook.com>; pswann@thenewtenthfoundation.org <pswann@thenewtenthfoundation.org>; vhall@covenanthouse.org <vhall@covenanthouse.org>; concerneddadsinc@gmail.com <concerneddadsinc@gmail.com>; davidgillespie404@gmail.com <davidgillespie404@gmail.com>; pmartinez@catholiccharitiesatlanta.org <pmartinez@catholiccharitiesatlanta.org>; megan@hopethrusoap.org <megan@hopethrusoap.org>; stevensyers@gmail.com <stevensyers@gmail.com>; dterry@gatewayctr.org <dterry@gatewayctr.org>; richard.jones@atl.frb.org <richard.jones@atl.frb.org>; awilson@communityrestorationproject.org <awilson@communityrestorationproject.org>; heather.staniszewski@fultoncountyga.gov <heather.staniszewski@fultoncountyga.gov>; tspeed@dpchurch.org <tspeed@dpchurch.org>; JBuehner@pccihome.org <JBuehner@pccihome.org>; libby.tyre@dca.ga.gov <libby.tyre@dca.ga.gov>; prinsess.futrell@intowncm.org <prinsess.futrell@intowncm.org>; pat.sheppard@padv.org <pat.sheppard@padv.org>; scradersaaliyah@gmail.com <scradersaaliyah@gmail.com>; cedric.bryant@dbhdd.ga.gov <cedric.bryant@dbhdd.ga.gov>; jenniferhardaway@serendipityrecovery.org <jenniferhardaway@serendipityrecovery.org>; info@tlcyoga.org <info@tlcyoga.org>; kparker@centraloac.org <kparker@centraloac.org>; info@helpinnfolk.com <info@helpinnfolk.com>; brad@intowncm.org <brad@intowncm.org>; Info@blessingsworkingtogether.org <Info@blessingsworkingtogether.org>; afields@hopeatlanta.org <afields@hopeatlanta.org>; TAERENQUIGLEY1985@GMAIL.COM <TAERENQUIGLEY1985@GMAIL.COM>; emily.prentice@uga.edu <emily.prentice@uga.edu>; richard\_deshields@yahoo.com <richard\_deshields@yahoo.com>; jeperry323@yahoo.com <jeperry323@yahoo.com>; sgordon@river-edge.org <sgordon@river-edge.org>; jayneseckinger@hotmail.com <jayneseckinger@hotmail.com>; blanchdeedee@yahoo.com



<blanchdeedee@yahoo.com>; michelleballen1992@yahoo.com <michelleballen1992@yahoo.com>;  
csmith@nicholashouse.org <csmith@nicholashouse.org>; charles@herestolifeatl.org  
<charles@herestolifeatl.org>; neal.leadership@gmail.com <neal.leadership@gmail.com>;  
banderson@ptsga.org <banderson@ptsga.org>; Rnicha@vermontlaw.edu <Rnicha@vermontlaw.edu>;  
jcarrillo@hopeatlanta.org <jcarrillo@hopeatlanta.org>; slingenfelter@crossroadsatlanta.org  
<slingenfelter@crossroadsatlanta.org>; HOPKINSRAMONA265@YAHOO.COM  
<HOPKINSRAMONA265@YAHOO.COM>; plouis@atlantaga.gov <plouis@atlantaga.gov>;  
jumurray@AtlantaGa.Gov <jumurray@AtlantaGa.Gov>; slyoung@covenanthouse.org  
<slyoung@covenanthouse.org>; alawell@mercyatlanta.org <alawell@mercyatlanta.org>;  
letitia.robinson@dbhdd.ga.gov <letitia.robinson@dbhdd.ga.gov>; tracymarks777@gmail.com  
<tracymarks777@gmail.com>; haniyfamuhammad@gmail.com <haniyfamuhammad@gmail.com>;  
swarren@atlantaregional.org <swarren@atlantaregional.org>; Kbell@stepuponsecond.org  
<Kbell@stepuponsecond.org>; ralexander@atlantaga.gov <ralexander@atlantaga.gov>;  
cwelch@horizonzproperty.com <cwelch@horizonzproperty.com>; desirethypotion@gmail.com  
<desirethypotion@gmail.com>; bobbi.curry@pihcga.org <bobbi.curry@pihcga.org>;  
frommeuntilunity@gmail.com <frommeuntilunity@gmail.com>; Robert.Kelly@fultoncountyga.gov  
<Robert.Kelly@fultoncountyga.gov>; judith.jules@yahoo.com <judith.jules@yahoo.com>;  
Janeane.Schmidt@uss.salvationarmy.org <Janeane.Schmidt@uss.salvationarmy.org>;  
tclark@covenantatlanta.org <tclark@covenantatlanta.org>; edwardswjanet@gmail.com  
<edwardswjanet@gmail.com>; ehoward@communityrestorationproject.org  
<ehoward@communityrestorationproject.org>; darryll@ujimaway.org <darryll@ujimaway.org>;  
ruby.pryor@wellstar.org <ruby.pryor@wellstar.org>; KWalker@catholiccharitiesatlanta.org  
<KWalker@catholiccharitiesatlanta.org>; cgillyard@centraloac.org <cgillyard@centraloac.org>;  
Norman@Serenityhouseatl.org <Norman@Serenityhouseatl.org>; dave.totten@dca.ga.gov  
<dave.totten@dca.ga.gov>; apeten@mercyatlanta.org <apeten@mercyatlanta.org>;  
lolitaanderson1938@gmail.com <lolitaanderson1938@gmail.com>; jevans@pccihome.org  
<jevans@pccihome.org>; tanya.frazee@intowncares.org <tanya.frazee@intowncares.org>;  
erika.floyd@emoryhealthcare.org <erika.floyd@emoryhealthcare.org>; Paul.Vranicar@atlantahousing.org  
<Paul.Vranicar@atlantahousing.org>; ellengallow1234@gmail.com <ellengallow1234@gmail.com>;  
themsfightingwords17@gmail.com <themsfightingwords17@gmail.com>; yolandaessenceofhope@gmail.com  
<yolandaessenceofhope@gmail.com>; joseph@hopethrusoap.org <joseph@hopethrusoap.org>;  
monica.johnson@dbhdd.ga.gov <monica.johnson@dbhdd.ga.gov>; abclay@AtlantaGa.Gov  
<abclay@AtlantaGa.Gov>; questssintern@questcommunities.org <questssintern@questcommunities.org>;  
peacekeephh@gmail.com <peacekeephh@gmail.com>; cmccministries@gmail.com  
<cmccministries@gmail.com>; dlrussell728@gmail.com <dlrussell728@gmail.com>;  
rtaylor@wellspringliving.org <rtaylor@wellspringliving.org>; houseofdawn1@bellsouth.net  
<houseofdawn1@bellsouth.net>; admin@changingfacesus.org <admin@changingfacesus.org>;  
cole@pawsbetweenhomes.org <cole@pawsbetweenhomes.org>; abailie@atlantaregional.org  
<abailie@atlantaregional.org>; jfernandez@mercyatlanta.org <jfernandez@mercyatlanta.org>;  
Phyllis.Mainer@piedmont.org <Phyllis.Mainer@piedmont.org>; nharris@atlantalegalaid.org  
<nharris@atlantalegalaid.org>; agarner@covenanthouse.org <agarner@covenanthouse.org>;  
dvance@wabe.org <dvance@wabe.org>; ssmith@gatewayctr.org <ssmith@gatewayctr.org>;  
brian@firststepstaffing.com <brian@firststepstaffing.com>; shannon@ahimsahouse.org  
<shannon@ahimsahouse.org>; dazzmendavis@gmail.com <dazzmendavis@gmail.com>;  
yolanda@nicolshouseofhope.org <yolanda@nicolshouseofhope.org>; emilyraider18@gmail.com  
<emilyraider18@gmail.com>; leadoff365@gmail.com <leadoff365@gmail.com>; iharris@covenanthouse.org  
<iharris@covenanthouse.org>; djatou.coulibaly@dph.ga.gov <djatou.coulibaly@dph.ga.gov>;  
sharolyngriffin@caringsworksinc.org <sharolyngriffin@caringsworksinc.org>;  
tiffeny.calhoun.barnes@piedmont.org <tiffeny.calhoun.barnes@piedmont.org>; jdavis@covenantatlanta.org  
<jdavis@covenantatlanta.org>; moki@atlantapad.org <moki@atlantapad.org>; clydewilson1@hotmail.com  
<clydewilson1@hotmail.com>; dellis@hopeatlanta.org <dellis@hopeatlanta.org>; kimkay@angelsrescue.org  
<kimkay@angelsrescue.org>; keepshowinglove@gmail.com <keepshowinglove@gmail.com>;  
executivedirector@cliftonsanctuary.com <executivedirector@cliftonsanctuary.com>; csuggs@hopeatlanta.org  
<csuggs@hopeatlanta.org>; smithwj725@gmail.com <smithwj725@gmail.com>; mharkins@the3keys.org  
<mharkins@the3keys.org>; dominique30off44@gmail.com <dominique30off44@gmail.com>;  
twatkins@nicholashouse.org <twatkins@nicholashouse.org>; admin@churchbeyondwalls.com  
<admin@churchbeyondwalls.com>; kenyaandrew6313@gmail.com <kenyaandrew6313@gmail.com>;

sholiday@acsatl.org <sholiday@acsatl.org>; rbaker@enterprisecommunity.org  
<rbaker@enterprisecommunity.org>; Sequoia.Kirby@fultoncountyga.gov  
<Sequoia.Kirby@fultoncountyga.gov>; Mwilson@transhousingcoalition.org  
<Mwilson@transhousingcoalition.org>; tim@productivityconsultant.org <tim@productivityconsultant.org>;  
henrietta@first-step.us <henrietta@first-step.us>; officemanager@ahshome.org  
<officemanager@ahshome.org>; phunter@communityrestorationproject.org  
<phunter@communityrestorationproject.org>; heatherfranklin@bcmgeorgia.org  
<heatherfranklin@bcmgeorgia.org>; gloriadeonard71@gmail.com <gloriadeonard71@gmail.com>;  
rsimpkins@paragoncommunityliving.com <rsimpkins@paragoncommunityliving.com>;  
mike.rowicki@fultoncountyga.gov <mike.rowicki@fultoncountyga.gov>; clients@madhousers.org  
<clients@madhousers.org>; mscabiness@atlantaga.gov <mscabiness@atlantaga.gov>; mojanganz@ahrc-atl.org  
<mojanganz@ahrc-atl.org>; carlasmithjla@gmail.com <carlasmithjla@gmail.com>; wyackle@lvmgt.com  
<wyackle@lvmgt.com>; terryisaac@djj.state.ga.us <terryisaac@djj.state.ga.us>; akilah@serenityhouseatl.org  
<akilah@serenityhouseatl.org>; theclayhouse123@gmail.com <theclayhouse123@gmail.com>;  
blessingbagsowarmth@gmail.com <blessingbagsowarmth@gmail.com>; fireflyrd@yahoo.com  
<fireflyrd@yahoo.com>; ghostlightmater@yahoo.com <ghostlightmater@yahoo.com>;  
jenna.blankers@atlantamission.org <jenna.blankers@atlantamission.org>; kbraswell@fathersincorporated.com  
<kbraswell@fathersincorporated.com>; lisa@bookkeepingconcepts.com <lisa@bookkeepingconcepts.com>;  
ffitch30@gmail.com <ffitch30@gmail.com>; sakina.huffman@familiesfirst.org  
<sakina.huffman@familiesfirst.org>; tosha.ford@cefga.org <tosha.ford@cefga.org>; matt@opendoorsatl.org  
<matt@opendoorsatl.org>; meemaw1966@hotmail.com <meemaw1966@hotmail.com>;  
kellie.a.bryson@gmail.com <kellie.a.bryson@gmail.com>; jane.lee@uss.salvationarmy.org  
<jane.lee@uss.salvationarmy.org>; ashleestarr@caringsworksinc.org <ashleestarr@caringsworksinc.org>;  
cvarner@covenantatlanta.org <cvarner@covenantatlanta.org>; stanleysullivan85@yahoo.com  
<stanleysullivan85@yahoo.com>; spierce@yess4youth.org <spierce@yess4youth.org>;  
pparish@connections homes.org <pparish@connections homes.org>; rclack@pennrose.com  
<rclack@pennrose.com>; deonkerr@caringsworksinc.org <deonkerr@caringsworksinc.org>;  
atlmission@gmail.com <atlmission@gmail.com>; aleppertgomes@usf.edu <aleppertgomes@usf.edu>; david-  
leemattison@emmaushouse.org <david-leemattison@emmaushouse.org>; sgconsult09@yahoo.com  
<sgconsult09@yahoo.com>; jeanette.pollock@dca.ga.gov <jeanette.pollock@dca.ga.gov>;  
calabriakaren@gmail.com <calabriakaren@gmail.com>; hello@minicityatl.com <hello@minicityatl.com>;  
JelaniHousingShelter@gmail.com <JelaniHousingShelter@gmail.com>;  
theuprisingoffuturedynastys@gmail.com <theuprisingoffuturedynastys@gmail.com>;  
rhonda@rtaylorconsultingllc.com <rhonda@rtaylorconsultingllc.com>; wbryant@mercyatlanta.org  
<wbryant@mercyatlanta.org>; kljones@outlook.com <kljones@outlook.com>;  
ingrid@nehemiahprojectcdc.org <ingrid@nehemiahprojectcdc.org>; thefenixfoundation@gmail.com  
<thefenixfoundation@gmail.com>; hcasey@mustministries.org <hcasey@mustministries.org>;  
rachel@thelohm.org <rachel@thelohm.org>; ccoates@favorfamilies.hush.com  
<ccoates@favorfamilies.hush.com>; aj@atlantadowntown.com <aj@atlantadowntown.com>;  
ERIKKWILDER@GMAIL.COM <ERIKKWILDER@GMAIL.COM>; safety@l5pa.com <safety@l5pa.com>;  
LEElder@atlantaga.gov <LEElder@atlantaga.gov>; ifyagbasi@children1stcds.org  
<ifyagbasi@children1stcds.org>; tandrews@mercyatlanta.org <tandrews@mercyatlanta.org>;  
jrah278@gmail.com <jrah278@gmail.com>; dturner@hopeatlanta.org <dturner@hopeatlanta.org>;  
latajiaar@gmail.com <latajiaar@gmail.com>; jeremysims@mail.com <jeremysims@mail.com>;  
aberman@gsu.edu <aberman@gsu.edu>; donald.wilkerson@exodushouseno.com  
<donald.wilkerson@exodushouseno.com>; charris@greaterpineygrove.org <charris@greaterpineygrove.org>;  
ctarrance@stepuponsecond.org <ctarrance@stepuponsecond.org>; briscoebritt@gmail.com  
<briscoebritt@gmail.com>; branden.lewis@mecklenburgcountync.gov  
<branden.lewis@mecklenburgcountync.gov>; crand@agratefulmind.org <crand@agratefulmind.org>;  
amy@springboardstrategy.net <amy@springboardstrategy.net>; kellieb@firststepstaffing.com  
<kellieb@firststepstaffing.com>; wssutton47@gmail.com <wssutton47@gmail.com>; gdubose@ulgatl.org  
<gdubose@ulgatl.org>; terri@opendoorsatl.org <terri@opendoorsatl.org>; leslie.marshburn@gmail.com  
<leslie.marshburn@gmail.com>; lorrie@wellspringresource.com <lorrie@wellspringresource.com>;  
heather.clarson@atlantamission.org <heather.clarson@atlantamission.org>;  
Jennifer.Hutchinson@atlantamission.org <Jennifer.Hutchinson@atlantamission.org>;  
diana.mason@chris180.org <diana.mason@chris180.org>; spitre@atlantaga.gov <spitre@atlantaga.gov>;  
prsaawyer@atlantaga.gov <prsaawyer@atlantaga.gov>; Andrea.Giese-Sweat@va.gov <Andrea.Giese-

Sweat@va.gov>; lavernkevon@yahoo.com <lavernkevon@yahoo.com>; Linda Pitts  
<lpitts@partnersforhome.org>; veronyka@safehouseoutreach.org <veronyka@safehouseoutreach.org>;  
kvarjas@gsu.edu <kvarjas@gsu.edu>; lamarmack3@gmail.com <lamarmack3@gmail.com>;  
nrhodes@mariettashouse.org <nrhodes@mariettashouse.org>; lholloman@avlf.org <lholloman@avlf.org>;  
snelson-theus@pccihome.org <snelson-theus@pccihome.org>; april.edwards@va.gov  
<april.edwards@va.gov>; tonimichelle@snap4freedom.org <tonimichelle@snap4freedom.org>;  
omaxwell@ontherisefc.org <omaxwell@ontherisefc.org>; clientservices@furniturebankatlanta.org  
<clientservices@furniturebankatlanta.org>; jurban@pccihome.org <jurban@pccihome.org>;  
dominicjohnson@caringworksinc.org <dominicjohnson@caringworksinc.org>; victory.sayegh@vphealth.org  
<victory.sayegh@vphealth.org>; Navvab.McDaniels@padv.org <Navvab.McDaniels@padv.org>;  
jgreathouse@dch.ga.gov <jgreathouse@dch.ga.gov>; dawonshemeka@gmail.com  
<dawonshemeka@gmail.com>; vgibson@strive.org <vgibson@strive.org>;  
rachel.reynolds@atlantamission.org <rachel.reynolds@atlantamission.org>; reneelabrams@gmail.com  
<reneelabrams@gmail.com>; tameka@westsidefuturefund.org <tameka@westsidefuturefund.org>;  
Michelle@michellelittles.com <Michelle@michellelittles.com>; jenise.jefferson@atlantahousing.org  
<jenise.jefferson@atlantahousing.org>; cralston@thenestnursery.org <cralston@thenestnursery.org>;  
transhousingatlanta@gmail.com <transhousingatlanta@gmail.com>; cmhansen@gmh.edu  
<cmhansen@gmh.edu>; titanic30087@yahoo.com <titanic30087@yahoo.com>;  
eachoneteachonetogogether@gmail.com <eachoneteachonetogogether@gmail.com>;  
Tiffany.GreenAbdullah@imancentral.org <Tiffany.GreenAbdullah@imancentral.org>; jenkinsd1114@gmail.com  
<jenkinsd1114@gmail.com>; bradczewonky@yahoo.com <bradczewonky@yahoo.com>; luke@original-  
media.net <luke@original-media.net>; april.cline@shelterstoshutters.org <april.cline@shelterstoshutters.org>;  
hnryokafor@yahoo.com <hnryokafor@yahoo.com>; rcranford@gatewayctr.org <rcranford@gatewayctr.org>;  
ccollins@solomonstempleinc.org <ccollins@solomonstempleinc.org>; decaturcapoeira@gmail.com  
<decaturcapoeira@gmail.com>; jthomas@nicholashouse.org <jthomas@nicholashouse.org>;  
cranfordbec@gmail.com <cranfordbec@gmail.com>; lee69whitfield@yahoo.com  
<lee69whitfield@yahoo.com>; Burger, Ryan <Ryan.Burger@icf.com>; Melodie.Garrett@dca.ga.gov  
<Melodie.Garrett@dca.ga.gov>; monicamatthews@protonmail.com <monicamatthews@protonmail.com>;  
ashlee@firststepstaffing.com <ashlee@firststepstaffing.com>; beyondworthyig@gmail.com  
<beyondworthyig@gmail.com>; Ptdva@hotmail.com <Ptdva@hotmail.com>; awatkins@strive.org  
<awatkins@strive.org>; dschultz@georgiaworks.net <dschultz@georgiaworks.net>; mschuelke@pccihome.org  
<mschuelke@pccihome.org>; n.muhammad@tm2kinc.org <n.muhammad@tm2kinc.org>;  
senge.ngalame@mercyatlanta.org <senge.ngalame@mercyatlanta.org>; Kimberly.Zukowski@wellstar.org  
<Kimberly.Zukowski@wellstar.org>; aabishop@AtlantaGa.Gov <aabishop@AtlantaGa.Gov>;  
amber.larae.lloyd@gmail.com <amber.larae.lloyd@gmail.com>; szimbardi@centraloac.org  
<szimbardi@centraloac.org>; fred.coleman@dbhdd.ga.gov <fred.coleman@dbhdd.ga.gov>;  
jdunaway@705marketing.com <jdunaway@705marketing.com>; mark@theholzbergs.com  
<mark@theholzbergs.com>; lakisha@peopleschoicepayee.com <lakisha@peopleschoicepayee.com>;  
NVStallings@atlantaga.gov <NVStallings@atlantaga.gov>; Santanna Johnson (Terry)  
<sjohnson@partnersforhome.org>; pboorn@bellsouth.net <pboorn@bellsouth.net>; bpriceceo@cbwnintl.org  
<bpriceceo@cbwnintl.org>; missysplaceinc@gmail.com <missysplaceinc@gmail.com>;  
adairdarlene4@gmail.com <adairdarlene4@gmail.com>; jchesnut@seyfarth.com <jchesnut@seyfarth.com>;  
neena.beausoleil@Infy.org <neena.beausoleil@Infy.org>; erica\_headlee@Homedepot.com  
<erica\_headlee@Homedepot.com>; jandladvisors@gmail.com <jandladvisors@gmail.com>;  
baswell7@gmail.com <baswell7@gmail.com>; Keren.cadet@theshf.org <Keren.cadet@theshf.org>;  
Patsmassage55@yahoo.com <Patsmassage55@yahoo.com>; demetria.reid@padv.org  
<demetria.reid@padv.org>; Godvisontv@gmail.com <Godvisontv@gmail.com>; crhartrampf@gmail.com  
<crhartrampf@gmail.com>; Phyllis.hughes@atlantamission.org <Phyllis.hughes@atlantamission.org>;  
eharris@yess4youth.org <eharris@yess4youth.org>; hmarcovitch@gmail.com <hmarcovitch@gmail.com>;  
meredith@persenche.com <meredith@persenche.com>; ndurham@gmail.com <ndurham@gmail.com>;  
eomilami@hoseafeedthehungry.com <eomilami@hoseafeedthehungry.com>;  
rozell@anotherchanceofatlanta.org <rozell@anotherchanceofatlanta.org>; agd@almadvf.org  
<agd@almadvf.org>; darrylrsuttles@yahoo.com <darrylrsuttles@yahoo.com>;  
marycatherinepelham@caringworksinc.org <marycatherinepelham@caringworksinc.org>;  
asmith@communityfriendship.org <asmith@communityfriendship.org>; sam.mcelhaney@southstatebank.com  
<sam.mcelhaney@southstatebank.com>; rstanley@purposepossible.com <rstanley@purposepossible.com>;  
will@thegivingkitchen.org <will@thegivingkitchen.org>; jonathanpandry@gmail.com

<jonathanpandry@gmail.com>; shalika@theprodigalsoupsoupkitchen.org  
 <shalika@theprodigalsoupsoupkitchen.org>; dayna@opendoorsatl.org <dayna@opendoorsatl.org>;  
 jenifer.turner-reid@va.gov <jenifer.turner-reid@va.gov>; kim.mccarl@mercyatlanta.org  
 <kim.mccarl@mercyatlanta.org>; joa@fundingforsocialchange.com <joa@fundingforsocialchange.com>;  
 nhemphill@blackwomenschamber.org <nhemphill@blackwomenschamber.org>; chuckswaye@gmail.com  
 <chuckswaye@gmail.com>; malika@cityofrefugeatl.org <malika@cityofrefugeatl.org>;  
 joanna.bonsall@gmail.com <joanna.bonsall@gmail.com>; Chanda\_maddox@yahoo.com  
 <Chanda\_maddox@yahoo.com>; michael.sheppard@atlantamission.org  
 <michael.sheppard@atlantamission.org>; arleshia@poverty2prosperityga.com  
 <arleshia@poverty2prosperityga.com>; cleopatrajones789@yahoo.com <cleopatrajones789@yahoo.com>;  
 ssradvisors@gmail.com <ssradvisors@gmail.com>; grclay@atlantaGA.gov <grclay@atlantaGA.gov>;  
 cindy@agratefulmind.org <cindy@agratefulmind.org>; klaster@AtlantaGa.Gov <klaster@AtlantaGa.Gov>;  
 ahawkins@hopeatlanta.org <ahawkins@hopeatlanta.org>; jreed@gormanusa.com <jreed@gormanusa.com>;  
 Mary Catherine Pelham <mcpelham@partnersforhome.org>; awaddell@communityhousingcapital.org  
 <awaddell@communityhousingcapital.org>; millicent.knopp@acf.hhs.gov <millicent.knopp@acf.hhs.gov>;  
 intern@intowncm.org <intern@intowncm.org>; chalene@ymail.com <chalene@ymail.com>; kelsi@hocatl.org  
 <kelsi@hocatl.org>; renocity600cnm@gmail.com <renocity600cnm@gmail.com>;  
 lmcaddin@mendculture.org <lmcaddin@mendculture.org>; margaretschuelke@prihousing.org  
 <margaretschuelke@prihousing.org>; pbiswas@unitedwayatlanta.org <pbiswas@unitedwayatlanta.org>;  
 nikki@ccsatlanta.org <nikki@ccsatlanta.org>; projectufirst@gmail.com <projectufirst@gmail.com>;  
 jbeaty@thebrandbank.com <jbeaty@thebrandbank.com>; kayti@fundingforsocialchange.com  
 <kayti@fundingforsocialchange.com>; Vickigarver@gmail.com <Vickigarver@gmail.com>;  
 spakenham@covenanthouse.org <spakenham@covenanthouse.org>; asmith@hoseafeedthehungry.com  
 <asmith@hoseafeedthehungry.com>; james.broome@va.gov <james.broome@va.gov>;  
 Tracy.Jones@atlantahousing.org <Tracy.Jones@atlantahousing.org>; grangentj@gmail.com  
 <grangentj@gmail.com>; lucy\_hall@bellsouth.net <lucy\_hall@bellsouth.net>;  
 jtoole@communityfriendship.org <jtoole@communityfriendship.org>; franpatrick@caringworksinc.org  
 <franpatrick@caringworksinc.org>; tony@crossroadsatlanta.org <tony@crossroadsatlanta.org>;  
 craw6978@comcast.net <craw6978@comcast.net>; mlockhart@fathersincorporated.com  
 <mlockhart@fathersincorporated.com>; Anita.harris@fultoncountyga.gov <Anita.harris@fultoncountyga.gov>;  
 mowen69301@gmail.com <mowen69301@gmail.com>; kristin.schillig@fultoncountyga.gov  
 <kristin.schillig@fultoncountyga.gov>; refreshinglife247@gmail.com <refreshinglife247@gmail.com>;  
 mburton@the3keys.org <mburton@the3keys.org>; bjohnson@rscrainbow.org <bjohnson@rscrainbow.org>;  
 edejene@unitedwayatlanta.org <edejene@unitedwayatlanta.org>; drdebralclark@gmail.com  
 <drdebralclark@gmail.com>; shanaebowman74@gmail.com <shanaebowman74@gmail.com>;  
 servicesbytat@gmail.com <servicesbytat@gmail.com>; eloisewilliams@bcmatlanta.org  
 <eloisewilliams@bcmatlanta.org>; TPassuth@firstpresatl.org <TPassuth@firstpresatl.org>;  
 ectestimony1@gmail.com <ectestimony1@gmail.com>; kathleen@hopethrusoap.org  
 <kathleen@hopethrusoap.org>; shealane945@gmail.com <shealane945@gmail.com>;  
 kayhunterlcs@gmail.com <kayhunterlcs@gmail.com>

Good afternoon, CoC grantees, partners, stakeholders, and community members –

Yesterday, the Atlanta CoC Governing Council met and approved the Atlanta CoC 2024 Project Priority List that will be submitted to HUD later this month as a part of the 2024 NOFO Application.

[Click here to see the full list of projects that will be included as part of the Atlanta application.](#)

A quick summary of the projects:

- 33 projects will be included as part of the application
  - 26 projects that are renewing from previous years, including
    - 2 Coordinated Entry projects
    - 1 HMIS project
    - 23 PSH or RRH projects
  - 2 new projects

- 1 PSH project
- 1 RRH project
- 4 Youth Homeless Demonstration Projects (YHDP)
- 1 Planning project
- The 26 renewal projects and the 2 new projects were reviewed, scored, and ranked by the Rank and Review Committee and the linked chart shows their ranking and score (by percent) received.
- The linked chart also shows which projects were ranked with Tier 1, Tier 2, or Bonus funding.

All agencies that were a part of this process have already received notification of their ranking and funding level prior to this notice. In addition to that notice going to the applicants, and this email to our partners, this information is also posted on the HUD CoC Competition webpage of the Partners for HOME website, and will be posted on various social media platforms later today, to inform the general public.

Thank you to the agencies who worked hard throughout this process, as well as throughout the year addressing homelessness. Also, thank you to the Rank and Review Committee and the Governing Council for their work in this process.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)  
 NOFO Consultant  
[partnersforhome.org](http://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR HOME

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

---

## Atlanta CoC Priority List for the FY2024 HUD NOFO

---

From Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Date Wed 10/9/2024 3:23 PM

To Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Bcc satoniahendrix1@gmail.com <satoniahendrix1@gmail.com>; brokeia.richardson@vphealth.org <brokeia.richardson@vphealth.org>; nhoward@crossroadsatlanta.org <nhoward@crossroadsatlanta.org>; nexthomeministries@gmail.com <nexthomeministries@gmail.com>; johnc@newlife-ss.org <johnc@newlife-ss.org>; uniquefamillies@gmail.com <uniquefamillies@gmail.com>; Demetrius.Myatt@fultoncountyga.gov <Demetrius.Myatt@fultoncountyga.gov>; bolsterp@bellsouth.net <bolsterp@bellsouth.net>; jvastola@lvmgt.com <jvastola@lvmgt.com>; ssmith@the3keys.org <:ssmith@the3keys.org>; info@champsunitedhousing.com <info@champsunitedhousing.com>; tking1@gmh.edu <tking1@gmh.edu>; myowncrownresume@gmail.com <myowncrownresume@gmail.com>; tinishad4@gmail.com <tinishad4@gmail.com>; mattossusan@gmail.com <mattossusan@gmail.com>; acgensler@gmail.com <acgensler@gmail.com>; francis.sandra@gmail.com <francis.sandra@gmail.com>; cfisher@rebeccastent.org <cfisher@rebeccastent.org>; helentrouth@djj.state.ga.us <helentrouth@djj.state.ga.us>; dburnham@unitedwayatlanta.org <dburnham@unitedwayatlanta.org>; lauren.sandwich@emoryhealthcare.org <lauren.sandwich@emoryhealthcare.org>; cloverc@ahrc-atl.org <cloverc@ahrc-atl.org>; sue.smith@gpsn.org <sue.smith@gpsn.org>; socialwork@mlksrcollaborative.org <socialwork@mlksrcollaborative.org>; lhmarshburn@gmh.edu <lhmarshburn@gmh.edu>; kim@kimabrown.com <kim@kimabrown.com>; Tarcarnesia.A.Blackshear@hud.gov <Tarcarnesia.A.Blackshear@hud.gov>; ERLodging7@hopeatlanta.org <ERLodging7@hopeatlanta.org>; lianne@lmedesign.com <lianne@lmedesign.com>; Katha Blackwell <Katha.Blackwell@padv.org>; asantiago@destinationtomorrow.org <asantiago@destinationtomorrow.org>; latarshaholden@yahoo.com <latarshaholden@yahoo.com>; drice@cityofrefugeatl.org <drice@cityofrefugeatl.org>; bwalters@communityfriendship.org <bwalters@communityfriendship.org>; mia@opendoorsatl.org <mia@opendoorsatl.org>; marybethgore@bcmatlanta.org <marybethgore@bcmatlanta.org>; jamildiamond2006@gmail.com <jamildiamond2006@gmail.com>; drgas.tnshf@gmail.com <drgas.tnshf@gmail.com>; soulsuppliesatlanta@gmail.com <soulsuppliesatlanta@gmail.com>; csmangelsrefuge.cej@gmail.com <csmangelsrefuge.cej@gmail.com>; qwalker01@gmail.com <qwalker01@gmail.com>; LaShawna.Scott@wellstar.org <LaShawna.Scott@wellstar.org>; pszarowicz@atlantaregional.org <pszarowicz@atlantaregional.org>; lawrenceelder@caringsworksinc.org <lawrenceelder@caringsworksinc.org>; Nancy Zimmermann <nzimmermann@pccihome.org>; traveieslie2@gmail.com <traveieslie2@gmail.com>; S072399@GMAIL.COM <S072399@GMAIL.COM>; Karimah@raksha.org <Karimah@raksha.org>; afpybus@gmail.com <afpybus@gmail.com>; kyra.hattix@outlook.com <kyra.hattix@outlook.com>; yvonne@safehouseoutreach.org <yvonne@safehouseoutreach.org>; joy@servingcommunities.net <joy@servingcommunities.net>; ethompson@communityfriendship.org <ethompson@communityfriendship.org>; sball@enterprisecommunity.org <sball@enterprisecommunity.org>; amelia@firststepstaffing.com <amelia@firststepstaffing.com>; Cathryn Marchman <cmarchman@partnersforhome.org>; josh@safehouseoutreach.org <josh@safehouseoutreach.org>; saburtonwebb@atlantaga.gov <saburtonwebb@atlantaga.gov>; Jroberts@rra-midtown.org <Jroberts@rra-midtown.org>; cpellino@atlantaregional.org <cpellino@atlantaregional.org>; janice@jerusalemhouse.org <janice@jerusalemhouse.org>; halle@hopethrusoap.org <halle@hopethrusoap.org>; vnkulon2015@gmail.com <vnkulon2015@gmail.com>; thecrewshousehomelessshelter@gmail.com <thecrewshousehomelessshelter@gmail.com>; Dana.Johnson@atlantacss.org <Dana.Johnson@atlantacss.org>; mandy.eidson@self-help.org <mandy.eidson@self-help.org>; Jasmine Rockwell Heard <jrockwellheard@partnersforhome.org>; Alex Durkee <alex.durkee@icalliances.org>; ajmallon@uga.edu <ajmallon@uga.edu>; ssmith@community.solutions <:ssmith@community.solutions>; a.mcdaniel@theansleyschool.org <a.mcdaniel@theansleyschool.org>; Mychal.Cooper@va.gov <Mychal.Cooper@va.gov>; tanya.watkins@backonmyfeet.org <tanya.watkins@backonmyfeet.org>; stephanieargo@djj.state.ga.us <stephanieargo@djj.state.ga.us>; mellin@zabanparadiescenter.org

<mellin@zabanparadiescenter.org>; mgraves@wellspringliving.org <mgraves@wellspringliving.org>;  
jeff@frontlineresponse.org <jeff@frontlineresponse.org>; gregcole@bcmgeorgia.org  
<gregcole@bcmgeorgia.org>; contact@youthhousingcoalition.org <contact@youthhousingcoalition.org>;  
gshassociation@gmail.com <gshassociation@gmail.com>; mac@midtownassistancectr.org  
<mac@midtownassistancectr.org>; myahsrc@gmail.com <myahsrc@gmail.com>;  
info.dgw.consulting@gmail.com <info.dgw.consulting@gmail.com>; katina.fuller@wellstar.org  
<katina.fuller@wellstar.org>; mgraves@stepup.org <mgraves@stepup.org>; brittany@pointsourceyouth.org  
<brittany@pointsourceyouth.org>; caidenmlane23@gmail.com <caidenmlane23@gmail.com>;  
activistleeghee1982@gmail.com <activistleeghee1982@gmail.com>; shanicespeaks@gmail.com  
<shanicespeaks@gmail.com>; ddantzler@covenantatlanta.org <ddantzler@covenantatlanta.org>;  
andy@remerge.org <andy@remerge.org>; joycemcclendon@bellsouth.net <joycemcclendon@bellsouth.net>;  
mpollack@pollackpartners.com <mpollack@pollackpartners.com>; kbaker@solomonstempleinc.org  
<kbaker@solomonstempleinc.org>; kcramer@techbridge.org <kcramer@techbridge.org>;  
emainor@hopeatlanta.org <emainor@hopeatlanta.org>; peteinck@aol.com <peteinck@aol.com>;  
CZappa@stphilipscathedral.org <CZappa@stphilipscathedral.org>; ecgfrank01@gmail.com  
<ecgfrank01@gmail.com>; elizabeth@cityofrefugeatl.org <elizabeth@cityofrefugeatl.org>;  
Vallen@hopeatlanta.org <Vallen@hopeatlanta.org>; tarhesha.cooper@yahoo.com  
<tarhesha.cooper@yahoo.com>; daphneybarnett@djj.state.ga.us <daphneybarnett@djj.state.ga.us>;  
mel.sainvil@t2t.org <mel.sainvil@t2t.org>; maria.bolden@chris180.org <maria.bolden@chris180.org>;  
Dr.Alicia.Telfaire@gmail.com <Dr.Alicia.Telfaire@gmail.com>; mmontgomery@chambleega.gov  
<mmontgomery@chambleega.gov>; proactivecollaborativeliving@gmail.com  
<proactivecollaborativeliving@gmail.com>; info@ritas-helping-hand.org <info@ritas-helping-hand.org>;  
tracy@elizabethfoundation.org <tracy@elizabethfoundation.org>; marygrace@frontlinehousing.org  
<marygrace@frontlinehousing.org>; stephanie.linnane@intowncares.org  
<stephanie.linnane@intowncares.org>; mary@mnewtoncommunications.com  
<mary@mnewtoncommunications.com>; VICKIE.MOORE-CLARK@MERCYATLANTA.ORG <VICKIE.MOORE-  
CLARK@MERCYATLANTA.ORG>; mwolf@atlantahumane.org <mwolf@atlantahumane.org>;  
adrienne@poweratl.org <adrienne@poweratl.org>; Erin Wilson <ewilson@partnersforhome.org>;  
mihead@atlantaga.gov <mihead@atlantaga.gov>; grangentj@sbcglobal.net <grangentj@sbcglobal.net>;  
dhughes@the3keys.org <dhughes@the3keys.org>; one@ahimsahouse.org <one@ahimsahouse.org>;  
Christina Lazare <christina@snap4freedom.org>; jhewitt@gmh.edu <jhewitt@gmh.edu>; Keith Dutton  
<kdutton@the3keys.org>; quinterrawright@caringsworksinc.org <quinterrawright@caringsworksinc.org>;  
Aka325@yahoo.com <Aka325@yahoo.com>; dedriamedlock@aol.com <dedriamedlock@aol.com>;  
connectinggenerationsinc@gmail.com <connectinggenerationsinc@gmail.com>; rr5794391@gmail.com  
<rr5794391@gmail.com>; marycarolsfoundation@gmail.com <marycarolsfoundation@gmail.com>;  
agodfrey555@gmail.com <agodfrey555@gmail.com>; shightower@gacasa.org <shightower@gacasa.org>;  
devonezell@outlook.com <devonezell@outlook.com>; James.barrell@atlantamission.org  
<James.barrell@atlantamission.org>; veda.white@cefga.org <veda.white@cefga.org>; Raphael Holloway  
<rholloway@gatewayctr.org>; jparham@unitedwayatlanta.org <jparham@unitedwayatlanta.org>;  
tamasiajohnson@gmail.com <tamasiajohnson@gmail.com>; lisa1330@yahoo.com <lisa1330@yahoo.com>;  
dboozer@atlantaga.gov <dboozer@atlantaga.gov>; sherri.mccoy76@gmail.com  
<sherri.mccoy76@gmail.com>; erica.roberts@best-fit.app <erica.roberts@best-fit.app>; info@ablehsc.org  
<info@ablehsc.org>; jqarles@AtlantaGa.Gov <jqarles@AtlantaGa.Gov>;  
cascadeaveneighborhood@gmail.com <cascadeaveneighborhood@gmail.com>;  
allison.thomason@wellstar.org <allison.thomason@wellstar.org>; charlesshackleford.htl@gmail.com  
<charlesshackleford.htl@gmail.com>; karinlovell@mac.com <karinlovell@mac.com>; mwynn@atlantaga.gov  
<mwynn@atlantaga.gov>; new28nu@gmail.com <new28nu@gmail.com>; goodpowr@outlook.com  
<goodpowr@outlook.com>; nrender@ourhousega.org <nrender@ourhousega.org>; Hollo215land@aol.com  
<Hollo215land@aol.com>; egayle@pushministry.org <egayle@pushministry.org>;  
rsmith@atlantadowntown.com <rsmith@atlantadowntown.com>; jwallace@mercyatlanta.org  
<jwallace@mercyatlanta.org>; ShearzInstitute@gmail.com <ShearzInstitute@gmail.com>;  
michelle.angalet@weinspirit.org <michelle.angalet@weinspirit.org>; chair@npu-s.org <chair@npu-s.org>;  
pdenisea2@gmail.com <pdenisea2@gmail.com>; Amanda Van Dalen <avandalen@gatewayctr.org>;  
miaja1995@hotmail.com <miaja1995@hotmail.com>; afrika.cotton@fultoncountyga.gov  
<afrika.cotton@fultoncountyga.gov>; sisloveu@bellsouth.net <sisloveu@bellsouth.net>;  
register@sharonwinkler.org <register@sharonwinkler.org>; lsparkambassador@gmail.com  
<lsparkambassador@gmail.com>; azlr\_cares@azlrsorority.org <azlr\_cares@azlrsorority.org>;

rsheffy@atlantalegalaid.org <rsheffy@atlantalegalaid.org>; lholder@cathedralATL.org  
<lholder@cathedralATL.org>; rachel@opendoorsatl.org <rachel@opendoorsatl.org>; julianna@atlantapad.org  
<julianna@atlantapad.org>; Jori Mendel <jmendel@investwithroots.com>; Michael\_Rainey@shepherd.org  
<Michael\_Rainey@shepherd.org>; smayberry@GMH.EDU <smayberry@GMH.EDU>; mfallen-  
bobo@stepuponsecond.org <mfallen-bobo@stepuponsecond.org>; rware@gmh.edu <rware@gmh.edu>;  
ddrdash38@gmail.com <ddrdash38@gmail.com>; mlee@designstyles4livn.com  
<mlee@designstyles4livn.com>; itsjustus4us@gmail.com <itsjustus4us@gmail.com>; BKerr-  
Caesar@mercyatlanta.org <BKerr-Caesar@mercyatlanta.org>; info@factstothrive.org  
<info@factstothrive.org>; debbiedudek@gmail.com <debbiedudek@gmail.com>; pozvets@yahoo.com  
<pozvets@yahoo.com>; jill.mays@dbhdd.ga.gov <jill.mays@dbhdd.ga.gov>; redeematlanta@gmail.com  
<redeematlanta@gmail.com>; Darlene@anotherchanceofatlanta.org <Darlene@anotherchanceofatlanta.org>;  
proposals@equusworks.com <proposals@equusworks.com>; knoxocallaghan90@bellsouth.net  
<knoxocallaghan90@bellsouth.net>; lepp\_ef@mercer.edu <lepp\_ef@mercer.edu>;  
jasmine@snap4freedom.org <jasmine@snap4freedom.org>; daryl.morgan@emoryhealthcare.org  
<daryl.morgan@emoryhealthcare.org>; nmitchem@gmail.com <nmitchem@gmail.com>;  
sarah.kahn@cloudburstgroup.com <sarah.kahn@cloudburstgroup.com>; RUBYLHOWARD@COMCAST.NET  
<RUBYLHOWARD@COMCAST.NET>; paigekeller@icloud.com <paigekeller@icloud.com>;  
steminstitute.org@gmail.com <steminstitute.org@gmail.com>; jonathan@faithandvalor.com  
<jonathan@faithandvalor.com>; sarah.pakenham@veohero.org <sarah.pakenham@veohero.org>;  
maryfrances.kenion@icf.com <maryfrances.kenion@icf.com>; homesforhopecorp@gmail.com  
<homesforhopecorp@gmail.com>; tamara.sinkfield.lewis@emoryhealthcare.org  
<tamara.sinkfield.lewis@emoryhealthcare.org>; vicar@churchofthecommonground.org  
<vicar@churchofthecommonground.org>; bboyd@redeemer.org <bboyd@redeemer.org>;  
JBuehner@Yess4youth.org <JBuehner@Yess4youth.org>; james@4horsemenservices.org  
<james@4horsemenservices.org>; tangerinelily@gmail.com <tangerinelily@gmail.com>;  
Precious.dw2k20@gmail.com <Precious.dw2k20@gmail.com>; snorris@unitedwayatlanta.org  
<snorris@unitedwayatlanta.org>; cassandra@recoveryconsultants.org <cassandra@recoveryconsultants.org>;  
Radiantsreason@gmail.com <Radiantsreason@gmail.com>; val@womenofgilgal.org  
<val@womenofgilgal.org>; dl@aipbuzz.com <dl@aipbuzz.com>; mgaston2126@gmail.com  
<mgaston2126@gmail.com>; lisa.mize@northside.com <lisa.mize@northside.com>;  
ihannon@covenantatlanta.org <ihannon@covenantatlanta.org>; akirkland@centraloac.org  
<akirkland@centraloac.org>; brijects@gmail.com <brijects@gmail.com>; jenna.lee@chris180.org  
<jenna.lee@chris180.org>; noblenelson@lifeshineministries.org <noblenelson@lifeshineministries.org>;  
transformingyouinc@gmail.com <transformingyouinc@gmail.com>; ccrawford131@gmail.com  
<ccrawford131@gmail.com>; georgee.corley@fultoncountyga.gov <georgee.corley@fultoncountyga.gov>;  
cvanzant@communityfriendship.org <cvanzant@communityfriendship.org>; JWebb@AtlantaGa.Gov  
<JWebb@AtlantaGa.Gov>; livelikemikehomes@gmail.com <livelikemikehomes@gmail.com>;  
bottomupthinkingllc@gmail.com <bottomupthinkingllc@gmail.com>; karen@currydavisgroup.com  
<karen@currydavisgroup.com>; caringforothers1@bellsouth.net <caringforothers1@bellsouth.net>;  
anniebarber08@gmail.com <anniebarber08@gmail.com>; pduboise@the3keys.org  
<pduboise@the3keys.org>; president@madhousers.org <president@madhousers.org>;  
destinyjrichmond@gmail.com <destinyjrichmond@gmail.com>; grachel@gjp.org <grachel@gjp.org>;  
rubenii@att.net <rubenii@att.net>; tomonnocheeks@yahoo.com <tomonnocheeks@yahoo.com>;  
bmitchell@questcommunities.org <bmitchell@questcommunities.org>; Constance.barry@t2t.org  
<Constance.barry@t2t.org>; lizzettestratton@gmail.com <lizzettestratton@gmail.com>;  
shill@nicholashouse.org <shill@nicholashouse.org>; brooke.santos@emoryhealthcare.org  
<brooke.santos@emoryhealthcare.org>; aviance.jenkins@amerigroup.com  
<aviance.jenkins@amerigroup.com>; eparks@river-edge.org <eparks@river-edge.org>;  
joseph@steppingstonemission.net <joseph@steppingstonemission.net>; bakerjameka@gmail.com  
<bakerjameka@gmail.com>; dpetrone@mendculture.org <dpetrone@mendculture.org>;  
jguynn@pebbletossers.org <jguynn@pebbletossers.org>; lharvey@atlantaga.gov <lharvey@atlantaga.gov>;  
msivley@svdpgeorgia.org <msivley@svdpgeorgia.org>; Tanishagardner@gmail.com  
<Tanishagardner@gmail.com>; jpalmer@hopeatlanta.org <jpalmer@hopeatlanta.org>;  
sbuckley@hopeatlanta.org <sbuckley@hopeatlanta.org>; mschultz@gcn.org <mschultz@gcn.org>;  
Shanequa.Bonvillain@mercyatlanta.org <Shanequa.Bonvillain@mercyatlanta.org>; ron.alston@suntrust.com  
<ron.alston@suntrust.com>; kathy.colbenson@chris180.org <kathy.colbenson@chris180.org>;  
jasmineb@ahrc-atl.org <jasmineb@ahrc-atl.org>; kimberly.livsey@atlantamission.org



<kimberly.livsey@atlantamission.org>; denisefisher9040@gmail.com <denisefisher9040@gmail.com>;  
martmerri@yahoo.com <martmerri@yahoo.com>; admin@melanatedpearl.org <admin@melanatedpearl.org>;  
info@thedharmaproject.org <info@thedharmaproject.org>; dbenton@gatewayctr.org  
<dbenton@gatewayctr.org>; manderson@furniturebankatlanta.org <manderson@furniturebankatlanta.org>;  
bwilliams@hoseafeedthehungry.com <bwilliams@hoseafeedthehungry.com>; greg.white@decaturga.com  
<greg.white@decaturga.com>; tarinrussell@bcmatlanta.org <tarinrussell@bcmatlanta.org>;  
derrick.henderson@buildingtf.org <derrick.henderson@buildingtf.org>; flournoy@vossolutions.org  
<flournoy@vossolutions.org>; aabram@gmh.edu <aabram@gmh.edu>; lori@cliftsansanctuary.com  
<lori@cliftsansanctuary.com>; k.kennedy@theansleyschool.org <k.kennedy@theansleyschool.org>;  
alex.ukani@icalliances.org <alex.ukani@icalliances.org>; bthomas@pennrose.com <bthomas@pennrose.com>;  
dpetty@gmh.edu <dpetty@gmh.edu>; maurice@fotsministries.org <maurice@fotsministries.org>;  
lunamoon2@protonmail.com <lunamoon2@protonmail.com>; fwatson@atlantaga.gov  
<fwatson@atlantaga.gov>; prankin@atlantaga.gov <prankin@atlantaga.gov>;  
tensley.almand@atlantamission.org <tensley.almand@atlantamission.org>; mlittle@unitedwayatlanta.org  
<mlittle@unitedwayatlanta.org>; kcadet@strive.org <kcadet@strive.org>; jgrant2@emory.edu  
<jgrant2@emory.edu>; e.okorie@tm2kinc.org <e.okorie@tm2kinc.org>; Susan.Smith@padv.org  
<Susan.Smith@padv.org>; nplehn@unitedwayatlanta.org <nplehn@unitedwayatlanta.org>;  
tasha.brown@acf.hhs.gov <tasha.brown@acf.hhs.gov>; missy.gutelius@wellstar.org  
<missy.gutelius@wellstar.org>; jctribby@atlantaga.gov <jctribby@atlantaga.gov>; thereznick@gmail.com  
<thereznick@gmail.com>; amartin@pennrose.com <amartin@pennrose.com>; clittle@erc-inc.org  
<clittle@erc-inc.org>; deidre.howell@va.gov <deidre.howell@va.gov>; vwalker@pccihome.org  
<vwalker@pccihome.org>; sfreynolds@atlantaga.gov <sfreynolds@atlantaga.gov>; nkeiser@houseatl.org  
<nkeiser@houseatl.org>; anitalawbeaty@aol.com <anitalawbeaty@aol.com>; mitch.brown@rrc-atl.org  
<mitch.brown@rrc-atl.org>; mbannerhtl@gmail.com <mbannerhtl@gmail.com>;  
paula.kupersmith@gmail.com <paula.kupersmith@gmail.com>; benjamingraham221@yahoo.com  
<benjamingraham221@yahoo.com>; chuck@safehouseoutreach.org <chuck@safehouseoutreach.org>;  
mvlkovic@enterprisecommunity.org <mvlkovic@enterprisecommunity.org>;  
paula.wilson@uss.salvationarmy.org <paula.wilson@uss.salvationarmy.org>; kgibson@disabilitylink.org  
<kgibson@disabilitylink.org>; amurray@stepuponsecond.org <amurray@stepuponsecond.org>;  
stephanieburkes@caringworksinc.org <stephanieburkes@caringworksinc.org>;  
rosineniyungeko@bcmgeorgia.org <rosineniyungeko@bcmgeorgia.org>; bobbi@tullfoundation.org  
<bobbi@tullfoundation.org>; bmjohnson@atlantapublicschools.us <bmjohnson@atlantapublicschools.us>;  
aswilliams@hopeatlanta.org <aswilliams@hopeatlanta.org>; futchv@aetna.com <futchv@aetna.com>;  
digioia.katelyn@gmail.com <digioia.katelyn@gmail.com>; frantzfortune.veo@gmail.com  
<frantzfortune.veo@gmail.com>; molly.a.vetter@hud.gov <molly.a.vetter@hud.gov>;  
drew.walston@mightyherohomes.org <drew.walston@mightyherohomes.org>; info@watkinsrealtyco.com  
<info@watkinsrealtyco.com>; cbarringer@openhandatlanta.org <cbarringer@openhandatlanta.org>;  
rfps@sfg-inc.net <rfps@sfg-inc.net>; darci@parkavebaptist.com <darci@parkavebaptist.com>;  
darlene@darlenemathewsinc.com <darlene@darlenemathewsinc.com>; sean.monroe@atlantahousing.org  
<sean.monroe@atlantahousing.org>; caminnis@gmh.edu <caminnis@gmh.edu>; loann23@yahoo.com  
<loann23@yahoo.com>; linda.pernell@wellstar.org <linda.pernell@wellstar.org>;  
carolyn.booth@uss.salvationarmy.org <carolyn.booth@uss.salvationarmy.org>; ritashelpinghand@outlook.com  
<ritashelpinghand@outlook.com>; arkishya@joukind.org <arkishya@joukind.org>;  
belovedcommunityinc@gmail.com <belovedcommunityinc@gmail.com>; michael.nolan@intowncm.org  
<michael.nolan@intowncm.org>; vdallen2000@yahoo.com <vdallen2000@yahoo.com>;  
ashoemaker@fultonanimalservices.com <ashoemaker@fultonanimalservices.com>; Youth Action Board  
<yab@partnersforhome.org>; peterrockcrowfoot@gmail.com <peterrockcrowfoot@gmail.com>;  
casemanager@calvaryrefuge.org <casemanager@calvaryrefuge.org>; samanthaterry17@outlook.com  
<samanthaterry17@outlook.com>; amurillo@atlantaga.gov <amurillo@atlantaga.gov>;  
wlmcginniss@gmail.com <wlmcginniss@gmail.com>; connect@bridgeoflightatl.org  
<connect@bridgeoflightatl.org>; jane.arney@atlantamission.org <jane.arney@atlantamission.org>;  
acampbell@unitedwayatlanta.org <acampbell@unitedwayatlanta.org>; william.hughes@va.gov  
<william.hughes@va.gov>; hopefulhavenhousing@gmail.com <hopefulhavenhousing@gmail.com>;  
cdrummond@communityfriendship.org <cdrummond@communityfriendship.org>; Jmmmjones@gmail.com  
<Jmmmjones@gmail.com>; d.devraj@gmail.com <d.devraj@gmail.com>; roseabby100@gmail.com  
<roseabby100@gmail.com>; f.xavier.roberts@gmail.com <f.xavier.roberts@gmail.com>;  
henra@parkavebaptist.com <henra@parkavebaptist.com>; tracieharps@yahoo.com

<tracieharps@yahoo.com>; latonya.holliday@gmail.com <latonya.holliday@gmail.com>;  
 azaremba1478@gmail.com <azaremba1478@gmail.com>; mgaston@acsatl.org <mgaston@acsatl.org>;  
 3cwhitehead@gmail.com <3cwhitehead@gmail.com>; letitia.williams@gmail.com  
 <letitia.williams@gmail.com>; jfahed@covenantatlanta.org <jfahed@covenantatlanta.org>;  
 richardbkelllogg@gmail.com <richardbkelllogg@gmail.com>; Darian.d.mcdowell@gmail.com  
 <Darian.d.mcdowell@gmail.com>; tammy.milner@chris180.org <tammy.milner@chris180.org>;  
 info@chopart.org <info@chopart.org>; germaine0102@gmail.com <germaine0102@gmail.com>;  
 aredd@covenanthouse.org <aredd@covenanthouse.org>; woodsmisty35@yahoo.com  
 <woodsmisty35@yahoo.com>; paulandlisacox@comcast.net <paulandlisacox@comcast.net>;  
 staylor@smcmed.com <staylor@smcmed.com>; emiller@gatewayctr.org <emiller@gatewayctr.org>;  
 kpalumbo@cfgreateratlanta.org <kpalumbo@cfgreateratlanta.org>; simirror.harris@padv.org  
 <simirror.harris@padv.org>; erin@thegivingkitchen.org <erin@thegivingkitchen.org>;  
 vivian.s@houseofglobalization.org <vivian.s@houseofglobalization.org>; kim@chrysalislab.org  
 <kim@chrysalislab.org>; rbazel@sudpgeorgia.org <rbazel@sudpgeorgia.org>; ladams@questcommunities.org  
 <ladams@questcommunities.org>; carolcollard@caringworksinc.org <carolcollard@caringworksinc.org>;  
 robyn.andrews@csh.org <robyn.andrews@csh.org>; kadesheconomic@gmail.com  
 <kadesheconomic@gmail.com>; lgammons@stepup.org <lgammons@stepup.org>;  
 carlajackson1@bellsouth.net <carlajackson1@bellsouth.net>; INFO@POWERATL.ORG  
 <INFO@POWERATL.ORG>; sboston@pccihome.org <sboston@pccihome.org>;  
 support@pureneedservices.org <support@pureneedservices.org>; kfields@communityfriendship.org  
 <kfields@communityfriendship.org>; carmontecoleman794@gmail.com <carmontecoleman794@gmail.com>;  
 Ed.Duda@gilead.com <Ed.Duda@gilead.com>; dm528@cornell.edu <dm528@cornell.edu>; courtney@sfg-  
 inc.net <courtney@sfg-inc.net>; auriell.n.towner.13@outlook.com <auriell.n.towner.13@outlook.com>;  
 menglehart@zabanparadiescenter.org <menglehart@zabanparadiescenter.org>; nancy.friauf@padv.org  
 <nancy.friauf@padv.org>; tiffinirahmaad@caringworksinc.org <tiffinirahmaad@caringworksinc.org>;  
 mlittlejr@unitedwayatlanta.org <mlittlejr@unitedwayatlanta.org>; ejh@rh-law.com <ejh@rh-law.com>;  
 rchernikoff@hopeatlanta.org <rchernikoff@hopeatlanta.org>; loisebear@msn.com <loisebear@msn.com>;  
 lauren.hopper@mercyatlanta.org <lauren.hopper@mercyatlanta.org>; freda.lyon@wellstar.org  
 <freda.lyon@wellstar.org>; abauer@atlantadowntown.com <abauer@atlantadowntown.com>;  
 bec@atlantapad.org <bec@atlantapad.org>; ntoodle@the3keys.org <ntoodle@the3keys.org>;  
 drakes@equinoxcapitalpartners.com <drakes@equinoxcapitalpartners.com>; letheamusicent@gmail.com  
 <letheamusicent@gmail.com>; carol.e.knight@oakstreethealth.com <carol.e.knight@oakstreethealth.com>;  
 divineconnectionsarise@gmail.com <divineconnectionsarise@gmail.com>; bell@connections.org  
 <bell@connections.org>; jhobson@helpinghandsgeorgia.com <jhobson@helpinghandsgeorgia.com>;  
 Lcade@ourhousega.org <Lcade@ourhousega.org>; todd.hermon@bobbydodd.org  
 <todd.hermon@bobbydodd.org>; jholloway@unitedwayatlanta.org <jholloway@unitedwayatlanta.org>;  
 openroadliving@aol.com <openroadliving@aol.com>; linda.ross@veohero.org <linda.ross@veohero.org>;  
 WilliamsS40@aetna.com <WilliamsS40@aetna.com>; digioia.jonathan@gmail.com  
 <digioia.jonathan@gmail.com>; apumphrey@covenantatlanta.org <apumphrey@covenantatlanta.org>;  
 ksinha@civicga.org <ksinha@civicga.org>; kate.tettamant@dca.ga.gov <kate.tettamant@dca.ga.gov>;  
 emersonjo@hotmail.com <emersonjo@hotmail.com>; tlawyer@ourhousega.org <tlawyer@ourhousega.org>

Good afternoon, CoC grantees, partners, stakeholders, and community members –

Yesterday, the Atlanta CoC Governing Council met and approved the Atlanta CoC 2024 Project Priority List that will be submitted to HUD later this month as a part of the 2024 NOFO Application.

[Click here to see the full list of projects that will be included as part of the Atlanta application.](#)

A quick summary of the projects:

- 33 projects will be included as part of the application
  - 26 projects that are renewing from previous years, including
    - 2 Coordinated Entry projects
    - 1 HMIS project
    - 23 PSH or RRH projects

- 2 new projects
  - 1 PSH project
  - 1 RRH project
- 4 Youth Homeless Demonstration Projects (YHDP)
- 1 Planning project
- The 26 renewal projects and the 2 new projects were reviewed, scored, and ranked by the Rank and Review Committee and the linked chart shows their ranking and score (by percent) received.
- The linked chart also shows which projects were ranked with Tier 1, Tier 2, or Bonus funding.

All agencies that were a part of this process have already received notification of their ranking and funding level prior to this notice. In addition to that notice going to the applicants, and this email to our partners, this information is also posted on the HUD CoC Competition webpage of the Partners for HOME website, and will be posted on various social media platforms later today, to inform the general public.

Thank you to the agencies who worked hard throughout this process, as well as throughout the year addressing homelessness. Also, thank you to the Rank and Review Committee and the Governing Council for their work in this process.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)

NOFO Consultant

[partnersforhome.org](http://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR HOME

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

---

## Atlanta CoC Priority List for the FY2024 HUD NOFO

---

From Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Date Wed 10/9/2024 3:25 PM

To Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

Bcc shawn.jackson@cort.com <shawn.jackson@cort.com>; leroy@ccsatlanta.org <leroy@ccsatlanta.org>; keevakase@bcmatlanta.org <keevakase@bcmatlanta.org>; chiokek@yahoo.com <chiokek@yahoo.com>; adurr2017@gmail.com <adurr2017@gmail.com>; dboyd0064@bellsouth.net <dboyd0064@bellsouth.net>; kjohnson@stepup.org <kjohnson@stepup.org>; road2sucess@yahoo.com <road2sucess@yahoo.com>; tlmckinney@visioncommunityfoundation.org <tlmckinney@visioncommunityfoundation.org>; cturner@acsatl.org <cturner@acsatl.org>; toyea.laing@coreresponse.org <toyea.laing@coreresponse.org>; mcarter@ourhousega.org <mcarter@ourhousega.org>; shigh@questcommunities.org <shigh@questcommunities.org>; twilcher@covenanthouse.org <twilcher@covenanthouse.org>; Montra McKenzie <montra@investorsacademyyouth.com>; jkey@mcmserve.org <jkey@mcmserve.org>; micah.b.snead@hud.gov <micah.b.snead@hud.gov>; wendy.brown@wellstar.org <wendy.brown@wellstar.org>; apostle.kenneth.lazarus777@gmail.com <apostle.kenneth.lazarus777@gmail.com>; adair.mitchell469@gmail.com <adair.mitchell469@gmail.com>; bhayesbrown@georgiaact.org <bhayesbrown@georgiaact.org>; svoelkel@atlantaga.gov <svoelkel@atlantaga.gov>; liz.carter@uss.salvationarmy.org <liz.carter@uss.salvationarmy.org>; oomophee68@gmail.com <oomophee68@gmail.com>; Kevin Briskin <kbriskin@partnersforhome.org>; michael@anotherchanceofatlanta.org <michael@anotherchanceofatlanta.org>; wikkedscorpion67@gmail.com <wikkedscorpion67@gmail.com>; Cynthia Loftin <ckloftin3@gmail.com>; sandilangle03@gmail.com <sandilangle03@gmail.com>; joymc150@att.net <joymc150@att.net>; Ann.Burris@dhs.ga.gov <Ann.Burris@dhs.ga.gov>; Rhonda.Perling@northside.com <Rhonda.Perling@northside.com>; development@mlksrcollaborative.org <development@mlksrcollaborative.org>; TShumpert@questcommunities.org <TShumpert@questcommunities.org>; gotoladypropertygrp@mail.com <gotoladypropertygrp@mail.com>; Kayland Arrington <karrington@partnersforhome.org>; aedlin@gmail.com <aedlin@gmail.com>; michael.deenoble1@gmail.com <michael.deenoble1@gmail.com>; devon@atlantapad.org <devon@atlantapad.org>; rscurllock@unitedwayatlanta.org <rscurllock@unitedwayatlanta.org>; ddrew@pccihome.org <ddrew@pccihome.org>; finance@safehouseoutreach.org <finance@safehouseoutreach.org>; bselina@htdi.org <bselina@htdi.org>; thesturgisgroup@gmail.com <thesturgisgroup@gmail.com>; leahhumphries@caringsworksinc.org <leahhumphries@caringsworksinc.org>; info@restoringoneshopeatl.org <info@restoringoneshopeatl.org>; Sheila@Jerusalemhouse.org <Sheila@Jerusalemhouse.org>; Ebony Green <Ebony.Green@padv.org>; allison.setterlind@decal.ga.gov <allison.setterlind@decal.ga.gov>; warrensmith@gmail.com <warrensmith@gmail.com>; acampbell@river-edge.org <acampbell@river-edge.org>; Kathryn Lawler <kathryn.lawler@mercyatlanta.org>; Deanna Anderson <danderson@705marketing.com>; briskinneal@gmail.com <briskinneal@gmail.com>; essenceofhope@gmail.com <essenceofhope@gmail.com>; brenda.shelton@wellstar.org <brenda.shelton@wellstar.org>; frankmalloy@caringsworksinc.org <frankmalloy@caringsworksinc.org>; alaboy1@gsu.edu <alaboy1@gsu.edu>; samuel.greene01@gmail.com <samuel.greene01@gmail.com>; cheriechateau@gmail.com <cheriechateau@gmail.com>; info@ctgempowerment.org <info@ctgempowerment.org>; barry.slay@yahoo.com <barry.slay@yahoo.com>; rminefee@gmh.edu <rminefee@gmh.edu>; Deirdre.Bolden@csh.org <Deirdre.Bolden@csh.org>; sconiershoshthome@rocketmail.com <sconiershoshthome@rocketmail.com>; jseabolt@pcsforpeople.org <jseabolt@pcsforpeople.org>; cthaler@avlf.org <cthaler@avlf.org>; contact@eficcs.org <contact@eficcs.org>; Danielle Jordan <Danielle.Jordan@dca.ga.gov>; Natalie.Hall@fultoncountyga.gov <Natalie.Hall@fultoncountyga.gov>; iwilson@crossroadsatlanta.org <iwilson@crossroadsatlanta.org>; tashowesley@gmail.com <tashowesley@gmail.com>; drew@projectlivelove.com <drew@projectlivelove.com>; bobi.lindeborg@uss.salvationarmy.org <bobi.lindeborg@uss.salvationarmy.org>; wilin2b@aol.com <wilin2b@aol.com>; elizabeth.cunningham@northside.com <elizabeth.cunningham@northside.com>; deniseruben189@gmail.com <deniseruben189@gmail.com>; thomas.thompson@uss.salvationarmy.org

<thomas.thompson@uss.salvationarmy.org>; shmoore@atlantaga.gov <shmoore@atlantaga.gov>;  
cedmond@AtlantaGa.Gov <cedmond@AtlantaGa.Gov>; JulieThompson805@yahoo.com  
<JulieThompson805@yahoo.com>; Bebecullins1@yahoo.com <Bebecullins1@yahoo.com>; Brianna Flowers  
<bflowers@partnersforhome.org>; michellewilliams224@gmail.com <michellewilliams224@gmail.com>;  
dwoodson@atlantaga.gov <dwoodson@atlantaga.gov>; aostholthoff@aainsco.com  
<aostholthoff@aainsco.com>; glewis@seligenterprises.com <glewis@seligenterprises.com>;  
noah@noahbatterson.com <noah@noahbatterson.com>; karnold@mercyatlanta.org  
<karnold@mercyatlanta.org>; slove@cityofrefugeatl.org <slove@cityofrefugeatl.org>;  
nshoyinka@atlantadowntown.com <nshoyinka@atlantadowntown.com>; daniellesmith@caringworksinc.org  
<daniellesmith@caringworksinc.org>; indihayes@gmail.com <indihayes@gmail.com>; jelaineh@icloud.com  
<jelaineh@icloud.com>; shakirahightower@gmail.com <shakirahightower@gmail.com>;  
takima@safehouseoutreach.org <takima@safehouseoutreach.org>; Sheena Luten  
<sheena.luten@icalliances.org>; susan.tharpe@dph.ga.gov <susan.tharpe@dph.ga.gov>;  
rhalder0001@mymail.lausd.net <rhalder0001@mymail.lausd.net>; renterslivesmatter@gmail.com  
<renterslivesmatter@gmail.com>; CEO@dreamchasersatl.com <CEO@dreamchasersatl.com>;  
vhill@steminstitute.org <vhill@steminstitute.org>; drtammy@frontlineresponse.org  
<drtammy@frontlineresponse.org>; drobinson@cobbworks.org <drobinson@cobbworks.org>;  
dvause@purposepossible.com <dvause@purposepossible.com>; MYONKER@EMORY.EDU  
<MYONKER@EMORY.EDU>; melanea.alvarez@lnfy.org <melanea.alvarez@lnfy.org>;  
wandabaker151@yahoo.com <wandabaker151@yahoo.com>; repairthebreachministries@outlook.com  
<repairthebreachministries@outlook.com>; gary.cornell01@gmail.com <gary.cornell01@gmail.com>;  
info@sayerssafehaven.org <info@sayerssafehaven.org>; Phyllis@safehouseoutreach.org  
<Phyllis@safehouseoutreach.org>; nforge1@gsu.edu <nforge1@gsu.edu>; ahstarr@atlantaga.gov  
<ahstarr@atlantaga.gov>; bobbicleveland@att.net <bobbicleveland@att.net>; cdotson@intelegy.com  
<cdotson@intelegy.com>; TWELVE31ANDCO@GMAIL.COM <TWELVE31ANDCO@GMAIL.COM>;  
GWoodard@hopeatlanta.org <GWoodard@hopeatlanta.org>; Chelsea.Sabo@MothersAdvocacyproject.org  
<Chelsea.Sabo@MothersAdvocacyproject.org>; info@educonnecttraining.org  
<info@educonnecttraining.org>; m2h@svdpgeorgia.org <m2h@svdpgeorgia.org>; belovedcdc@gmail.com  
<belovedcdc@gmail.com>; epoulard@familysupportcircle.org <epoulard@familysupportcircle.org>;  
info@themomdynasty.co.site <info@themomdynasty.co.site>; Support@yourbeststep.org  
<Support@yourbeststep.org>; Syun@atlantaga.gov <Syun@atlantaga.gov>; wbrown@vecinogroup.com  
<wbrown@vecinogroup.com>; Jasmine Cunningham <jcunningham@partnersforhome.org>;  
emma@yimbyaction.org <emma@yimbyaction.org>; hfoote0813@gmail.com <hfoote0813@gmail.com>;  
twynn@mercyatlanta.org <twynn@mercyatlanta.org>; sshaffer@sjrcatl.org <sshaffer@sjrcatl.org>;  
jim.reese@atlantamission.org <jim.reese@atlantamission.org>; j.harris@onfiyaagency.org  
<j.harris@onfiyaagency.org>; jhardin@rh-law.com <jhardin@rh-law.com>; jbenton@safehouseoutreach.org  
<jbenton@safehouseoutreach.org>; Johnny@wearelazarus.org <Johnny@wearelazarus.org>;  
hollybills@pccihome.org <hollybills@pccihome.org>; ngilbert@nicholashouse.org  
<ngilbert@nicholashouse.org>; camillebriggs0@gmail.com <camillebriggs0@gmail.com>;  
monica\_mccoy@mac.com <monica\_mccoy@mac.com>; brucegunter@prihousing.org  
<brucegunter@prihousing.org>; sheena.biggerstaff@gpsn.org <sheena.biggerstaff@gpsn.org>;  
Ron.Alston@truist.com <Ron.Alston@truist.com>; info@shanaeculver.com <info@shanaeculver.com>;  
Jhutchins@shelterofhonor.org <Jhutchins@shelterofhonor.org>; tcallihan@gatewayctr.org  
<tcallihan@gatewayctr.org>; osullivan.alex@gmail.com <osullivan.alex@gmail.com>;  
veronyka.williams@mercyatlanta.org <veronyka.williams@mercyatlanta.org>;  
ashley.felix@houseofglobalization.org <ashley.felix@houseofglobalization.org>; terry@frontlineresponse.org  
<terry@frontlineresponse.org>; donate@tpssk.org <donate@tpssk.org>; christens@ghrc-ga.org  
<christens@ghrc-ga.org>; info@redeem-advance.org <info@redeem-advance.org>;  
michelleramirez@bcmatlanta.org <michelleramirez@bcmatlanta.org>; phunter@georgiaworks.net  
<phunter@georgiaworks.net>; JACKYJORDAN10@GMAIL.COM <JACKYJORDAN10@GMAIL.COM>;  
cwhite@servicewithoutwalls.org <cwhite@servicewithoutwalls.org>; Omurray3@calalus.org  
<Omurray3@calalus.org>; julia@pebbletossers.org <julia@pebbletossers.org>; info@ananeoohouse.org  
<info@ananeoohouse.org>; linzaremba@gmail.com <linzaremba@gmail.com>; rhodesfelicia49@gmail.com  
<rhodesfelicia49@gmail.com>; David Mance <dmance@partnersforhome.org>;  
pljones@communityfriendship.org <pljones@communityfriendship.org>; Mia@EssenceOfHopeAtlanta.org  
<Mia@EssenceOfHopeAtlanta.org>; kyra.jones@cjcc.ga.gov <kyra.jones@cjcc.ga.gov>;  
Rashell.Frazier@uss.salvationarmy.org <Rashell.Frazier@uss.salvationarmy.org>; annmormn@yahoo.com

<annmormn@yahoo.com>; jennifer.villasenor@mercyatlanta.org <jennifer.villasenor@mercyatlanta.org>;  
Chad.Jones@VPHealth.org <Chad.Jones@VPHealth.org>; Barrow, Amy <ABarrow@unitedwayatlanta.org>;  
Morris, Asher C <acmorris@atlantaga.gov>; jsmythe@hopeatlanta.org <jsmythe@hopeatlanta.org>;  
mskelly63@gmail.com <mskelly63@gmail.com>; hugsministries@hotmail.com  
<hugsministries@hotmail.com>; chamblee.coalition.homeless@gmail.com  
<chamblee.coalition.homeless@gmail.com>; lharris3@itsmarta.com <lharris3@itsmarta.com>;  
sdlewis14@hotmail.com <sdlewis14@hotmail.com>; agarvin@furniturebankatlanta.org  
<agarvin@furniturebankatlanta.org>; LGardiner@AtlantaGa.Gov <LGardiner@AtlantaGa.Gov>;  
jvailles@strive.org <jvailles@strive.org>; denice.wade@cartercenter.org <denice.wade@cartercenter.org>;  
garryogden@djj.state.ga.us <garryogden@djj.state.ga.us>; maryum@jerusalemhouse.org  
<maryum@jerusalemhouse.org>; monicarol.evans@gmail.com <monicarol.evans@gmail.com>;  
RLMcGhee@AtlantaGa.Gov <RLMcGhee@AtlantaGa.Gov>; marlene@decaturcooperativeministry.org  
<marlene@decaturcooperativeministry.org>; detria@mlksrcollaborative.org <detria@mlksrcollaborative.org>;  
lscott@avlf.org <lscott@avlf.org>

Good afternoon, CoC grantees, partners, stakeholders, and community members –

Yesterday, the Atlanta CoC Governing Council met and approved the Atlanta CoC 2024 Project Priority List that will be submitted to HUD later this month as a part of the 2024 NOFO Application.

[Click here to see the full list of projects that will be included as part of the Atlanta application.](#)

A quick summary of the projects:

- 33 projects will be included as part of the application
  - 26 projects that are renewing from previous years, including
    - 2 Coordinated Entry projects
    - 1 HMIS project
    - 23 PSH or RRH projects
  - 2 new projects
    - 1 PSH project
    - 1 RRH project
  - 4 Youth Homeless Demonstration Projects (YHDP)
  - 1 Planning project
- The 26 renewal projects and the 2 new projects were reviewed, scored, and ranked by the Rank and Review Committee and the linked chart shows their ranking and score (by percent) received.
- The linked chart also shows which projects were ranked with Tier 1, Tier 2, or Bonus funding.

All agencies that were a part of this process have already received notification of their ranking and funding level prior to this notice. In addition to that notice going to the applicants, and this email to our partners, this information is also posted on the HUD CoC Competition webpage of the Partners for HOME website, and will be posted on various social media platforms later today, to inform the general public.

Thank you to the agencies who worked hard throughout this process, as well as throughout the year addressing homelessness. Also, thank you to the Rank and Review Committee and the Governing Council for their work in this process.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)  
NOFO Consultant  
[partnersforhome.org](http://partnersforhome.org)



*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

**GA-500  
Atlanta CoC**

**1E-5c  
Web Posting – CoC Approved  
Consolidated Application**



Partners for HOME is committed to providing equal access to its services and opportunities to all people regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, disability, or age. To request a reasonable accommodation or alternate format or language, please [email Partners for HOME](#).

On October 8, 2024, the Governing Council voted to approve the Priority List that will be included with the Atlanta CoC application to HUD for the FY2024 NOFO and all applicants were notified of their ranking and funding level. On October 9, 2024, an email was sent to the CoC mailing list, which includes all CoC members, stakeholders, partners, and funders. Postings were also made on October 9, 2024, on various Collaborative Applicant's, Partners for HOME, and media sites, in an effort to reach the general public. [Click here to view the Priority List](#).

Partners for Home, as the Atlanta CoC Collaborative Applicant, announces the Consolidated Application for the FY24 CoC Program Competition!

[Click here](#) to review the approved Consolidated Application.

[Click here](#) to review the approved Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all new and renewal project listings.

If you have any questions or comments about the approved application, please [email Amy Zaremba](#).

Important Dates

Communications

Applications from Previous Years

**Wednesday, July 17, 11am (eastern)** – Mandatory Meeting for all Renewal Applicants and PFH Subgrantee Renewal Applicants (if you did not get an invite, please [email Partners for HOME](#))

- [FY24 Renewal Application \(pdf\)](#) (for reference only)
- [FY24 Renewal Project Evaluation and Scoring Standards \(updated 07/20/2024\)](#)
- [FY24 Renewal Project Data Calculation Explanations for Objective Scoring Criteria](#)
- [FY24 New Application Training \(08/16/24\)](#)
- [FY24 New Application Training \(08/20/24\)](#)
- [FY24 New Application Training Slides](#)
- [FY24 New Project Online Application Link](#)
- [FY24 New Project Application \(pdf\)](#) (for reference only)
- [FY24 New Project Scoring Tool](#)
- [FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Online Application Link](#)
- [FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Application \(pdf\)](#) (for reference only)
- [FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Scoring Tool](#)
- [FY24 New Project Budget Template \(must be included with new project and DV bonus applications\)](#)
- [Sample Housing Leverage Letter – Template](#)
- [Sample Healthcare Leverage Letter – Template](#)
- [Estimated ARD Amounts, including Bonus and DV Amounts](#)
- [FY24 Priority List \(local doc\)](#)
- [Atlanta CoC FY24 Consolidated Application to submit to HUD – draft](#)
- [Atlanta CoC FY24 Priority Listing for Reallocation to submit to HUD – draft](#)

FY24 HUD Info

- [FY24 Grant Inventory Worksheet and Related Info](#)
- [FY24 and FY25 HUD CoC NOFO](#)
- [FY24 CoC Application Detailed Instructions](#)

HUD CoC Program Competition - xCoC-App\_drafttopostandsend.pdf xCoC-Priority-List\_drafttopostands x +

https://partnersforhome.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/CoC-App\_drafttopostandsend.pdf

Draw | | A | a | Ask Copilot

1 of 228

GA-500  
COC\_REG\_2024\_215063

Applicant: City of Atlanta Continuum of Care  
Project: GA-500 CoC Registration FY2024

## Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete.

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

**Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions**

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

**Attachments**

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

FY2024 CoC ApplicationPage 110/27/2024

Search

10:17 AM  
10/27/2024

HUD CoC Program Competition - xCoC-App\_drafttopostandsend.pdf xCoC-Priority-List\_drafttopostandsend.pdf

https://partnersforhome.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/CoC-Priority-List\_drafttopostandsend.pdf

Draw | | A | a | Ask Copilot

1 of 25

Search | Print | Save | Share | Settings | Edit with Acrobat

Applicant: City of Atlanta Continuum of Care  
Project: GA-500 CoC Registration FY2024

GA-500  
COC\_REG\_2024\_215063

### Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:
  - New;
  - Renewal;
  - UFA Costs;
  - CoC Planning;
  - YHDP Renewal; and
  - YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all CoC project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
  - UFA Costs Project Listing;
  - CoC planning Project Listing;
  - YHDP Renewal Project Listing (All Rounds); and
  - YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition)

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 1	10/27/2024
------------------------------	--------	------------

arch

10:19 AM  
10/27/2024

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

## **GA-500 Atlanta CoC**

### **1E-5d Notification of CoC Approved Consolidated Application**

- Email notification to Applicants of public posting of CoC Approved Consolidated Application and Priority List
- Email notification to CoC of public posting of CoC Approved Consolidated Application and Priority List
- Public posting of CoC Approved Consolidated Application and Priority List

Email notification to Applicants of public posting of  
CoC Approved Consolidated Application and Priority  
List

---

## Atlanta CoC FY24 HUD NOFO Application Update

---

**From** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Date** Mon 10/28/2024 6:30 AM

**To** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Cc** Danielle Jordan <Danielle.Jordan@dca.ga.gov>; Barb Peters <bpeters427@gmail.com>; Kimberley Kyly <kimberleyekyle@gmail.com>; Erika Parks <EParks@river-edge.org>; Tiana Daniels <grants@ourhousega.org>; Atira Rochester <atira.rochester@mercyatlanta.org>; carolyn.booth@uss.salvationarmy.org <carolyn.booth@uss.salvationarmy.org>; Janeane Schmidt <Janeane.Schmidt@uss.salvationarmy.org>; Jannan Thomas <jthomas@nicholashouse.org>; Kathryn Lawler <kathryn.lawler@mercyatlanta.org>; Maggie Brownsworth <mbrownsworth@ourhousega.org>; Navvab McDaniels <navvab.mcdaniels@padv.org>; Raphael Holloway <rholloway@gatewayctr.org>; Stephanie Hill <shill@nicholashouse.org>; Steve Siler <steve.siler@mercyatlanta.org>; Tommy Barnes <WBarnes@river-edge.org>; Tricia Callahan <tcallihan@gatewayctr.org>; Tyese Lawyer <tlawyer@ourhousega.org>; Sarah Buckley <sbuckley@hopeatlanta.org>; Cathryn Vassell <cvassell@partnersforhome.org>

Good morning, Atlanta CoC Renewal Applicants, New Applicants, and PFH subgrantees -

### We are oh-so-close to the finish line to the FY2024 HUD CoC Competition!

As you may be aware, Partners for HOME, as the Collaborative Applicant for the CoC, is responsible for submitting the NOFO application to HUD. The application has two main parts:

- The approved **CoC Application**, which highlights all the amazing work you and all the other CoC partners and stakeholders have done over the past years to address homelessness in the community, and
- The approved **Priority Listing**, which includes the ranked list of all the projects and the project applications you have submitted (into esnaps).

Both of these documents are posted the PFH HUD CoC Program Competition webpage, which you can access by [clicking here](#).

I will send a similar email to the entire CoC list shortly, so you may receive this message again. And, we will also post it on social media to reach people who are not on the current email list.

If you have any questions about the postings, please let me know. **On behalf of the CoC, I will submit the final documents prior to the HUD deadline of October 30, 8pm.**

In the next few weeks, you will get one or two follow-up emails from me. If the reviewers had any comments on your applications, I will gather that info together and send it to you. I requested that they provide comments, and some did but some did not. And also there will be a follow-up survey on the NOFO process this year. This will give me feedback personally, but it will also help PFH in planning the process in coming years. So please be on the lookout for those emails!

I have said it before, but I want to again **thank you all for your hard work** during this process. There are a lot of moving parts and whether you have gone through the process for many years or if this was your

first year, I know it can be a stressful time - especially because you all still have all the other responsibilities of your jobs to handle. So, I really appreciate your cooperation and patience with me and this process these last few months. And, of course, I am also very appreciative of the hard work your coworkers do on the frontline (and maybe some of you do, as well) to work to end homelessness in Atlanta.

Thanks, and have a super day!

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)

NOFO Consultant

[partnersforhome.org](http://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR HOME

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

Email notification to CoC of public posting of CoC  
Approved Consolidated Application and Priority List



---

## Atlanta CoC FY24 HUD NOFO Application Update

---

**From** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Date** Mon 10/28/2024 6:45 AM

**To** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Bcc** shawn.jackson@cort.com <shawn.jackson@cort.com>; leroy@ccsatlanta.org <leroy@ccsatlanta.org>; keevakase@bcmatlanta.org <keevakase@bcmatlanta.org>; chiokek@yahoo.com <chiokek@yahoo.com>; adurr2017@gmail.com <adurr2017@gmail.com>; dboyd0064@bellsouth.net <dboyd0064@bellsouth.net>; kjohnson@stepup.org <kjohnson@stepup.org>; road2sucess@yahoo.com <road2sucess@yahoo.com>; tlmckinney@visioncommunityfoundation.org <tlmckinney@visioncommunityfoundation.org>; cturner@acsatl.org <cturner@acsatl.org>; toyea.laing@coreresponse.org <toyea.laing@coreresponse.org>; mcarter@ourhousega.org <mcarter@ourhousega.org>; shigh@questcommunities.org <shigh@questcommunities.org>; twilcher@covenanthouse.org <twilcher@covenanthouse.org>; Montra McKenzie <montra@investorsacademyyouth.com>; jkey@mcmsserves.org <jkey@mcmsserves.org>; micah.b.snead@hud.gov <micah.b.snead@hud.gov>; wendy.brown@wellstar.org <wendy.brown@wellstar.org>; apostle.kenneth.lazarus777@gmail.com <apostle.kenneth.lazarus777@gmail.com>; adair.mitchell469@gmail.com <adair.mitchell469@gmail.com>

Good morning, Atlanta CoC members, partners, and community -

Partners for HOME, as the Collaborative Applicant for the CoC, is responsible for submitting the NOFO application to HUD. The application has two main parts:

- The approved **CoC Application**, which highlights all the work that the CoC partners and stakeholders have done over the past few years to address homelessness in the community, and
- The approved **Priority Listing**, which includes the ranked list of all the project applications.

Both of these documents are posted the PFH HUD CoC Program Competition webpage, which you can access by [clicking here](#).

This information has been sent to all applicants and will also be posted on social media to reach people who are not on the current email list.

If you have any questions about the postings, please let me know.

**On behalf of the CoC, I will submit the final documents prior to the HUD deadline of October 30, 8pm.**

Thank you for all you do, and have a great day!

--az

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

---

## Atlanta CoC FY24 HUD NOFO Application Update

---

**From** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Date** Mon 10/28/2024 6:45 AM

**To** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Bcc** questjhunter@gmail.com <questjhunter@gmail.com>; brian.dinapoli@dca.ga.gov <brian.dinapoli@dca.ga.gov>; Didcomm@atlantadowntown.com <Didcomm@atlantadowntown.com>; rancifermarshall@gmail.com <rancifermarshall@gmail.com>; mhcravey@yahoo.com <mhcravey@yahoo.com>; thrive@hopethrusoap.org <thrive@hopethrusoap.org>; eparks@hopeatlanta.org <eparks@hopeatlanta.org>; gananaba@yahoo.com <gananaba@yahoo.com>; ptservices49@gmail.com <ptservices49@gmail.com>; jovita.fenwick@fultoncountyga.gov <jovita.fenwick@fultoncountyga.gov>; tjjunior7@gmail.com <tjjunior7@gmail.com>; ahuff@pccihome.org <ahuff@pccihome.org>; lj@togethershelterlink.org <lj@togethershelterlink.org>; aprilwoods@caringworksinc.org <aprilwoods@caringworksinc.org>; jgrayus2@gmail.com <jgrayus2@gmail.com>; mscott\_d@hotmail.com <mscott\_d@hotmail.com>; ctwells@atlantalegalaid.org <ctwells@atlantalegalaid.org>; cheryln.henry357@gmail.com <cheryln.henry357@gmail.com>; sculver@ulgatl.org <sculver@ulgatl.org>; Legendarydreamsatlanta@gmail.com <Legendarydreamsatlanta@gmail.com>; wilmas@atlantadowntown.com <wilmas@atlantadowntown.com>; bhcamp@dekalbcountyga.gov <bhcamp@dekalbcountyga.gov>; clopez@lutherantowers.org <clopez@lutherantowers.org>; Laura.beggs@dhs.ga.gov <Laura.beggs@dhs.ga.gov>; turnerwd57@gmail.com <turnerwd57@gmail.com>; bwell.mojemuyiwa@gmail.com <bwell.mojemuyiwa@gmail.com>; shayhill197@gmail.com <shayhill197@gmail.com>; Andrew@safehouseoutreach.org <Andrew@safehouseoutreach.org>; Office@MobileShowersAtlanta.org <Office@MobileShowersAtlanta.org>; sonjakilgore365@gmail.com <sonjakilgore365@gmail.com>; dlester-drew@zabanparadiescenter.org <dlester-drew@zabanparadiescenter.org>; angela.smith@amerigroup.com <angela.smith@amerigroup.com>; rarnold@ihrcorp.com <rarnold@ihrcorp.com>; cherie@opendoorsatl.org <cherie@opendoorsatl.org>; tboose@gatewayctr.org <tboose@gatewayctr.org>; scraiggoodell@cathedralATL.org <scraiggoodell@cathedralATL.org>; insightrecovery7@gmail.com <insightrecovery7@gmail.com>; asanty@tiamatl.com <asanty@tiamatl.com>; jcorrigan@gsu.edu <jcorrigan@gsu.edu>; mollywjackson@gmail.com <mollywjackson@gmail.com>; rameylabrescia@yahoo.com <rameylabrescia@yahoo.com>; ashaiasw@outlook.com <ashaiasw@outlook.com>; pswann@thenewtenthfoundation.org <pswann@thenewtenthfoundation.org>; Leigh Hall <vhall@covenanthouse.org>; concerneddadsinc@gmail.com <concerneddadsinc@gmail.com>; davidgillespie404@gmail.com <davidgillespie404@gmail.com>; pmartinez@catholiccharitiesatlanta.org <pmartinez@catholiccharitiesatlanta.org>; Megan Vandebogert <megan@hopethrusoap.org>; stevensyers@gmail.com <stevensyers@gmail.com>; dterry@gatewayctr.org <dterry@gatewayctr.org>; richard.jones@atl.frb.org <richard.jones@atl.frb.org>; awilson@communityrestorationproject.org <awilson@communityrestorationproject.org>; heather.staniszewski@fultoncountyga.gov <heather.staniszewski@fultoncountyga.gov>; tspeed@dpchurch.org <tspeed@dpchurch.org>; JBuehner@pccihome.org <JBuehner@pccihome.org>; Libby Tyre <libby.tyre@dca.ga.gov>; prinsess.futrell@intowncm.org <prinsess.futrell@intowncm.org>; pat.sheppard@padv.org <pat.sheppard@padv.org>; scradersaaliyah@gmail.com <scradersaaliyah@gmail.com>; cedric.bryant@dbhdd.ga.gov <cedric.bryant@dbhdd.ga.gov>; jenniferhardaway@serendipityrecovery.org <jenniferhardaway@serendipityrecovery.org>; info@tlcyoga.org <info@tlcyoga.org>; kparker@centraloac.org <kparker@centraloac.org>; info@helpinnfolk.com <info@helpinnfolk.com>; brad@intowncm.org <brad@intowncm.org>; Info@blessingsworkingtogether.org <Info@blessingsworkingtogether.org>; afields@hopeatlanta.org <afields@hopeatlanta.org>; TAERENQUIGLEY1985@GMAIL.COM <TAERENQUIGLEY1985@GMAIL.COM>; emily.prentice@uga.edu <emily.prentice@uga.edu>; richard\_deshields@yahoo.com <richard\_deshields@yahoo.com>; jeperry323@yahoo.com <jeperry323@yahoo.com>; sgordon@river-edge.org <sgordon@river-edge.org>; jaynebeckinger@hotmail.com <jaynebeckinger@hotmail.com>; blanchdeedee@yahoo.com

<blanchdeedee@yahoo.com>; michelleballen1992@yahoo.com <michelleballen1992@yahoo.com>; Carla Smith <csmith@nicholashouse.org>; charles@herestolifeatl.org <charles@herestolifeatl.org>; neal.leadership@gmail.com <neal.leadership@gmail.com>; banderson@ptsga.org <banderson@ptsga.org>; Rnicha@vermontlaw.edu <Rnicha@vermontlaw.edu>; jcarrillo@hopeatlanta.org <jcarrillo@hopeatlanta.org>; slingenfelter@crossroadsatlanta.org <slingenfelter@crossroadsatlanta.org>; HOPKINSRAMONA265@YAHOO.COM <HOPKINSRAMONA265@YAHOO.COM>; plouis@atlantaga.gov <plouis@atlantaga.gov>; jumurray@AtlantaGa.Gov <jumurray@AtlantaGa.Gov>; slyoung@covenanthouse.org <slyoung@covenanthouse.org>; alaswell@mercyatlanta.org <alасwell@mercyatlanta.org>; letitia.robinson@dbhdd.ga.gov <letitia.robinson@dbhdd.ga.gov>; tracymarks777@gmail.com <tracymarks777@gmail.com>; haniyfamuhammad@gmail.com <haniyfamuhammad@gmail.com>; swarren@atlantaregional.org <swarren@atlantaregional.org>; Kbell@stepuponsecond.org <Kbell@stepuponsecond.org>; ralexander@atlantaga.gov <ralexander@atlantaga.gov>; cwelch@horizonzproperty.com <cwelch@horizonzproperty.com>; desirethyportion@gmail.com <desirethyportion@gmail.com>; bobbi.curry@pihcga.org <bobbi.curry@pihcga.org>; frommeuntilunity@gmail.com <frommeuntilunity@gmail.com>; Robert.Kelly@fultoncountyga.gov <Robert.Kelly@fultoncountyga.gov>; judith.jules@yahoo.com <judith.jules@yahoo.com>; Janeane Schmidt <Janeane.Schmidt@uss.salvationarmy.org>; tclark@covenantatlanta.org <tclark@covenantatlanta.org>; edwardswjanet@gmail.com <edwardswjanet@gmail.com>; ehoward@communityrestorationproject.org <ehoward@communityrestorationproject.org>; darryll@ujimaway.org <darryll@ujimaway.org>; ruby.pryor@wellstar.org <ruby.pryor@wellstar.org>; KWalker@catholiccharitiesatlanta.org <KWalker@catholiccharitiesatlanta.org>; cgillyard@centraloac.org <cgillyard@centraloac.org>; Norman@Serenityhouseatl.org <Norman@Serenityhouseatl.org>; dave.totten@dca.ga.gov <dave.totten@dca.ga.gov>; apeten@mercyatlanta.org <apeten@mercyatlanta.org>; lolitaanderson1938@gmail.com <lolitaanderson1938@gmail.com>; jevans@pccihome.org <jevans@pccihome.org>; tanya.frazee@intowncares.org <tanya.frazee@intowncares.org>; erika.floyd@emoryhealthcare.org <erika.floyd@emoryhealthcare.org>; Paul.Vranicar@atlantahousing.org <Paul.Vranicar@atlantahousing.org>; ellengallow1234@gmail.com <ellengallow1234@gmail.com>; themsfightingwords17@gmail.com <themsfightingwords17@gmail.com>; yolandaessenceofhope@gmail.com <yolandaessenceofhope@gmail.com>; joseph@hopethrusoap.org <joseph@hopethrusoap.org>; monica.johnson@dbhdd.ga.gov <monica.johnson@dbhdd.ga.gov>; abclay@AtlantaGa.Gov <abclay@AtlantaGa.Gov>; questssintern@questcommunities.org <questssintern@questcommunities.org>; peacekeephh@gmail.com <peacekeephh@gmail.com>; cmccministries@gmail.com <cmccministries@gmail.com>; dlrussell728@gmail.com <dlrussell728@gmail.com>; rtaylor@wellspringliving.org <rtaylor@wellspringliving.org>; houseofdawn1@bellsouth.net <houseofdawn1@bellsouth.net>; admin@changingfacesus.org <admin@changingfacesus.org>; cole@pawsbetweenhomes.org <cole@pawsbetweenhomes.org>; abailie@atlantaregional.org <abailie@atlantaregional.org>; jfernandez@mercyatlanta.org <jfernandez@mercyatlanta.org>; Phyllis.Mainer@piedmont.org <Phyllis.Mainer@piedmont.org>; nharris@atlantalegalaid.org <nharris@atlantalegalaid.org>; agarner@covenanthouse.org <agarner@covenanthouse.org>; dvance@wabe.org <dvance@wabe.org>; ssmith@gatewayctr.org <ssmith@gatewayctr.org>; brian@firststepstaffing.com <brian@firststepstaffing.com>; shannon@ahimsahouse.org <shannon@ahimsahouse.org>; dazzmendavis@gmail.com <dazzmendavis@gmail.com>; yolanda@nicolshouseofhope.org <yolanda@nicolshouseofhope.org>; emilyraider18@gmail.com <emilyraider18@gmail.com>; leadoff365@gmail.com <leadoff365@gmail.com>; iharris@covenanthouse.org <iharris@covenanthouse.org>; djatou.coulibaly@dph.ga.gov <djatou.coulibaly@dph.ga.gov>; sharolyngriffin@caringworksinc.org <sharolyngriffin@caringworksinc.org>; tiffeny.calhoun.barnes@piedmont.org <tiffeny.calhoun.barnes@piedmont.org>; jdavis@covenantatlanta.org <jdavis@covenantatlanta.org>; moki@atlantapad.org <moki@atlantapad.org>; clydewilson1@hotmail.com <clydewilson1@hotmail.com>; dellis@hopeatlanta.org <dellis@hopeatlanta.org>; kimkay@angelsrescue.org <kimkay@angelsrescue.org>; keepshowinglove@gmail.com <keepshowinglove@gmail.com>; executivedirector@cliftonsanctuary.com <executivedirector@cliftonsanctuary.com>; csuggs@hopeatlanta.org <csuggs@hopeatlanta.org>; smithwj725@gmail.com <smithwj725@gmail.com>; mharkins@the3keys.org <mharkins@the3keys.org>; dominique30off44@gmail.com <dominique30off44@gmail.com>; twatkins@nicholashouse.org <twatkins@nicholashouse.org>; admin@churchbeyondwalls.com <admin@churchbeyondwalls.com>; kenyaandrew6313@gmail.com <kenyaandrew6313@gmail.com>; sholiday@acsatl.org <sholiday@acsatl.org>; rbaker@enterprisecommunity.org <rbaker@enterprisecommunity.org>; Sequoia.Kirby@fultoncountyga.gov

<Sequoia.Kirby@fultoncountyga.gov>; Mwilson@transhousingcoalition.org  
<Mwilson@transhousingcoalition.org>; tim@productivityconsultant.org <tim@productivityconsultant.org>;  
henrietta@first-step.us <henrietta@first-step.us>; officemanager@ahshome.org  
<officemanager@ahshome.org>; phunter@communityrestorationproject.org  
<phunter@communityrestorationproject.org>; heatherfranklin@bcmgeorgia.org  
<heatherfranklin@bcmgeorgia.org>; glorialeonard71@gmail.com <glorialeonard71@gmail.com>;  
rsimpkins@paragoncommunityliving.com <rsimpkins@paragoncommunityliving.com>;  
mike.rowicki@fultoncountyga.gov <mike.rowicki@fultoncountyga.gov>; clients@madhousers.org  
<clients@madhousers.org>; mscabiness@atlantaga.gov <mscabiness@atlantaga.gov>; mojanganz@ahrc-atl.org  
<mojanganz@ahrc-atl.org>; carlasmithjla@gmail.com <carlasmithjla@gmail.com>; wyackle@lvmgt.com  
<wyackle@lvmgt.com>; terryisaac@djj.state.ga.us <terryisaac@djj.state.ga.us>; akilah@serenityhouseatl.org  
<akilah@serenityhouseatl.org>; theclayhouse123@gmail.com <theclayhouse123@gmail.com>;  
blessingbagsowarmth@gmail.com <blessingbagsowarmth@gmail.com>; fireflyrd@yahoo.com  
<fireflyrd@yahoo.com>; ghostlightmater@yahoo.com <ghostlightmater@yahoo.com>;  
jenna.blankers@atlantamission.org <jenna.blankers@atlantamission.org>; kbraswell@fathersincorporated.com  
<kbraswell@fathersincorporated.com>; lisa@bookkeepingconcepts.com <lisa@bookkeepingconcepts.com>;  
ffitch30@gmail.com <ffitch30@gmail.com>; sakina.huffman@familiesfirst.org  
<sakina.huffman@familiesfirst.org>; tosha.ford@cefga.org <tosha.ford@cefga.org>; matt@opendoorsatl.org  
<matt@opendoorsatl.org>; meemaw1966@hotmail.com <meemaw1966@hotmail.com>;  
kellie.a.bryson@gmail.com <kellie.a.bryson@gmail.com>; jane.lee@uss.salvationarmy.org  
<jane.lee@uss.salvationarmy.org>; ashleestarr@caringworksinc.org <ashleestarr@caringworksinc.org>;  
cvarner@covenantatlanta.org <cvarner@covenantatlanta.org>; stanleysullivan85@yahoo.com  
<stanleysullivan85@yahoo.com>; spierce@yess4youth.org <spierce@yess4youth.org>;  
pparish@connectionshomes.org <pparish@connectionshomes.org>; rclack@pennrose.com  
<rclack@pennrose.com>; deonkerr@caringworksinc.org <deonkerr@caringworksinc.org>;  
atlmission@gmail.com <atlmission@gmail.com>; aleppertgomes@usf.edu <aleppertgomes@usf.edu>; david-  
leemattison@emmaushouse.org <david-leemattison@emmaushouse.org>; sgconsult09@yahoo.com  
<sgconsult09@yahoo.com>; jeanette.pollock@dca.ga.gov <jeanette.pollock@dca.ga.gov>;  
calabriakaren@gmail.com <calabriakaren@gmail.com>; hello@minicityatl.com <hello@minicityatl.com>;  
JelaniHousingShelter@gmail.com <JelaniHousingShelter@gmail.com>;  
theuprisingoffuturedynastys@gmail.com <theuprisingoffuturedynastys@gmail.com>;  
rhonda@rtaylorconsultingllc.com <rhonda@rtaylorconsultingllc.com>; wbryant@mercyatlanta.org  
<wbryant@mercyatlanta.org>; kljones@outlook.com <kljones@outlook.com>;  
ingrid@nehemiahprojectcdc.org <ingrid@nehemiahprojectcdc.org>; thefenixfoundation@gmail.com  
<thefenixfoundation@gmail.com>; hcasey@mustministries.org <hcasey@mustministries.org>;  
rachel@thelohm.org <rachel@thelohm.org>; ccoates@favorfamilies.hush.com  
<ccoates@favorfamilies.hush.com>; aj@atlantadowntown.com <aj@atlantadowntown.com>;  
ERIKKWILDER@GMAIL.COM <ERIKKWILDER@GMAIL.COM>; safety@l5pa.com <safety@l5pa.com>;  
LEElder@atlantaga.gov <LEElder@atlantaga.gov>; ifyagbasi@children1stcds.org  
<ifyagbasi@children1stcds.org>; tandrews@mercyatlanta.org <tandrews@mercyatlanta.org>;  
jrah278@gmail.com <jrah278@gmail.com>; dturner@hopeatlanta.org <dturner@hopeatlanta.org>;  
latajaar@gmail.com <latajaar@gmail.com>; jeremysims@mail.com <jeremysims@mail.com>;  
aberman@gsu.edu <aberman@gsu.edu>; donald.wilkerson@exodushouseno.com  
<donald.wilkerson@exodushouseno.com>; charris@greaterpineygrove.org <charris@greaterpineygrove.org>;  
ctarrance@stepuponsecond.org <ctarrance@stepuponsecond.org>; briscoebritt@gmail.com  
<briscoebritt@gmail.com>; branden.lewis@mecklenburgcountync.gov  
<branden.lewis@mecklenburgcountync.gov>; crand@agratefulmind.org <crand@agratefulmind.org>;  
amy@springboardstrategy.net <amy@springboardstrategy.net>; kellieb@firststepstaffing.com  
<kellieb@firststepstaffing.com>; wssutton47@gmail.com <wssutton47@gmail.com>; gdubose@ulgatl.org  
<gdubose@ulgatl.org>; terri@opendoorsatl.org <terri@opendoorsatl.org>; leslie.marshburn@gmail.com  
<leslie.marshburn@gmail.com>; lorrie@wellspringresource.com <lorrie@wellspringresource.com>;  
heather.clarson@atlantamission.org <heather.clarson@atlantamission.org>;  
Jennifer.Hutchinson@atlantamission.org <Jennifer.Hutchinson@atlantamission.org>;  
diana.mason@chris180.org <diana.mason@chris180.org>; spitre@atlantaga.gov <spitre@atlantaga.gov>;  
prsawyer@atlantaga.gov <prsawyer@atlantaga.gov>; Andrea.Giese-Sweat@va.gov <Andrea.Giese-  
Sweat@va.gov>; lavernkevon@yahoo.com <lavernkevon@yahoo.com>; Linda Pitts  
<lpitts@partnersforhome.org>; veronyka@safefhouseoutreach.org <veronyka@safefhouseoutreach.org>;

kvarjas@gsu.edu <kvarjas@gsu.edu>; lamarmack3@gmail.com <lamarmack3@gmail.com>;  
nrhodes@mariettashouse.org <nrhodes@mariettashouse.org>; lholloman@avlf.org <lholloman@avlf.org>;  
snelson-theus@pccihome.org <snelson-theus@pccihome.org>; april.edwards@va.gov  
<april.edwards@va.gov>; tonimichelle@snap4freedom.org <tonimichelle@snap4freedom.org>;  
omaxwell@ontherisefc.org <omaxwell@ontherisefc.org>; clientservices@furniturebankatlanta.org  
<clientservices@furniturebankatlanta.org>; jurban@pccihome.org <jurban@pccihome.org>;  
dominicjohnson@caringworksinc.org <dominicjohnson@caringworksinc.org>; victory.sayegh@vphealth.org  
<victory.sayegh@vphealth.org>; Navvab.McDaniels@padv.org <Navvab.McDaniels@padv.org>;  
jgreathouse@dch.ga.gov <jgreathouse@dch.ga.gov>; dawonshemeka@gmail.com  
<dawonshemeka@gmail.com>; vgibson@strive.org <vgibson@strive.org>;  
rachel.reynolds@atlantamission.org <rachel.reynolds@atlantamission.org>; reneelabrams@gmail.com  
<reneelabrams@gmail.com>; tameka@westsidefuturefund.org <tameka@westsidefuturefund.org>;  
Michelle@michellelittles.com <Michelle@michellelittles.com>; jenise.jefferson@atlantahousing.org  
<jenise.jefferson@atlantahousing.org>; cralston@thenestnursery.org <cralston@thenestnursery.org>;  
transhousingatlanta@gmail.com <transhousingatlanta@gmail.com>; cmhansen@gmh.edu  
<cmhansen@gmh.edu>; titanic30087@yahoo.com <titanic30087@yahoo.com>;  
eachoneteachonetogether@gmail.com <eachoneteachonetogether@gmail.com>;  
Tiffany.GreenAbdullah@imacentral.org <Tiffany.GreenAbdullah@imacentral.org>; jenkinsd1114@gmail.com  
<jenkinsd1114@gmail.com>; bradczerwonky@yahoo.com <bradczerwonky@yahoo.com>; luke@original-  
media.net <luke@original-media.net>; april.cline@shelterstoshutters.org <april.cline@shelterstoshutters.org>;  
hnryokafor@yahoo.com <hnryokafor@yahoo.com>; rcranford@gatewayctr.org <rcranford@gatewayctr.org>;  
ccollins@solomonstempleinc.org <ccollins@solomonstempleinc.org>; decaturcapoeira@gmail.com  
<decaturcapoeira@gmail.com>; jthomas@nicholashouse.org <jthomas@nicholashouse.org>;  
cranfordbec@gmail.com <cranfordbec@gmail.com>; lee69whitfield@yahoo.com  
<lee69whitfield@yahoo.com>; Burger, Ryan <Ryan.Burger@icf.com>; Melodie.Garrett@dca.ga.gov  
<Melodie.Garrett@dca.ga.gov>; monicamatthews@protonmail.com <monicamatthews@protonmail.com>;  
ashlee@firststepstaffing.com <ashlee@firststepstaffing.com>; beyondworthyig@gmail.com  
<beyondworthyig@gmail.com>; Ptdva@hotmail.com <Ptdva@hotmail.com>; awatkins@strive.org  
<awatkins@strive.org>; dschultz@georgiaworks.net <dschultz@georgiaworks.net>; mschuelke@pccihome.org  
<mschuelke@pccihome.org>; n.muhammad@tm2kinc.org <n.muhammad@tm2kinc.org>;  
senge.ngalame@mercyatlanta.org <senge.ngalame@mercyatlanta.org>; Kimberly.Zukowski@wellstar.org  
<Kimberly.Zukowski@wellstar.org>; aabishop@AtlantaGa.Gov <aabishop@AtlantaGa.Gov>;  
amber.larae.lloyd@gmail.com <amber.larae.lloyd@gmail.com>; szimbardi@centraloac.org  
<szimbardi@centraloac.org>; fred.coleman@dbhdd.ga.gov <fred.coleman@dbhdd.ga.gov>;  
jdunaway@705marketing.com <jdunaway@705marketing.com>; mark@theholzbergs.com  
<mark@theholzbergs.com>; lakisha@peopleschoicepayee.com <lakisha@peopleschoicepayee.com>;  
NVStallings@atlantaga.gov <NVStallings@atlantaga.gov>; Santanna Johnson (Terry)  
<sjohnson@partnersforhome.org>; pboorn@bellsouth.net <pboorn@bellsouth.net>; bpriceceo@cbwnintl.org  
<bpriceceo@cbwnintl.org>; missysplaceinc@gmail.com <missysplaceinc@gmail.com>;  
adairdarlene4@gmail.com <adairdarlene4@gmail.com>; jchesnut@seyfarth.com <jchesnut@seyfarth.com>;  
neena.beausoleil@Infy.org <neena.beausoleil@Infy.org>; erica\_headlee@Homedepot.com  
<erica\_headlee@Homedepot.com>; jandladvisors@gmail.com <jandladvisors@gmail.com>;  
baswell7@gmail.com <baswell7@gmail.com>; Keren.cadet@theshf.org <Keren.cadet@theshf.org>;  
Patsmassage55@yahoo.com <Patsmassage55@yahoo.com>; demetria.reid@padv.org  
<demetria.reid@padv.org>; Godvisontv@gmail.com <Godvisontv@gmail.com>; crhartrampf@gmail.com  
<crhartrampf@gmail.com>; Phyllis.hughes@atlantamission.org <Phyllis.hughes@atlantamission.org>;  
eharris@yess4youth.org <eharris@yess4youth.org>; hmarcovitch@gmail.com <hmarcovitch@gmail.com>;  
meredith@persenche.com <meredith@persenche.com>; ndurham@gmail.com <ndurham@gmail.com>;  
eomilami@hoseafeedthehungry.com <eomilami@hoseafeedthehungry.com>;  
rozell@anotherchanceofatlanta.org <rozell@anotherchanceofatlanta.org>; agd@almadvf.org  
<agd@almadvf.org>; darrylrsuttles@yahoo.com <darrylrsuttles@yahoo.com>;  
marycatherinepelham@caringworksinc.org <marycatherinepelham@caringworksinc.org>;  
asmith@communityfriendship.org <asmith@communityfriendship.org>; sam.mcelhaney@southstatebank.com  
<sam.mcelhaney@southstatebank.com>; rstanley@purposepossible.com <rstanley@purposepossible.com>;  
will@thegivingkitchen.org <will@thegivingkitchen.org>; jonathanpandry@gmail.com  
<jonathanpandry@gmail.com>; shalika@theprodigalsonsoupkitchen.org  
<shalika@theprodigalsonsoupkitchen.org>; dayna@opendoorsatl.org <dayna@opendoorsatl.org>;

jenifer.turner-reid@va.gov <jenifer.turner-reid@va.gov>; kim.mccarl@mercyatlanta.org  
 <kim.mccarl@mercyatlanta.org>; joa@fundingforsocialchange.com <joa@fundingforsocialchange.com>;  
 nhemphill@blackwomenschamber.org <nhemphill@blackwomenschamber.org>; chuckswaye@gmail.com  
 <chuckswaye@gmail.com>; malika@cityofrefugeatl.org <malika@cityofrefugeatl.org>;  
 joanna.bonsall@gmail.com <joanna.bonsall@gmail.com>; Chanda\_maddox@yahoo.com  
 <Chanda\_maddox@yahoo.com>; michael.sheppard@atlantamission.org  
 <michael.sheppard@atlantamission.org>; arleshia@poverty2prosperityga.com  
 <arleshia@poverty2prosperityga.com>; cleopatrajones789@yahoo.com <cleopatrajones789@yahoo.com>;  
 ssradvisors@gmail.com <ssradvisors@gmail.com>; grclay@atlantaGA.gov <grclay@atlantaGA.gov>;  
 cindy@agratefulmind.org <cindy@agratefulmind.org>; klaster@AtlantaGa.Gov <klaster@AtlantaGa.Gov>;  
 ahawkins@hopeatlanta.org <ahawkins@hopeatlanta.org>; jreed@gormanusa.com <jreed@gormanusa.com>;  
 Mary Catherine Pelham <mcpelham@partnersforhome.org>; awaddell@communityhousingcapital.org  
 <awaddell@communityhousingcapital.org>; millicent.knopp@acf.hhs.gov <millicent.knopp@acf.hhs.gov>;  
 intern@intowncm.org <intern@intowncm.org>; chalene@ymail.com <chalene@ymail.com>; kelsi@hocatl.org  
 <kelsi@hocatl.org>; renocity600cnm@gmail.com <renocity600cnm@gmail.com>;  
 lmcfaddin@mendculture.org <lmcfaddin@mendculture.org>; margaretschuelke@prihousing.org  
 <margaretschuelke@prihousing.org>; pbiswas@unitedwayatlanta.org <pbiswas@unitedwayatlanta.org>;  
 nikki@ccsatlanta.org <nikki@ccsatlanta.org>; projectufirst@gmail.com <projectufirst@gmail.com>;  
 jbeaty@thebrandbank.com <jbeaty@thebrandbank.com>; kayti@fundingforsocialchange.com  
 <kayti@fundingforsocialchange.com>; Vickigarver@gmail.com <Vickigarver@gmail.com>;  
 spakenham@covenanthouse.org <spakenham@covenanthouse.org>; asmith@hoseafeedthehungry.com  
 <asmith@hoseafeedthehungry.com>; james.broome@va.gov <james.broome@va.gov>;  
 Tracy.Jones@atlantahousing.org <Tracy.Jones@atlantahousing.org>; grangentj@gmail.com  
 <grangentj@gmail.com>; lucy\_hall@bellsouth.net <lucy\_hall@bellsouth.net>;  
 jtoole@communityfriendship.org <jtoole@communityfriendship.org>; franpatrick@caringworksinc.org  
 <franpatrick@caringworksinc.org>; tony@crossroadsatlanta.org <tony@crossroadsatlanta.org>;  
 craw6978@comcast.net <craw6978@comcast.net>; mlockhart@fathersincorporated.com  
 <mlockhart@fathersincorporated.com>; Anita.harris@fultoncountyga.gov <Anita.harris@fultoncountyga.gov>;  
 mowen69301@gmail.com <mowen69301@gmail.com>; kristin.schillig@fultoncountyga.gov  
 <kristin.schillig@fultoncountyga.gov>; refreshinglife247@gmail.com <refreshinglife247@gmail.com>;  
 mburton@the3keys.org <mburton@the3keys.org>; bjohnson@rscrainbow.org <bjohnson@rscrainbow.org>;  
 edejene@unitedwayatlanta.org <edejene@unitedwayatlanta.org>; drdebralclark@gmail.com  
 <drdebralclark@gmail.com>; shanaebowman74@gmail.com <shanaebowman74@gmail.com>;  
 servicesbytat@gmail.com <servicesbytat@gmail.com>; eloisewilliams@bcmatlanta.org  
 <eloisewilliams@bcmatlanta.org>; TPassuth@firstpresatl.org <TPassuth@firstpresatl.org>;  
 ectestimony1@gmail.com <ectestimony1@gmail.com>; kathleen@hopethrusoap.org  
 <kathleen@hopethrusoap.org>; shealane945@gmail.com <shealane945@gmail.com>;  
 kayhunterlcs@gmail.com <kayhunterlcs@gmail.com>

Good morning, Atlanta CoC members, partners, and community -

Partners for HOME, as the Collaborative Applicant for the CoC, is responsible for submitting the NOFO application to HUD. The application has two main parts:

- The approved **CoC Application**, which highlights all the work that the CoC partners and stakeholders have done over the past few years to address homelessness in the community, and
- The approved **Priority Listing**, which includes the ranked list of all the project applications.

Both of these documents are posted the PFH HUD CoC Program Competition webpage, which you can access by [clicking here](#).

This information has been sent to all applicants and will also be posted on social media to reach people who are not on the current email list.

If you have any questions about the postings, please let me know.

**On behalf of the CoC, I will submit the final documents prior to the HUD deadline of October 30, 8pm.**

Thank you for all you do, and have a great day!

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)  
NOFO Consultant  
[partnersforhome.org](http://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR HOME

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*



---

## Atlanta CoC FY24 HUD NOFO Application Update

---

**From** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Date** Mon 10/28/2024 6:45 AM

**To** Amy Zaremba <azaremba@partnersforhome.org>

**Bcc** satoniahendrix1@gmail.com <satoniahendrix1@gmail.com>; brokeia.richardson@vphealth.org <brokeia.richardson@vphealth.org>; nhoward@crossroadsatlanta.org <nhoward@crossroadsatlanta.org>; nexthomeministries@gmail.com <nexthomeministries@gmail.com>; johnc@newlife-ss.org <johnc@newlife-ss.org>; uniquefamillies@gmail.com <uniquefamillies@gmail.com>; Demetrius.Myatt@fultoncountyga.gov <Demetrius.Myatt@fultoncountyga.gov>; bolsterp@bellsouth.net <bolsterp@bellsouth.net>; jvastola@lvmgt.com <jvastola@lvmgt.com>; ssmith@the3keys.org <:ssmith@the3keys.org>; info@champsunitedhousing.com <info@champsunitedhousing.com>; tking1@gmh.edu <tking1@gmh.edu>; myowncrownresume@gmail.com <myowncrownresume@gmail.com>; tinishad4@gmail.com <tinishad4@gmail.com>; mattossusan@gmail.com <mattossusan@gmail.com>; acgensler@gmail.com <acgensler@gmail.com>; francis.sandra@gmail.com <francis.sandra@gmail.com>; cfisher@rebeccastent.org <cfisher@rebeccastent.org>; helentrouth@djj.state.ga.us <helentrouth@djj.state.ga.us>; dburnham@unitedwayatlanta.org <dburnham@unitedwayatlanta.org>; lauren.sandwich@emoryhealthcare.org <lauren.sandwich@emoryhealthcare.org>; cloverc@ahrc-atl.org <cloverc@ahrc-atl.org>; sue.smith@gpsn.org <sue.smith@gpsn.org>; socialwork@mlksrcollaborative.org <socialwork@mlksrcollaborative.org>; lhmarshburn@gmh.edu <lhmarshburn@gmh.edu>; kim@kimabrown.com <kim@kimabrown.com>; Tarcarnesia.A.Blackshear@hud.gov <Tarcarnesia.A.Blackshear@hud.gov>; ERLodging7@hopeatlanta.org <ERLodging7@hopeatlanta.org>; lianne@lmedesign.com <lianne@lmedesign.com>; Katha Blackwell <Katha.Blackwell@padv.org>; asantiago@destinationtomorrow.org <asantiago@destinationtomorrow.org>; latarshaholden@yahoo.com <latarshaholden@yahoo.com>; drice@cityofrefugeatl.org <drice@cityofrefugeatl.org>; bwalters@communityfriendship.org <bwalters@communityfriendship.org>; mia@opendoorsatl.org <mia@opendoorsatl.org>; marybethgore@bcmatlanta.org <marybethgore@bcmatlanta.org>; jamildiamond2006@gmail.com <jamildiamond2006@gmail.com>; drgas.tnshf@gmail.com <drgas.tnshf@gmail.com>; soulsuppliesatlanta@gmail.com <soulsuppliesatlanta@gmail.com>; csmangelsrefuge.cej@gmail.com <csmangelsrefuge.cej@gmail.com>; qwalker01@gmail.com <qwalker01@gmail.com>; LaShawna.Scott@wellstar.org <LaShawna.Scott@wellstar.org>; pszarowicz@atlantaregional.org <pszarowicz@atlantaregional.org>; lawrenceelder@caringsworksinc.org <lawrenceelder@caringsworksinc.org>; Nancy Zimmermann <nzimmermann@pccihome.org>; traveieslie2@gmail.com <traveieslie2@gmail.com>; S072399@GMAIL.COM <S072399@GMAIL.COM>; Karimah@raksha.org <Karimah@raksha.org>; afpybus@gmail.com <afpybus@gmail.com>; kyra.hattix@outlook.com <kyra.hattix@outlook.com>; yvonne@safehouseoutreach.org <yvonne@safehouseoutreach.org>; joy@servingcommunities.net <joy@servingcommunities.net>; ethompson@communityfriendship.org <ethompson@communityfriendship.org>; sball@enterprisecommunity.org <sball@enterprisecommunity.org>; amelia@firststepstaffing.com <amelia@firststepstaffing.com>; Cathryn Marchman <cmarchman@partnersforhome.org>; josh@safehouseoutreach.org <josh@safehouseoutreach.org>; saburtonwebb@atlantaga.gov <saburtonwebb@atlantaga.gov>; Jroberts@rra-midtown.org <Jroberts@rra-midtown.org>; cpellino@atlantaregional.org <cpellino@atlantaregional.org>; janice@jerusalemhouse.org <janice@jerusalemhouse.org>; halle@hopethrusoap.org <halle@hopethrusoap.org>; vnkulon2015@gmail.com <vnkulon2015@gmail.com>; thecrewshousehomelessshelter@gmail.com <thecrewshousehomelessshelter@gmail.com>; Dana.Johnson@atlantacss.org <Dana.Johnson@atlantacss.org>; mandy.eidson@self-help.org <mandy.eidson@self-help.org>; Jasmine Rockwell Heard <jrockwellheard@partnersforhome.org>; Alex Durkee <alex.durkee@icalliances.org>; ajmallon@uga.edu <ajmallon@uga.edu>; ssmith@community.solutions <:ssmith@community.solutions>; a.mcdaniel@theansleyschool.org <a.mcdaniel@theansleyschool.org>; Mychal.Cooper@va.gov <Mychal.Cooper@va.gov>; tanya.watkins@backonmyfeet.org <tanya.watkins@backonmyfeet.org>; stephanieargo@djj.state.ga.us <stephanieargo@djj.state.ga.us>; mellin@zabanparadiescenter.org

<mellin@zabanparadiescenter.org>; mgraves@wellspringliving.org <mgraves@wellspringliving.org>;  
jeff@frontlineresponse.org <jeff@frontlineresponse.org>; gregcole@bcmgeorgia.org  
<gregcole@bcmgeorgia.org>; contact@youthhousingcoalition.org <contact@youthhousingcoalition.org>;  
gshassociation@gmail.com <gshassociation@gmail.com>; mac@midtownassistancectr.org  
<mac@midtownassistancectr.org>; myahsrc@gmail.com <myahsrc@gmail.com>;  
info.dgw.consulting@gmail.com <info.dgw.consulting@gmail.com>; katina.fuller@wellstar.org  
<katina.fuller@wellstar.org>; mgraves@stepup.org <mgraves@stepup.org>; brittany@pointsourceyouth.org  
<brittany@pointsourceyouth.org>; caidenmlane23@gmail.com <caidenmlane23@gmail.com>;  
activistleeghee1982@gmail.com <activistleeghee1982@gmail.com>; shanicespeaks@gmail.com  
<shanicespeaks@gmail.com>; ddantzler@covenantatlanta.org <ddantzler@covenantatlanta.org>;  
andy@remerge.org <andy@remerge.org>; joycemcclendon@bellsouth.net <joycemcclendon@bellsouth.net>;  
mpollack@pollackpartners.com <mpollack@pollackpartners.com>; kbaker@solomonstempleinc.org  
<kbaker@solomonstempleinc.org>; kcramer@techbridge.org <kcramer@techbridge.org>;  
emainor@hopeatlanta.org <emainor@hopeatlanta.org>; peteinck@aol.com <peteinck@aol.com>;  
CZappa@stphilipscathedral.org <CZappa@stphilipscathedral.org>; ecgfrank01@gmail.com  
<ecgfrank01@gmail.com>; elizabeth@cityofrefugeatl.org <elizabeth@cityofrefugeatl.org>;  
Vallen@hopeatlanta.org <Vallen@hopeatlanta.org>; tarhesha.cooper@yahoo.com  
<tarhesha.cooper@yahoo.com>; daphneybarnett@djj.state.ga.us <daphneybarnett@djj.state.ga.us>;  
mel.sainvil@t2t.org <mel.sainvil@t2t.org>; maria.bolden@chris180.org <maria.bolden@chris180.org>;  
Dr.Alicia.Telfaire@gmail.com <Dr.Alicia.Telfaire@gmail.com>; mmontgomery@chambleega.gov  
<mmontgomery@chambleega.gov>; proactivecollaborativeliving@gmail.com  
<proactivecollaborativeliving@gmail.com>; info@ritas-helping-hand.org <info@ritas-helping-hand.org>;  
tracy@elizabethfoundation.org <tracy@elizabethfoundation.org>; marygrace@frontlinehousing.org  
<marygrace@frontlinehousing.org>; stephanie.linnane@intowncares.org  
<stephanie.linnane@intowncares.org>; mary@mnewtoncommunications.com  
<mary@mnewtoncommunications.com>; VICKIE.MOORE-CLARK@MERCYATLANTA.ORG <VICKIE.MOORE-  
CLARK@MERCYATLANTA.ORG>; mwolf@atlantahumane.org <mwolf@atlantahumane.org>;  
adrienne@poweratl.org <adrienne@poweratl.org>; Erin Wilson <ewilson@partnersforhome.org>;  
mihead@atlantaga.gov <mihead@atlantaga.gov>; grangentj@sbcglobal.net <grangentj@sbcglobal.net>;  
dhughes@the3keys.org <dhughes@the3keys.org>; one@ahimsahouse.org <one@ahimsahouse.org>;  
christina@snap4freedom.org <christina@snap4freedom.org>; jhewitt@gmh.edu <jhewitt@gmh.edu>;  
Kdutton@the3keys.org <Kdutton@the3keys.org>; quinterrawright@caringsworksinc.org  
<quinterrawright@caringsworksinc.org>; Aka325@yahoo.com <Aka325@yahoo.com>;  
dedriamedlock@aol.com <dedriamedlock@aol.com>; connectinggenerationsinc@gmail.com  
<connectinggenerationsinc@gmail.com>; rr5794391@gmail.com <rr5794391@gmail.com>;  
marycarolsfoundation@gmail.com <marycarolsfoundation@gmail.com>; agodfrey555@gmail.com  
<agodfrey555@gmail.com>; shightower@gacasa.org <shightower@gacasa.org>; devonezell@outlook.com  
<devonezell@outlook.com>; James.barrell@atlantamission.org <James.barrell@atlantamission.org>;  
veda.white@cefga.org <veda.white@cefga.org>; rholloway@gatewayctr.org <rholloway@gatewayctr.org>;  
jparham@unitedwayatlanta.org <jparham@unitedwayatlanta.org>; tamasiajohnson@gmail.com  
<tamasiajohnson@gmail.com>; lisa330@yahoo.com <lisa330@yahoo.com>; dboozer@atlantaga.gov  
<dboozer@atlantaga.gov>; sherri.mccoy76@gmail.com <sherri.mccoy76@gmail.com>; erica.roberts@best-  
fit.app <erica.roberts@best-fit.app>; info@ablehsc.org <info@ablehsc.org>; jqarles@AtlantaGa.Gov  
<jqarles@AtlantaGa.Gov>; cascadeaveneighborhood@gmail.com <cascadeaveneighborhood@gmail.com>;  
allison.thomason@wellstar.org <allison.thomason@wellstar.org>; charlesshackleford.htl@gmail.com  
<charlesshackleford.htl@gmail.com>; karinlovell@mac.com <karinlovell@mac.com>; mwynn@atlantaga.gov  
<mwynn@atlantaga.gov>; new28nu@gmail.com <new28nu@gmail.com>; goodpowr@outlook.com  
<goodpowr@outlook.com>; nrender@ourhousega.org <nrender@ourhousega.org>; Hollo215land@aol.com  
<Hollo215land@aol.com>; egayle@pushministry.org <egayle@pushministry.org>;  
rsmith@atlantadowntown.com <rsmith@atlantadowntown.com>; jwallace@mercyatlanta.org  
<jwallace@mercyatlanta.org>; ShearzInstitute@gmail.com <ShearzInstitute@gmail.com>;  
michelle.angalet@weinspirit.org <michelle.angalet@weinspirit.org>; chair@npu-s.org <chair@npu-s.org>;  
pdenisea2@gmail.com <pdenisea2@gmail.com>; avandalen@gatewayctr.org <avandalen@gatewayctr.org>;  
miaja1995@hotmail.com <miaja1995@hotmail.com>; afrika.cotton@fultoncountyga.gov  
<afrika.cotton@fultoncountyga.gov>; sisloveu@bellsouth.net <sisloveu@bellsouth.net>;  
register@sharonwinkler.org <register@sharonwinkler.org>; lsparkambassador@gmail.com  
<lsparkambassador@gmail.com>; azlr\_cares@azlrsorority.org <azlr\_cares@azlrsorority.org>;

rsheffy@atlantalegalaid.org <rsheffy@atlantalegalaid.org>; lholder@cathedralATL.org  
<lholder@cathedralATL.org>; rachel@opendoorsatl.org <rachel@opendoorsatl.org>; julianna@atlantapad.org  
<julianna@atlantapad.org>; jmendel@investwithroots.com <jmendel@investwithroots.com>;  
Michael\_Rainey@shepherd.org <Michael\_Rainey@shepherd.org>; smayberry@GMH.EDU  
<smayberry@GMH.EDU>; mfallen-bobo@stepuponsecond.org <mfallen-bobo@stepuponsecond.org>;  
rware@gmh.edu <rware@gmh.edu>; ddrdash38@gmail.com <ddrdash38@gmail.com>;  
mlee@designstyles4livn.com <mlee@designstyles4livn.com>; itsjustus4us@gmail.com  
<itsjustus4us@gmail.com>; BKerr-Caesar@mercyatlanta.org <BKerr-Caesar@mercyatlanta.org>;  
info@factstothrive.org <info@factstothrive.org>; debbiedudek@gmail.com <debbiedudek@gmail.com>;  
pozvets@yahoo.com <pozvets@yahoo.com>; jill.mays@dbhdd.ga.gov <jill.mays@dbhdd.ga.gov>;  
redeematlanta@gmail.com <redeematlanta@gmail.com>; Darlene@anotherchanceofatlanta.org  
<Darlene@anotherchanceofatlanta.org>; proposals@equusworks.com <proposals@equusworks.com>;  
knoxocallaghan90@bellsouth.net <knoxocallaghan90@bellsouth.net>; lepp\_ef@mercer.edu  
<lepp\_ef@mercer.edu>; jasmine@snap4freedom.org <jasmine@snap4freedom.org>;  
daryl.morgan@emoryhealthcare.org <daryl.morgan@emoryhealthcare.org>; nmitchem@gmail.com  
<nmitchem@gmail.com>; sarah.kahn@cloudburstgroup.com <sarah.kahn@cloudburstgroup.com>;  
RUBYLHOWARD@COMCAST.NET <RUBYLHOWARD@COMCAST.NET>; paigekeller@icloud.com  
<paigekeller@icloud.com>; steminstitute.org@gmail.com <steminstitute.org@gmail.com>;  
jonathan@faithandvalor.com <jonathan@faithandvalor.com>; sarah.pakenham@veohero.org  
<sarah.pakenham@veohero.org>; maryfrances.kenion@icf.com <maryfrances.kenion@icf.com>;  
homesforhopecorp@gmail.com <homesforhopecorp@gmail.com>;  
tamara.sinkfield.lewis@emoryhealthcare.org <tamara.sinkfield.lewis@emoryhealthcare.org>;  
vicar@churchofthecommonground.org <vicar@churchofthecommonground.org>; bboyd@redeemer.org  
<bboyd@redeemer.org>; JBuehner@Yess4youth.org <JBuehner@Yess4youth.org>;  
james@4horsemenservices.org <james@4horsemenservices.org>; tangerinelily@gmail.com  
<tangerinelily@gmail.com>; Precious.dw2k20@gmail.com <Precious.dw2k20@gmail.com>;  
snorris@unitedwayatlanta.org <snorris@unitedwayatlanta.org>; cassandra@recoveryconsultants.org  
<cassandra@recoveryconsultants.org>; Radiantsreason@gmail.com <Radiantsreason@gmail.com>;  
val@womenofgilgal.org <val@womenofgilgal.org>; dl@aipbuzz.com <dl@aipbuzz.com>;  
mgaston2126@gmail.com <mgaston2126@gmail.com>; lisa.mize@northside.com <lisa.mize@northside.com>;  
ihannon@covenantatlanta.org <ihannon@covenantatlanta.org>; akirkland@centraloac.org  
<akirkland@centraloac.org>; brijects@gmail.com <brijects@gmail.com>; jenna.lee@chris180.org  
<jenna.lee@chris180.org>; noblenelson@lifeshineministries.org <noblenelson@lifeshineministries.org>;  
transformingyouinc@gmail.com <transformingyouinc@gmail.com>; ccrawford131@gmail.com  
<ccrawford131@gmail.com>; georgee.corley@fultoncountyga.gov <georgee.corley@fultoncountyga.gov>;  
cvanzant@communityfriendship.org <cvanzant@communityfriendship.org>; JWebb@AtlantaGa.Gov  
<JWebb@AtlantaGa.Gov>; livelikemikehomes@gmail.com <livelikemikehomes@gmail.com>;  
bottomupthinkingllc@gmail.com <bottomupthinkingllc@gmail.com>; karen@currydavisgroup.com  
<karen@currydavisgroup.com>; caringforothers1@bellsouth.net <caringforothers1@bellsouth.net>;  
anniebarber08@gmail.com <anniebarber08@gmail.com>; pduboise@the3keys.org  
<pduboise@the3keys.org>; president@madhousers.org <president@madhousers.org>;  
destinyjrichmond@gmail.com <destinyjrichmond@gmail.com>; grachel@gjp.org <grachel@gjp.org>;  
rubenii@att.net <rubenii@att.net>; tomonnocheeks@yahoo.com <tomonnocheeks@yahoo.com>;  
bmitchell@questcommunities.org <bmitchell@questcommunities.org>; Constance.barry@t2t.org  
<Constance.barry@t2t.org>; lizzettestratton@gmail.com <lizzettestratton@gmail.com>;  
shill@nicholashouse.org <shill@nicholashouse.org>; brooke.santos@emoryhealthcare.org  
<brooke.santos@emoryhealthcare.org>; aviance.jenkins@amerigroup.com  
<aviance.jenkins@amerigroup.com>; eparks@river-edge.org <eparks@river-edge.org>;  
joseph@steppingstonemission.net <joseph@steppingstonemission.net>; bakerjameka@gmail.com  
<bakerjameka@gmail.com>; dpetrone@mendculture.org <dpetrone@mendculture.org>;  
jguynn@pebbletossers.org <jguynn@pebbletossers.org>; lharvey@atlantaga.gov <lharvey@atlantaga.gov>;  
msivley@svdpgeorgia.org <msivley@svdpgeorgia.org>; Tanishagardner@gmail.com  
<Tanishagardner@gmail.com>; jpalmer@hopeatlanta.org <jpalmer@hopeatlanta.org>;  
sbuckley@hopeatlanta.org <sbuckley@hopeatlanta.org>; mschultz@gcn.org <mschultz@gcn.org>;  
Shanequa.Bonvillain@mercyatlanta.org <Shanequa.Bonvillain@mercyatlanta.org>; ron.alston@suntrust.com  
<ron.alston@suntrust.com>; kathy.colbenson@chris180.org <kathy.colbenson@chris180.org>;  
jasmineb@ahrc-atl.org <jasmineb@ahrc-atl.org>; kimberly.livsey@atlantamission.org

<kimberly.livsey@atlantamission.org>; denisefisher9040@gmail.com <denisefisher9040@gmail.com>;  
martmerri@yahoo.com <martmerri@yahoo.com>; admin@melanatedpearl.org <admin@melanatedpearl.org>;  
info@thedharmaproject.org <info@thedharmaproject.org>; dbenton@gatewayctr.org  
<dbenton@gatewayctr.org>; manderson@furniturebankatlanta.org <manderson@furniturebankatlanta.org>;  
bwilliams@hoseafeedthehungry.com <bwilliams@hoseafeedthehungry.com>; greg.white@decaturga.com  
<greg.white@decaturga.com>; tarinrussell@bcmatlanta.org <tarinrussell@bcmatlanta.org>;  
derrick.henderson@buildingtf.org <derrick.henderson@buildingtf.org>; flournoy@vossolutions.org  
<flournoy@vossolutions.org>; aabram@gmh.edu <aabram@gmh.edu>; lori@cliftonsanctuary.com  
<lori@cliftonsanctuary.com>; k.kennedy@theansleyschool.org <k.kennedy@theansleyschool.org>;  
alex.ukani@icalliances.org <alex.ukani@icalliances.org>; bthomas@pennrose.com <bthomas@pennrose.com>;  
dpetty@gmh.edu <dpetty@gmh.edu>; maurice@fotsministries.org <maurice@fotsministries.org>;  
lunamoon2@protonmail.com <lunamoon2@protonmail.com>; fwatson@atlantaga.gov  
<fwatson@atlantaga.gov>; prankin@atlantaga.gov <prankin@atlantaga.gov>;  
tensley.almand@atlantamission.org <tensley.almand@atlantamission.org>; mlittle@unitedwayatlanta.org  
<mlittle@unitedwayatlanta.org>; kcadet@strive.org <kcadet@strive.org>; jgrant2@emory.edu  
<jgrant2@emory.edu>; e.okorie@tm2kinc.org <e.okorie@tm2kinc.org>; Susan.Smith@padv.org  
<Susan.Smith@padv.org>; nplehn@unitedwayatlanta.org <nplehn@unitedwayatlanta.org>;  
tasha.brown@acf.hhs.gov <tasha.brown@acf.hhs.gov>; missy.gutelius@wellstar.org  
<missy.gutelius@wellstar.org>; jctribby@atlantaga.gov <jctribby@atlantaga.gov>; thereznick@gmail.com  
<thereznick@gmail.com>; amartin@pennrose.com <amartin@pennrose.com>; clittle@erc-inc.org  
<clittle@erc-inc.org>; deidre.howell@va.gov <deidre.howell@va.gov>; vwalker@pccihome.org  
<vwalker@pccihome.org>; sfreynolds@atlantaga.gov <sfreynolds@atlantaga.gov>; nkeiser@houseatl.org  
<nkeiser@houseatl.org>; anitalawbeaty@aol.com <anitalawbeaty@aol.com>; mitch.brown@rrc-atl.org  
<mitch.brown@rrc-atl.org>; mbannerhtl@gmail.com <mbannerhtl@gmail.com>;  
paula.kupersmith@gmail.com <paula.kupersmith@gmail.com>; benjamingraham221@yahoo.com  
<benjamingraham221@yahoo.com>; chuck@safehouseoutreach.org <chuck@safehouseoutreach.org>;  
mvlkovic@enterprisecommunity.org <mvlkovic@enterprisecommunity.org>;  
paula.wilson@uss.salvationarmy.org <paula.wilson@uss.salvationarmy.org>; kgibson@disabilitylink.org  
<kgibson@disabilitylink.org>; amurray@stepuponsecond.org <amurray@stepuponsecond.org>;  
stephanieburkes@caringworksinc.org <stephanieburkes@caringworksinc.org>;  
rosineniyungeko@bcmgeorgia.org <rosineniyungeko@bcmgeorgia.org>; bobbi@tullfoundation.org  
<bobbi@tullfoundation.org>; bmjohnson@atlantapublicschools.us <bmjohnson@atlantapublicschools.us>;  
aswilliams@hopeatlanta.org <aswilliams@hopeatlanta.org>; futchv@aetna.com <futchv@aetna.com>;  
digioia.katelyn@gmail.com <digioia.katelyn@gmail.com>; frantzfortune.veo@gmail.com  
<frantzfortune.veo@gmail.com>; molly.a.vetter@hud.gov <molly.a.vetter@hud.gov>;  
drew.walston@mightyherohomes.org <drew.walston@mightyherohomes.org>; info@watkinsrealtyco.com  
<info@watkinsrealtyco.com>; cbarringer@openhandatlanta.org <cbarringer@openhandatlanta.org>;  
rfps@sfg-inc.net <rfps@sfg-inc.net>; darci@parkavebaptist.com <darci@parkavebaptist.com>;  
darlene@darlenemathewsinc.com <darlene@darlenemathewsinc.com>; sean.monroe@atlantahousing.org  
<sean.monroe@atlantahousing.org>; caminnis@gmh.edu <caminnis@gmh.edu>; loann23@yahoo.com  
<loann23@yahoo.com>; linda.pernell@wellstar.org <linda.pernell@wellstar.org>;  
carolyn.booth@uss.salvationarmy.org <carolyn.booth@uss.salvationarmy.org>; ritashelpinghand@outlook.com  
<ritashelpinghand@outlook.com>; arkishya@joukind.org <arkishya@joukind.org>;  
belovedcommunityinc@gmail.com <belovedcommunityinc@gmail.com>; michael.nolan@intowncm.org  
<michael.nolan@intowncm.org>; vdallen2000@yahoo.com <vdallen2000@yahoo.com>;  
ashoemaker@fultonanimalservices.com <ashoemaker@fultonanimalservices.com>; Youth Action Board  
<yab@partnersforhome.org>; peterrockcrowfoot@gmail.com <peterrockcrowfoot@gmail.com>;  
casemanager@calvaryrefuge.org <casemanager@calvaryrefuge.org>; samanthaterry17@outlook.com  
<samanthaterry17@outlook.com>; amurillo@atlantaga.gov <amurillo@atlantaga.gov>;  
wlmcginniss@gmail.com <wlmcginniss@gmail.com>; connect@bridgeoflightatl.org  
<connect@bridgeoflightatl.org>; jane.arney@atlantamission.org <jane.arney@atlantamission.org>;  
acampbell@unitedwayatlanta.org <acampbell@unitedwayatlanta.org>; william.hughes@va.gov  
<william.hughes@va.gov>; hopefulhavenhousing@gmail.com <hopefulhavenhousing@gmail.com>;  
cdrummond@communityfriendship.org <cdrummond@communityfriendship.org>; Jmmmjones@gmail.com  
<Jmmmjones@gmail.com>; d.devraj@gmail.com <d.devraj@gmail.com>; roseabby100@gmail.com  
<roseabby100@gmail.com>; f.xavier.roberts@gmail.com <f.xavier.roberts@gmail.com>;  
henra@parkavebaptist.com <henra@parkavebaptist.com>; tracieharps@yahoo.com

<tracieharps@yahoo.com>; latonya.holliday@gmail.com <latonya.holliday@gmail.com>;  
 azaremba1478@gmail.com <azaremba1478@gmail.com>; mgaston@acsatl.org <mgaston@acsatl.org>;  
 3cwhitehead@gmail.com <3cwhitehead@gmail.com>; letitia.williams@gmail.com  
 <letitia.williams@gmail.com>; jfahed@covenantatlanta.org <jfahed@covenantatlanta.org>;  
 richardbkelllogg@gmail.com <richardbkelllogg@gmail.com>; Darian.d.mcdowell@gmail.com  
 <Darian.d.mcdowell@gmail.com>; tammy.milner@chris180.org <tammy.milner@chris180.org>;  
 info@chopart.org <info@chopart.org>; germaine0102@gmail.com <germaine0102@gmail.com>;  
 aredd@covenanthouse.org <aredd@covenanthouse.org>; woodsmisty35@yahoo.com  
 <woodsmisty35@yahoo.com>; paulandlisacox@comcast.net <paulandlisacox@comcast.net>;  
 staylor@smcmed.com <staylor@smcmed.com>; emiller@gatewayctr.org <emiller@gatewayctr.org>;  
 kpalumbo@cfgreateratlanta.org <kpalumbo@cfgreateratlanta.org>; simirror.harris@padv.org  
 <simirror.harris@padv.org>; erin@thegivingkitchen.org <erin@thegivingkitchen.org>;  
 vivian.s@houseofglobalization.org <vivian.s@houseofglobalization.org>; kim@chrysalislab.org  
 <kim@chrysalislab.org>; rbazel@svidpgeorgia.org <rbazel@svidpgeorgia.org>; ladams@questcommunities.org  
 <ladams@questcommunities.org>; carolcollard@caringworksinc.org <carolcollard@caringworksinc.org>;  
 robyn.andrews@csh.org <robyn.andrews@csh.org>; kadesheconomic@gmail.com  
 <kadesheconomic@gmail.com>; lgammons@stepup.org <lgammons@stepup.org>;  
 carlajackson1@bellsouth.net <carlajackson1@bellsouth.net>; INFO@POWERATL.ORG  
 <INFO@POWERATL.ORG>; sboston@pccihome.org <sboston@pccihome.org>;  
 support@pureneedservices.org <support@pureneedservices.org>; kfields@communityfriendship.org  
 <kfields@communityfriendship.org>; carmontecoleman794@gmail.com <carmontecoleman794@gmail.com>;  
 Ed.Duda@gilead.com <Ed.Duda@gilead.com>; dm528@cornell.edu <dm528@cornell.edu>; courtney@sfg-  
 inc.net <courtney@sfg-inc.net>; auriell.n.towner.13@outlook.com <auriell.n.towner.13@outlook.com>;  
 menglehart@zabanparadiescenter.org <menglehart@zabanparadiescenter.org>; nancy.friauf@padv.org  
 <nancy.friauf@padv.org>; tiffinirahmaad@caringworksinc.org <tiffinirahmaad@caringworksinc.org>;  
 mlittlejr@unitedwayatlanta.org <mlittlejr@unitedwayatlanta.org>; ejh@rh-law.com <ejh@rh-law.com>;  
 rchernikoff@hopeatlanta.org <rchernikoff@hopeatlanta.org>; loisbear@msn.com <loisbear@msn.com>;  
 lauren.hopper@mercyatlanta.org <lauren.hopper@mercyatlanta.org>; freda.lyon@wellstar.org  
 <freda.lyon@wellstar.org>; abauer@atlantadowntown.com <abauer@atlantadowntown.com>;  
 bec@atlantapad.org <bec@atlantapad.org>; ntoodle@the3keys.org <ntoodle@the3keys.org>;  
 drakes@equinoxcapitalpartners.com <drakes@equinoxcapitalpartners.com>; letheamusicent@gmail.com  
 <letheamusicent@gmail.com>; carol.e.knight@oakstreethealth.com <carol.e.knight@oakstreethealth.com>;  
 divineconnectionsarise@gmail.com <divineconnectionsarise@gmail.com>; bell@connections.org  
 <bell@connections.org>; jhobson@helpinghandsgeorgia.com <jhobson@helpinghandsgeorgia.com>;  
 Lcade@ourhousega.org <Lcade@ourhousega.org>; todd.hermon@bobbydodd.org  
 <todd.hermon@bobbydodd.org>; jholloway@unitedwayatlanta.org <jholloway@unitedwayatlanta.org>;  
 openroadliving@aol.com <openroadliving@aol.com>; linda.ross@veohero.org <linda.ross@veohero.org>;  
 WilliamsS40@aetna.com <WilliamsS40@aetna.com>; digioia.jonathan@gmail.com  
 <digioia.jonathan@gmail.com>; apumphrey@covenantatlanta.org <apumphrey@covenantatlanta.org>;  
 ksinha@civicga.org <ksinha@civicga.org>; kate.tettamant@dca.ga.gov <kate.tettamant@dca.ga.gov>;  
 emersonjo@hotmail.com <emersonjo@hotmail.com>; tlwyer@ourhousega.org <tlwyer@ourhousega.org>;  
 dlester-drew@zabanparadiescenter.org <dlester-drew@zabanparadiescenter.org>

Good morning, Atlanta CoC members, partners, and community -

Partners for HOME, as the Collaborative Applicant for the CoC, is responsible for submitting the NOFO application to HUD. The application has two main parts:

- The approved **CoC Application**, which highlights all the work that the CoC partners and stakeholders have done over the past few years to address homelessness in the community, and
- The approved **Priority Listing**, which includes the ranked list of all the project applications.

Both of these documents are posted the PFH HUD CoC Program Competition webpage, which you can access by [clicking here](#).

This information has been sent to all applicants and will also be posted on social media to reach people who are not on the current email list.

If you have any questions about the postings, please let me know.

**On behalf of the CoC, I will submit the final documents prior to the HUD deadline of October 30, 8pm.**

Thank you for all you do, and have a great day!

--az

Amy Zaremba (she • her)  
NOFO Consultant  
[partnersforhome.org](http://partnersforhome.org)

PARTNERS FOR HOME

*Due to my work and life schedule, I often send and respond to email at non-traditional hours. Please know that I do not expect responses at the same time. Also, similarly, because of my schedule, email is the best way to reach me. However, if needed, a phone number I can be reached at is 404-490-0220. But, I would suggest email first and only calling if you do not receive an email response in a timely manner. Thank you!*

Public posting of CoC Approved Consolidated  
Application and Priority List

Partners for HOME is committed to providing equal access to its services and opportunities to all people regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, disability, or age. To request a reasonable accommodation or alternate format or language, please [email Partners for HOME](#).

On October 8, 2024, the Governing Council voted to approve the Priority List that will be included with the Atlanta CoC application to HUD for the FY2024 NOFO and all applicants were notified of their ranking and funding level. On October 9, 2024, an email was sent to the CoC mailing list, which includes all CoC members, stakeholders, partners, and funders. Postings were also made on October 9, 2024, on various Collaborative Applicant's, Partners for HOME, and media sites, in an effort to reach the general public. [Click here to view the Priority List](#).

Partners for Home, as the Atlanta CoC Collaborative Applicant, announces the Consolidated Application for the FY24 CoC Program Competition!

[Click here](#) to review the approved Consolidated Application.

[Click here](#) to review the approved Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all new and renewal project listings.

If you have any questions or comments about the approved application, please [email Amy Zaremba](#).

Important Dates

Communications

Applications from Previous Years

**Wednesday, July 17, 11am (eastern)** – Mandatory Meeting for all Renewal Applicants and PFH Subgrantee Renewal Applicants (if you did not get an invite, please [email Partners for HOME](#))

- [FY24 Renewal Application \(pdf\)](#) (for reference only)
- [FY24 Renewal Project Evaluation and Scoring Standards \(updated 07/20/2024\)](#)
- [FY24 Renewal Project Data Calculation Explanations for Objective Scoring Criteria](#)
- [FY24 New Application Training \(08/16/24\)](#)
- [FY24 New Application Training \(08/20/24\)](#)
- [FY24 New Application Training Slides](#)
- [FY24 New Project Online Application Link](#)
- [FY24 New Project Application \(pdf\)](#) (for reference only)
- [FY24 New Project Scoring Tool](#)
- [FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Online Application Link](#)
- [FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Application \(pdf\)](#) (for reference only)
- [FY24 New Project DV Bonus Project Scoring Tool](#)
- [FY24 New Project Budget Template \(must be included with new project and DV bonus applications\)](#)
- [Sample Housing Leverage Letter – Template](#)
- [Sample Healthcare Leverage Letter – Template](#)
- [Estimated ARD Amounts, including Bonus and DV Amounts](#)
- [FY24 Priority List \(local doc\)](#)
- [Atlanta CoC FY24 Consolidated Application to submit to HUD – draft](#)
- [Atlanta CoC FY24 Priority Listing for Reallocation to submit to HUD – draft](#)

FY24 HUD Info

- [FY24 Grant Inventory Worksheet and Related Info](#)
- [FY24 and FY25 HUD CoC NOFO](#)
- [FY24 CoC Application Detailed Instructions](#)



HUD CoC Program Competition - xCoC-App\_drafttopostandsend.pdf xCoC-Priority-List\_drafttopostands x +

https://partnersforhome.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/CoC-App\_drafttopostandsend.pdf

Draw | | A | a | Ask Copilot

1 of 228

GA-500  
COC\_REG\_2024\_215063

Applicant: City of Atlanta Continuum of Care  
Project: GA-500 CoC Registration FY2024

## Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete.

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

**Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions**

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

**Attachments**

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

FY2024 CoC ApplicationPage 110/27/2024

Search

10:17 AM  
10/27/2024

HUD CoC Program Competition - xCoC-App\_drafttopostandsend.pdf xCoC-Priority-List\_drafttopostandsend.pdf

https://partnersforhome.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/CoC-Priority-List\_drafttopostandsend.pdf

Draw | | A | a | Ask Copilot

1 of 25

Search | Print | Save | Share | Settings | Edit with Acrobat

Applicant: City of Atlanta Continuum of Care

Project: GA-500 CoC Registration FY2024

GA-500  
COC\_REG\_2024\_215063

### Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:
- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHDP Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all CoC project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2024 - FY 2025 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHDP Renewal Project Listing (All Rounds); and
- YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listing.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.  
[https://www.hud.gov/program\\_offices/comm\\_planning/coc/competition](https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition)

Project Priority List FY2024	Page 1	10/27/2024
------------------------------	--------	------------

arch

10:19 AM  
10/27/2024

Facebook

X

https://www.facebook.com/partnersforhome

Search Facebook

Partners for HOME

Intro

Partners for HOME is on a mission to end homelessness in the City of Atlanta.

Page · Nonprofit organization

Atlanta, GA

info@partnersforhome.org

partnersforhome.org

★ 94% recommend (5 Reviews)

See all photos

PHOTOS

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

Partners for HOME

Partners for HOME, as the Collaborative Applicant for the CoC, is responsible for submitting the FY24 CoC NOFO application to HUD. These documents are posted on the PFH HUD CoC Program Competition webpage, which you can access by clicking here[http://partnersforhome.org/hud-coc-program-competition/](#). The complete application will be submitted to HUD prior to the October 30th deadline.

FY2024 HUD CoC NOFO Update

Like Comment Copy Share

Write a comment...

Privacy · Consumer Health Privacy · Terms · Advertising · Ad Choices · Cookies · More - Meta © 2024

# **FY24 and FY25 CoC Application Attachments**

**GA-500  
Atlanta CoC**

**HUD Homeless Data Exchange (HDX)  
Competition Report**

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

### HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV <sup>†</sup> Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds
ES	1,576	1,360	1,540	0	1,540	88.3%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	796	756	796	0	796	95.0%
RRH	856	825	825	0	825	100.0%
PSH	1,444	1,116	1,444	0	1,444	77.3%
OPH	534	534	534	0	534	100.0%
Total	5,206	4,591	5,139	0	5,139	89.3%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

For HIC conducted in January/

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV <sup>†</sup> Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster**	Adjusted Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds
ES	1,576	36	36	0	36	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	796	0	0	0	0	NA
RRH	856	31	31	0	31	100.00%
PSH	1,444	0	0	0	0	NA
OPH	534	0	0	0	0	NA
Total	5,206	67	67	0	67	100.00%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

For HIC conducted in January/

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	1,576	1,396	1,576	88.58%
SH	0	0	0	NA
TH	796	756	796	94.97%
RRH	856	856	856	100.00%
PSH	1,444	1,116	1,444	77.29%
OPH	534	534	534	100.00%
Total	5,206	4,658	5,206	89.47%

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

### Rapid Re-housing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

Metric	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
RRH beds available to serve all pops. on the HIC	710	956	1,024	559	856

- 1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher
- 2) \*This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
- 3) \*\*This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
- 4) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.
- 5) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").
- 6) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").
- 7) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.



# 2024 HDX Competition Report

This workbook contains summary information about your CoC's data as it was entered into HDX 1.0 and HDX 2.0 for your use as part of the 2024 Competition.

### To Print this Workbook:

This document has been configured as printable with preset print areas of relevant sections. To print it, go to "File", then "Print", then select "Print Entire Workbook" or "Print Active Sheets" depending on your needs.

### To Save This Workbook as a PDF:

Click the "File" Tab, then click "Save As" or "Save a Copy", then click "Browse" or "More Options" then select "PDF", click "Options", select "Entire Workbook", press "OK", and click "Save". These instructions may change depending on your version of Microsoft Excel.

### On Accessibility, Navigability, and Printability:

This workbook attempts to maximize accessibility, navigability, printability, and ease of use. Merged cells have been avoided. All tables and text boxes have been given names. Extraneous rows and columns outside printed ranges have been hidden. Formulas may include references to hidden rows and columns or data tables. For ease of use, these referenced sources have been hidden but can be unhidden by any user at any time. Raw data sources contained in this workbook are named according to the module and fiscal year from which they originate - e.g. "HIC\_2024" is the Housing Inventory Count raw data from Fiscal Year 2024.

### For Questions:

If you have questions, please reach out to HUD via the "Ask a Question" page, <https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/> and choose "HDX" as the topic.

V 2024.42.1

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - Summary

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

### HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX 2.0
2023 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).	November 2023 to January of 2024
2023 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).**	February 2024 to March 2024
2024 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024
2024 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024

1) FY = Fiscal Year  
2) \*This considers all extensions where they were provided.  
2) \*\*"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY23 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY 2022 (10/1/21 - 9/30/22) were also accepted during the data collection period, but these previous year's submissions are voluntarily and are not required.

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

### LSA Usability Status 2023

Category	EST AO	EST AC	EST CO	RRH AO	RRH AC	RRH CO	PSH AO	PSH AC	PSH CO
Fully Usable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Partially Usable									
Not Usable									

### EST

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	7,158	NA	7,830
AO	5,852	NA	6,236
AC	1,329	NA	1,599
CO	7	NA	7

### RRH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	2,747	NA	1,819
AO	1,190	NA	963
AC	1,560	NA	860
CO	0	NA	0

## **2024 HDX Competition Report**

### 2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

## 2024 HDX Competition Report

### 2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

#### PSH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	2,177	NA	1,586
AO	1,639	NA	1,235
AC	540	NA	350
CO	0	NA	0

1) Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing;

PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children

2) Because people have multiple stays in shelter over the course of a year and stay in different household configurations, a single person can be counted in more than one household type.

Therefore, the sum of the number of people by household type may be greater than the unique count of people.

3) Total Sheltered count only includes those served in HMIS participating projects reported by your CoC.

4) For CoCs that experienced mergers during any of these reporting periods, historical data will include only the original CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client’s entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	6,553	75.8	36.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	7,836	106.8	49.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client’s Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client’s entry date, effectively extending the client’s entry date backward in time. This “adjusted entry date” is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client’s actual entry date.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to “housing move in”)	7,407	575.2	208.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to “housing move in”)	8,665	573.4	223.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
Metric	Count	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns4	Count	% of Returns6	Count	% of Returns8
Exit was from SO	699	53	7.6%	35	5.0%	34	4.9%	122	17.5%
Exit was from ES	1,004	113	11.3%	51	5.1%	100	10.0%	264	26.3%
Exit was from TH	330	45	13.6%	20	6.1%	32	9.7%	97	29.4%
Exit was from SH	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Exit was from PH	1,385	55	4.0%	32	2.3%	63	4.6%	150	10.8%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	3,418	266	7.8%	138	4.0%	229	6.7%	633	18.5%



2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	7,952
Emergency Shelter Total	6,668
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	1,906

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

### Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

#### Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	395
Number of adults with increased earned income	17
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	4.3%

## 2024 HDX Competition Report

### 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

#### Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	395
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	144
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	36.5%

#### Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	395
Number of adults with increased total income	160
Percentage of adults who increased total income	40.5%

#### Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	287
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	41
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	14.3%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	287
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	43
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	15.0%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	287
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	80
Percentage of adults who increased total income	27.9%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	6,803
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	1,452
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	5,351

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	8,265
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	2,023
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	6,242

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD’s Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	3,037
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	166
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	666
% Successful exits	27.4%

## 2024 HDX Competition Report

### 2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

#### Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	6,358
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	1,228
% Successful exits	19.3%

#### Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	2,018
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	1,871
% Successful exits/retention	92.7%



2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	3,532	1,402	1,865	1,326	902
Total Leavers (HMIS)	2,826	664	376	507	744
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	1,340	107	57	43	213
Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	47.4%	16.1%	15.2%	8.5%	28.6%

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - SPM Notes

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

### Notes For Each SPM Measure

Note: Cells may need to be resized to accomodate notes with lots of text.

Measure		Notes
Measure 1	No notes.	
Measure 2	No notes.	
Measure 3	No notes.	
Measure 4	No notes.	
Measure 5	No notes.	
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.	
Measure 7	No notes.	
Data Quality	No notes.	

# 2024 HDX Competition Report

## 2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

### Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
1/22/2024	Not Applicable

### Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered-Only Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	1,656	1,319	1,392	724	1,333	1,223
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	842	982	604	640	608	604
Total Sheltered Count	2,498	2,301	1,996	1,364	1,941	1,827
Total Unsheltered Count	719	939	0	653	738	1,040
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	3,217	3,240	1,996	2,017	2,679	2,867

1) \*Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 1.0 and 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was either sheltered only or partial unsheltered count.

2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

3) In 2021, for CoCs that conducted a "Sheltered and partial unsheltered count", only aggregate and not demographic data were collected.

**2024 HDX Competition Report**

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

GA-500 - Atlanta CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

collected.